

2.2 Geometries

Idea: In order to make sense of "locally ringed", we need an extra structure on the ∞ -category \mathcal{C} .

Def: \mathcal{G} ∞ -category, an **admissibility structure** on \mathcal{G} consists of the following data:

(1) A subcategory $\mathcal{G}^{\text{ad}} \subset \mathcal{G}$ spanned by all the objects, and **admissible morphisms** satisfying the following conditions:

(i) Pullback of admissible morphism always exists, and is again admissible

(ii)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ & \searrow & \downarrow g \\ & & Z \\ & \xrightarrow{h} & \end{array} \quad g, h \text{ admissible} \Rightarrow f \text{ admissible}$$

(iii) Retract of admissible morphism is admissible.

(2) A Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{G} , which is generated by admissible morphisms in the following sense: any covering sieve $\mathcal{C}'_X \subset \mathcal{C}_X$ contains a covering sieve which is generated by a collection of admissible morphisms $\{U_\alpha \rightarrow X\}$.

Def: A **geometry** consists of the following data:

(1) An ∞ -category \mathcal{G} which admits finite limits and is idempotent complete.

(2) An admissibility structure on \mathcal{G} .

$\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}'$ geometries. A functor $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ is a **transformation of geometries** if it preserves finite limits, admissible morphisms and admissible coverings.

Def: \mathcal{G} geometry, \mathcal{X} ∞ -topos. A \mathcal{G} -structure on \mathcal{X} is a left exact functor $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ st. for every admissible covering $\{U_\alpha \rightarrow U\}$ in \mathcal{G} , the induced map $\coprod_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(U)$ is an effective epimorphism in \mathcal{X} .
the Čech nerve is a colimit diagram

We call the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$ a \mathcal{G} -structured topos.

Denote $\text{Str}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{X})$ spanned by \mathcal{G} -structures on \mathcal{X} .

Given $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}': \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ \mathcal{G} -structures, a natural transformation $\alpha: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ is a **local transformation** of \mathcal{G} -structures if for every admissible morphism

$$U \rightarrow X \text{ in } \mathcal{G}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}'(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}'(X) \end{array} \text{ is a pullback square in } \mathcal{X}.$$

Denote $\text{Str}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \text{Str}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{X})$ spanned by local transformations of \mathcal{G} -structures.

A morphism $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ of \mathcal{G} -structured topoi consists of a geometric morphism $f^*: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of ∞ -topoi and a morphism

$$\alpha: f^* \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ in } \text{Str}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{X}).$$

We have an ∞ -category of \mathcal{G} -structured topoi, denoted by ${}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G})^{\text{op}}$.

A geometry \mathcal{G} is **discrete** if the admissible morphisms are precisely the equivalences, and the Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{G} is trivial, i.e. a sieve

$$\mathcal{G}_{/X}^{\circ} \subset \mathcal{G}_{/X} \text{ on an object } X \in \mathcal{G} \text{ is a covering sieve if and only if}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{/X}^{\circ} = \mathcal{G}_{/X}.$$

Example: Let $\mathcal{G}_{\text{zar}} := \{ \text{affine schemes of finite type over } \mathbb{Z} \}$
 $= \{ \text{finitely generated commutative rings} \}^{\text{op}}$

We endow it with a structure of geometry as follows:

- (1) A morphism $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } B$ is admissible if and only if it induces an isomorphism $B[\frac{1}{b}] \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ for some $b \in B$.
- (2) A collection of admissible morphisms $\{ \text{Spec } A[\frac{1}{a_i}] \rightarrow \text{Spec } A \}$ is a covering if and only if $\{a_i\} \subset A$ generates the unit ideal in A .

X topological space, $\mathcal{X} := \text{Shv}(X)$ ∞ -category of sheaves of spaces on X .

Then \mathcal{G}_{zar} -structures on \mathcal{X} are exactly sheaves of commutative rings \mathcal{O} on the topological space X which are local.

2.3 Spectrum functor

Recall: A comm ring, the affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$ is characterized by the following universal property: For every locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , we have a canonical bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Locally Ringed Space}}((X, \mathcal{O}_X), \text{Spec } A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{CommRing}}(A, \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Ringed Space}}((X, \mathcal{O}_X), (*, A))$$

Note that $\text{Locally Ringed Space} \xrightarrow{\text{full}} \mathcal{L}\text{Top}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{zar}})^{\text{op}}$

$\text{Ringed Space} \xrightarrow{\text{full}} \mathcal{L}\text{Top}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{zar}, 0})^{\text{op}}$

\uparrow the underlying discrete geometry

Theorem V2.1.1: Let $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a transformation of geometries. Then the induced functor ${}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G}') \rightarrow {}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G})$ admits a left adjoint.

We denote the left adjoint by $\text{Spec}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{G}'}$, and call it the **relative spectrum functor** associated to f .

Let \mathcal{G} be a geometry, and \mathcal{G}_0 the associated discrete geometry

Let $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the composition

$$\text{Ind}(\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}) \simeq \text{Str}_{\mathcal{G}_0}(\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow {}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G}_0) \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}_{\mathcal{G}_0}^{\mathcal{G}}} {}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G})$$

We call $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{G}}$ the **absolute spectrum functor** associated to \mathcal{G} .

Remark: 1) The analytication functor from algebraic geometry to analytic geometry is an example of the relative spectrum functor.

2) Consider the functor ${}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G}) \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$

$$((X, \mathcal{O}), U) \mapsto \text{Map}_X(1_X, \mathcal{O}(U))$$

It induces a functor ${}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{S})$, which factors through

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{lex}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{S}) \simeq \text{Ind}(\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}).$$

We let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}: {}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}})$, and call it the **\mathcal{G} -structured global sections functor**.

By construction, $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{G}}: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow {}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G})$ is left adjoint to $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Rem: We also have an explicit description of $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{G}} X$ for any $X \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{G})$
 $:= \text{Ind}(\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}})^{\text{fp}}$

2.4 Structured schemes

Def: \mathcal{G} geometry. A morphism $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ in ${}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G})$ is **étale** if

1) The underlying geometric morphism $f^*: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of ∞ -topoi is étale

2) The induced map $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an equivalence in $\text{Str}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{Y})$.

Example: If U is an object of \mathcal{X} , let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}|_U$ denote the \mathcal{G} -structure

on \mathcal{X}/U given by $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathcal{X}/U$.

Then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}/U, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}|_U)$ is an étale morphism in ${}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G})$.

Def: \mathcal{G} geometry. A \mathcal{G} -structured topos $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is an **affine \mathcal{G} -scheme**

if it is equiv to $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{G}} A$ for some $A \in \text{Pro}(\mathcal{G})$.

It is a **\mathcal{G} -scheme** if there is a collection of objects $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ of \mathcal{X} st.

1) $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ covers \mathcal{X} , i.e. $\bigsqcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an effective epimorphism.

2) $\forall \alpha, (\mathcal{X}/U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}|_{U_{\alpha}})$ is an affine \mathcal{G} -scheme.

Denote $\text{Sch}(\mathcal{G}) \subset {}^L\text{Top}(\mathcal{G})^{\text{op}}$ spanned by \mathcal{G} -schemes.

Proposition: 1) $\text{Sch}(\mathcal{G})$ admits colimits along étale morphisms.

2) If the Grothendieck topology on $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{G})$ is precanonical, then $\text{Sch}(\mathcal{G})$ admits finite limits.

Example: 1) Geometry $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-ar}} := \{\text{affine schemes of finite type over } \mathbb{Z}\}$

Admissible morphisms are inclusions of principle open subsets.

Admissible coverings are coverings by principle open subsets.

Then we have a fully faithful embedding $\text{Scheme} \hookrightarrow \text{Sch}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{zar}})$

The essential image consists of \mathcal{G}_{zar} -schemes with 0-localic underlying ∞ -topos.

2) Geometry $\mathcal{G}_{\text{ét}} := \{\text{affine schemes of finite type over } \mathbb{Z}\}$

Admissible morphisms are étale morphisms, and admissible coverings are étale coverings.

Then we have a fully faithful embedding Deligne-Mumford stacks $\hookrightarrow \text{Sch}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{ét}})$

The essential image consists of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{ét}}$ -schemes with 1-localic underlying ∞ -topos.

2.5 Pregeometries

Idea: generate geometries with simpler data.

Def: A **pregeometry** is an ∞ -category \mathcal{T} admitting $\widehat{\text{finite}}$ products, equipped with an admissibility structure.

Def: \mathcal{T} pregeometry, \mathcal{X} ∞ -topos. A **\mathcal{T} -structure** on \mathcal{X} is a functor

$$\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ st.}$$

1) \mathcal{U} preserves finite products.

2) \mathcal{U} preserves pullbacks along admissible morphisms

3) \forall admissible covering $\{U_\alpha \rightarrow X\}$ in \mathcal{T} , the induced map

$$\coprod_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(X) \text{ is an effective epimorphism in } \mathcal{X}.$$

Denote $\text{Str}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$, $\text{Str}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \text{Str}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{X})$

A **transformation** of pregeometries $f: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ is a functor which preserves finite products, adm morphisms, adm coverings, and pullbacks along adm morphisms.

A transformation of pregeometries $f: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ exhibits \mathcal{G} as a **geometric envelope** of \mathcal{T} if

1) \mathcal{G} is a geometry with the coarsest structure s.t. f is a transformation of pregeometries.

2) \forall ∞ -category \mathcal{C} idempotent complete and admitting finite limits,

composition with f induces an equiv

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{lex}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fun}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C})$$

← preserves finite products, pullbacks
along adm morphisms.