

Goal :

1. Define BdR and study its properties
2. Define $\tilde{B}rig$ and its subrings
3. Recover $Dsen(V)$ from $Ddif^+(V)$
Recover $DdR(V)$ from $Ddif(V)$

1. The field BdR

Let $\tilde{E}^+ = \{ (x_0, x_1, \dots) \text{ where } x_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} / \mathcal{P}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \mid x_{i+1}^p = x_i \}$

$$\tilde{A}^+ = W(\tilde{E}^+)$$

$$\tilde{B}^+ = \tilde{A}^+[\frac{1}{p}]$$

And we get a ring homomorphism $\theta: \tilde{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ given by

$$\theta: \sum_{i \geq 0} p^i [x_i] \rightarrow \sum_{i \geq 0} p^i [x_i^{(0)}]$$

Extend it to $\theta: \tilde{B}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$.

Prop. 1.1. The kernel $\theta: \tilde{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ is a principal ideal generated by any element $y \in \tilde{A}^+$ s.t. $\theta(y) = 0$ and $\text{val}_E(\bar{y}) = 1$

Pf: Reduce to mod p ,

$$\theta: \tilde{E}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} / p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$$

$$x \mapsto x^{(0)} \pmod{p}$$

if $x \in \ker(\theta: \tilde{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$

then $\bar{x} \in \ker(\theta: \tilde{E}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p} / p\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$

$$\Rightarrow \text{val}_E(\bar{x}) \geq 1 \quad \bar{x}/\bar{y} \in \tilde{E}^+$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists a \in \tilde{A}^+ \text{ s.t. } x - ay \in (\ker \theta) \cap p\tilde{A}^+$$

$$\Rightarrow y \cdot \tilde{A}^+ \rightarrow \ker(\theta) \text{ is surjective modulo } p$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{surj. by NAK}$$

Rmk 1.2. We can apply prop 1.1. with

$$y = \frac{[\varepsilon] - 1}{[\varepsilon^p] - 1}$$

or

$$y = [\tilde{p}] - p$$

$\tilde{p} \in \tilde{E}^+$ is any element
s.t. $\tilde{p}^{(0)} = p$

- θ is surjective
- θ commutes with the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$
- $\ker(\theta)$ not stable under φ ($\theta(\varphi([z] - p)) = p^p - p \neq 0$)

Definition of B_{dR}^+ :

For $h \geq 1$, let $B_h := \tilde{B}^+ / (\ker \theta)^h$

$$B_1 = \mathbb{C}_p \quad B_{h+1} \rightarrow B_h$$

$$B_{dR}^+ := \varprojlim_h B_h$$

$$\text{Then } B_{dR}^+ / \ker \theta = \mathbb{C}_p$$

For $\forall x \in B_{dR}^+$, s.t. $\theta(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow x$ is invertible

$$\ker(\theta: B_{dR}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p) = ([E] - 1)$$

$$\text{For } m \geq 1 \quad ([E] - 1)^m \in (\ker \theta)^m$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \parallel \\ & \left(\frac{[E] - 1}{[z^p] - 1} \right)^m \cdot \underbrace{([z^p] - 1)^m}_{\notin (\ker \theta)^m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta\left(\left(\frac{[z^p] - 1}{[z^p] - 1}\right)^m\right) = (z_1 - 1)^m$$

$$t_n = ([E]-1) - \frac{([E]-1)^2}{2} + \dots \pm \frac{([E]-1)^{n-1}}{n-1}$$

$$t_n \in B_n$$

$$t = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} \cdot \frac{([E]-1)^k}{k} \in B_{\mathbb{R}}^+$$

Lemma 1.3 If $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $g(t) = \chi(g) \cdot t$

Pf: If $F_h(x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{x^h}{h-1}$, then

$$F_h(x) \equiv \log(1+x) \pmod{x^h}$$

so that if $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then

$$F_h((1+x)^a - 1) \equiv a F_h(x) \pmod{x^h} \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p[[x]].$$

Since $t_h = F_h([\varepsilon] - 1)$ and

$$g([\varepsilon]) = [\varepsilon^{\chi(g)}] = \left(1 + ([\varepsilon] - 1)\right)^{\chi(g)}$$

we have $g(t_h) = \chi(g) \cdot t_h$ in B_h

and therefore $g(t) = \chi(g) \cdot t$.

$\frac{t}{[\varepsilon] - 1}$ is a unit in $B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^+$
 $\Rightarrow \ker(\theta : B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p) = (t)$

$B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^+$ is a
 DVR with
 the uniformizer t

$\forall x \in B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^+ \setminus (t)$, $x = t^h \cdot x_0$ where $x_0 \in B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^+$ and $\theta(x_0) \neq 0$

$B_{\mathbb{Z}_p} := B_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^+ \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$ is a field

$$B_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p((t))$$

Filtration : $\text{Fil}^h \text{BdR} = t^h \cdot \text{BdR}^+$ stable under the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$

Topology on BdR^+ :

▷ $(\ker \theta)$ - adic topology :

induces discrete topology on $\mathbb{C}_p = \text{BdR} / \ker \theta$

We want p -adic topology on the residue field \mathbb{C}_p

⇒ "The natural topology" on BdR^+ :

For $h \geq 1$, $\tilde{A}^+ \rightarrow B_h$ the image doesn't contain any \mathbb{Q}_p -line

$V_h(x) = \sup \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } p^{-n} \cdot x \in \text{im}(\tilde{A}^+) \}$
 $(\ker \theta)^h$ is a closed subspace of \tilde{B}^+ for the p -adic top.

$V_h(\cdot) \cong$ quotient norm on $\tilde{B}^+ / (\ker \theta)^h$

$B_h \cdot$ is cplt. for $V_h(\cdot)$

⇒ B_h is a Banach space

$B_{\mathbb{R}}^+ = \varprojlim_n B_n$ endowed with the structure of a Fréchet space

$\{x_n\}$ $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $B_{\mathbb{R}}^+$
iff $\forall n \quad \bar{x}_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ in B_n

So we have the p-adic topology on \mathbb{C}_p now

Prop. 1.4. Every non-constant polynomial $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[T]$ has a root in B_{dR}^+ .

(So for every $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ we can find a well-defined $\tilde{x} \in B_{dR}^+$ s.t. $\theta(\tilde{x}) = x$. i.e.

Cor. 1.5. We have a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -equivariant inclusion $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset B_{dR}^+$ compatible with θ .)

Pf of Prop. 1.4. : Assume $P(T)$ has simple roots.

\mathbb{Q}_p is alg. clsd. $\Rightarrow \exists \bar{y} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ $P(\bar{y}) = 0$

$$B_{dR}^+ / t B_{dR}^+ = \mathbb{Q}_p$$

$\exists y \in B_{dR}^+$ s.t. $P(y) \in t B_{dR}^+$

$y_h \in B_{dR}^+$ s.t. $P(y_h) \in t^h B_{dR}^+$

$$P(y_h + t^h z) = P(y_h) + t^h z \cdot \underbrace{P'(y_h)}_{\neq 0} + O(t^{h+1})$$

$\exists y_{h+1} \equiv y_h \pmod{t^h}$ s.t. $P(y_{h+1}) \in t^{h+1} B_{dR}^+$

$\{y_h\}$ s.t. $y_h \rightarrow y \in B_{dR}^+$ s.t. $P(y) = 0$

Prop. 1.6. If K is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p ,
 then $B_{dR}^{G_K} = K$.

Pf: If $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow t^{h+1} B_{dR}^+ \rightarrow t^h B_{dR}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p(h) \rightarrow 0.$$

And by taking G_K -invariants

$$0 \rightarrow (t^{h+1} B_{dR}^+)^{G_K} \rightarrow (t^h B_{dR}^+)^{G_K} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}_p(h))^{G_K}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_p(h)^{G_K} = \begin{cases} \neq 0 & \text{unless } h=0 \\ 0 & \text{for } h \leq -1 \\ 0 & \text{for } h \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(t^{h+1} B_{dR}^+)^{G_K} \cong (t^h B_{dR}^+)^{G_K}$$

Let $h \rightarrow \pm\infty \Rightarrow (t^h B_{dR}^+)^{G_K} = 0$ for $h \neq 0$

$$0 \rightarrow (B_{dR}^+)^{G_K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^{G_K}$$

\parallel

by Ax-Sen-Tate's thm

$$K \subset B_{dR}^+$$

$$\Rightarrow (B_{dR}^+)^{G_K} \xrightarrow{\sim} K$$

$$(B_{dR})^{G_K} = K$$

2. The ring $\tilde{B}ng$

Def. If $s \geq r$, define a valuation $v_{[r;s]}$ on $\tilde{B}^{t,r}$ by

$$v_{[r;s]}(f) = \min(v_r(f), v_s(f)) = \min_{t \in [r,s]} v_t(f)$$

$\tilde{B}_{[r;s]}$:= the completion of $\tilde{B}^{t,r}$ for $v_{[r;s]}$ convex for t

$\tilde{A}_{[r;s]}$:= the ring of integers of $\tilde{B}_{[r;s]}$ for $v_{[r;s]}$

• The action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ extends to the rings $\tilde{A}_{[r;s]}$ & $\tilde{B}_{[r;s]}$

• The Frobenius φ gives a bijective map

$$\varphi: \tilde{A}_{[r;s]} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{[p r; p s]}$$

$$\varphi: \tilde{A}^{t,r} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{t,pr}$$

$$\varphi: \tilde{B}_{[r;s]} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{[p r; p s]}$$

$$\tilde{B}^{t,r} \rightarrow \tilde{B}^{t,pr}$$

$$x_n \rightarrow x$$

$$\varphi(x_n) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$$

by $v_{[r;s]}$

by $v_{[p r; p s]}$

$$v_{[p r; p s]}(\varphi(x)) = p v_{[r;s]}(x)$$

If $r_0 = \frac{p-1}{p}$, let

$$A_{\max} := \tilde{A}[0; r_0]$$

$$B_{\max}^+ := \tilde{B}[0; r_0]$$

Lemma 2.1. Every element of $\tilde{A}[0; r_0]$ can be written

as $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j \left(\frac{[\tilde{p}]}{p} \right)^j$ where $a_j \rightarrow 0$ p -adically as $j \rightarrow \pm\infty$

and likewise every element of $\tilde{A}[0; r_0]$ can be written as $\sum_{j \geq 0} a_j \left(\frac{[\tilde{p}]}{p} \right)^j$ where $a_j \rightarrow 0$ p -adically as $j \rightarrow +\infty$

pf: If $x = \sum_{k \geq 0} p^k [x_k] \in \tilde{A}^{+, r_0}$, then $v_{r_0}(x) = \inf_k (val_E(x_k) + k)$ so that the rings of integers of $\tilde{B}^{+, r_0} = \{ x = \sum_{k \geq 0} p^k [x_k] \mid val_E(x_k) + k \geq 0 \}$

$\tilde{A}^+ \left[\frac{p}{[\tilde{p}]}, \frac{[\tilde{p}]}{p} \right]$ is dense in this ring of integers of \tilde{B}^{+, r_0}
 (Sec. 2.1. Représentations p -adiques et Équations Différentielles
 by Laurent Berger)

$v_{r_0} \simeq val_p$ $\tilde{A}^+ \left[\frac{[\tilde{p}]}{p} \right]$ is dense in $\tilde{A}[0; r_0]$

The set of valuations $\{v_{[r;s]}\}_{s \geq r}$ defines a Fréchet topology on $\tilde{B}^{t,r}$

and we denote

$\tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^{t,r} =$ the completion of $\tilde{B}^{t,r}$ for that topology

$$\tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^t := \bigcup_{r \geq 0} \tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^{t,r}$$

$\tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^+ :=$ cplt. of \tilde{B}^+ by $\{v_r\}_{r \geq 0}$

$$= \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \tilde{B}_{[0;r_n]} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \varphi^n(B_{\text{max}}^+)$$

$$r_n = p^{n-1}(p-1) \quad \varphi: \tilde{B}_{[0;r_{n-1}]} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{[0;r_n]}$$

Properties of \tilde{B}_{rig}^+ :

- \tilde{B}_{rig}^+ is a subring of $\tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^{t,r}$ for $\forall r > 0$
 $\tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^+ \subset \tilde{B}_{[0;r]}$

- φ is bijective on \tilde{B}_{rig}^+
 φ is bijective $\tilde{B}_{[0;r_{n-1}]} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{[0;r_n]}$

- \tilde{B}_{rig}^+ is stable under the action of G_{op}

Recall $B_k^{t,r} := (B^{t,r})^{H_k} = (B \wedge \tilde{B}^{t,r})^{H_k}$,

and define $B_{rig,k}^{t,r} :=$ the completion of $B_k^{t,r}$
for Fréchet topology.

$B_k^{t,r} = \{ \text{Laurent series } f(T) \text{ convergent on } C([r,1]) \}$
bounded $\{ p^{\frac{t}{r}} \leq |z|_p < 1 \}$

$B_{rig,k}^{t,r} = \{ \text{Laurent series } f(T) \text{ convergent on } C([r,1]) \}$

Lemma 2.2. If $r > 0$, then $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} (\tilde{A}^+ + p^{n-1} \tilde{A}[0;r]) = \tilde{A}^+$

Pf: Suppose that $y = y_n + p^{n-1} z_n$ with $y_n \in \tilde{A}^+$ and $z_n \in \tilde{A}[0;r]$

then $y_{n+1} - y_n = p^{n-1} (z_n - pz_{n+1}) \in p^{n-1} \tilde{A}[0;r]$

so $v_r(y_{n+1} - y_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Then for fixed k , $w_k(y_{n+1} - y_n) \rightarrow 0$

$\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy for the weak top.

\tilde{A}^+ is cplt. for weak top.

$y = \lim y_n \in \tilde{A}^+$

Lemma 2.3. We have $(\tilde{B}^+_{\text{rig}})^{\varphi=1} = \mathcal{O}_p$ and

$$(\tilde{B}^+_{\text{rig}})^{\varphi=p^{-n}} = \{0\} \quad \text{if } n \geq 1$$

Pf: If $y \in \tilde{A}[0; r_0]$, then $y = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j \left(\frac{[\tilde{p}^j]}{p} \right) \tilde{j}$

and if $y = \varphi^n(y)$, then $y = \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi^n(a_j) \left(\frac{[\tilde{p}^j]}{p} \right) \tilde{j}$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \tilde{A}^+ + p^n \tilde{A}[0; r_0] \quad \text{for } \forall n \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \tilde{A}^+$$

$$(\tilde{A}[0; r_0])^{\varphi=1} = (\tilde{A}^+)^{\varphi=1} = \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$\Rightarrow (\tilde{B}^+_{\text{rig}})^{\varphi=1} = \mathcal{O}_p$$

If $y \in (\tilde{A}[0; r_0])^{\varphi=p^{-n}}$

$$y = p^{kn} \varphi^k(y) \in p^{kn} \tilde{A}[0; r_0] \quad \forall k \geq 0 \Rightarrow y = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\tilde{B}^+_{\text{rig}})^{\varphi=p^{-n}} = \{0\}$$

□

3. Recover $D_{\text{sen}}(V)$

V is a p -adic rep. of $\dim d$

$$D_{\text{sen}}(V) \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{d) } K_{00}\text{-mod} \\ \Rightarrow \text{free of dim } d}} \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{K_{00}} D_{\text{sen}}(V) = \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \xrightarrow{\substack{\Rightarrow \text{Stable under } \Gamma_K \\ \Rightarrow \in (\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{\text{HK}}}}$$

$$\Theta_V = \frac{\log(\gamma)}{\log(\chi(\gamma))} \quad \begin{array}{l} \gamma \in \Gamma_K \\ \gamma \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

$$D_{\text{dif}}^+(V) \quad \text{d) } K_{00}[[t]]\text{-mod} \subseteq (B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{\text{HK}} \quad \text{stable by } \Gamma_K$$

$\Rightarrow d\text{-dim}$

$$\cong B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{K_{00}[[t]]} D_{\text{dif}}^+(V) = B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \quad \text{Fontaine}$$

(Sec 3.4. F5b . Arithmétique Des Représentations Galisiennes p -adiques)

$$\nabla_V = \frac{\log(\gamma)}{\log(\chi(\gamma))}, \quad \nabla_V(ax) = a \nabla_V(x) + \nabla(a) \cdot x$$

$$D_{\text{dif}}(V) = K_{00}((t)) \otimes_{K_{00}[[t]]} D_{\text{dif}}^+(V)$$

$$D_{\text{dR}}(V) = (B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$$

We say V is de Rham if $\dim_k D_{\text{dR}}(V) = d$

$$D^{\text{tr}}(V) \quad B_K^{\text{tr}}\text{-submod in } (\tilde{B}^{\text{tr}} \otimes V)^{\text{HK}}$$

Assume

V is

overconvergent

$$\tilde{B}^{\text{tr}} \otimes V = \tilde{B}^{\text{tr}} \otimes_{B_K^{\text{tr}}} D^{\text{tr}}(V)$$

Recover $D_{dR}(V)$ from $D_{dR}^{\text{diff}}(V)$

Prop. 3.1. If V is a p -adic rep. of G_K , then the kernel of the connection ∇_V operating on $D_{dR}^{\text{diff}}(V)$ is $K_{\infty} \otimes_K D_{dR}(V)$.

In particular, V is de Rham iff ∇_V is trivial.

Pf. Step 1: $K_{\infty} \otimes_K D_{dR}(V) \subset \ker \nabla_V$

$$D_{dR}(V) = (B_{dR} \otimes V)^{G_K} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_K$$

∇_V is trivial on $D_{dR}(V)$

∇_V is trivial on K_{∞} : $\forall a \in K_{\infty}$ n large $a \in K_n$
 $\gamma \mapsto 1$ γ fix K_n $\nabla_V(a)$

Step 2: $\ker \nabla_V \subset K_{\infty} \otimes_K D_{dR}(V)$

$\ker \nabla_V$ is $\textcircled{1}$ finite dimensional

K_{∞} -v.sp. $\textcircled{2}$ stable under Γ_K

$$= \frac{\log(\gamma)}{\log(\gamma+n)}(a) = 0.$$

Thm 3
in CZK's lecture $\Rightarrow \ker \nabla_V$ comes from the ext of scalars of a K_n -v.sp. $\forall n$ for n large

∇_V is trivial on V_n

\Rightarrow Lie alg. of Γ_K acts trivially on V_n

\Rightarrow The action of Γ_K is discrete

For m large, Γ_{K_m} acts trivially on V_n

$$V_n \subseteq K_m \otimes D_{dR}(V) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ker \nabla_V \subseteq K_{\infty} \otimes D_{dR}(V)$$

Recover $D_{\text{sen}}(V)$ from $D_{\text{dif}}^+(V)$

We already have $\theta: B_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$,
 which gives rise to $\theta: (B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_K} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \parallel & \\ & D_{\text{dif}}^+(V) & \\ & \parallel & \\ & D_{\text{sen}}(V) & \end{array}$$

Goal: $\text{image}(\theta) = D_{\text{sen}}(V)$

If $x \neq 0 \in \tilde{E}^+$ then $[x] \in B_{\text{dR}}^+$ and $\theta([x]) \neq 0$,
 so that $[x]$ is invertible in B_{dR}^+ and hence
 if $y \neq 0 \in \tilde{E}$, then $[y]$ makes sense in B_{dR}^+ .
 We want to check when the series $x = \sum_{k \rightarrow -\infty} p^k [x_k]$ converges in B_{dR}^+

Lemma. 3.2. If $\{x_k\}_{k \rightarrow -\infty}$ is a sequence of
 elements of \tilde{E} , then the series $\sum_{k \rightarrow -\infty} p^k [x_k]$
 converges in B_{dR}^+ iff $\text{val}_E(x_k) + k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Pf: \Leftarrow "If $\sum_{k \rightarrow -\infty} p^k [x_k]$ converges in B_{dR}^+
 then $\sum_{k \rightarrow -\infty} p^k \theta[x_k]$ converges in \mathbb{C}_p

so that $k + \underbrace{\text{val}_p(x_k^{(p)})}_{= \text{val}_E(x_k)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

" \Leftarrow " We have $\text{val}_E(x_k) + k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$p^k[x_k] = a_k \left(\frac{p}{[p]} \right)^k = a_k \left(1 + \left(\frac{[\tilde{p}]}{p} - 1 \right) \right)^{-k}$$

$$\text{in } B_h = a_k \left(1 + \binom{-k}{1} \left(\frac{[\tilde{p}]}{p} - 1 \right) + \dots + \binom{-k}{h-1} \left(\frac{[\tilde{p}]}{p} - 1 \right)^{h-1} \right)$$

since

$$([\tilde{p}] - p) \in \ker \theta \in p^{-(h-1)} \tilde{A}^+$$

$$V_h(x) = \sup \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ st. } p^{-n}x \in \text{im}(\tilde{A}^+) \}$$

For fixed h , $\text{val}_E(x_k) + k \rightarrow \infty$
 $p^k[x_k] \rightarrow 0$ in B_h

$\sum p^k[x_k]$ converges in B_{dR}

Define $l_0 : \tilde{B}^{t, r_0} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$

$$\sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k[x_k] \mapsto \sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k[x_k]$$

and $l_n : \tilde{B}^{t, r_n} \rightarrow B_{dR}^+$

$$l_n = l_0 \circ \varphi^{-n}$$

$$\sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k[x_k] \mapsto \sum_{k \gg -\infty} p^k[x_k^{p^{-n}}]$$

$R = \text{over } D_{\text{sen}}(V) \text{ from } D_{\text{dif}}^+(V) \quad \theta: D_{\text{dif}}^+(V) \rightarrow D_{\text{sen}}(V)$

Prop. 3.3. If n is large enough,

then $\ln: K_{\infty}[[t]] \otimes_{B_K^{\text{tr},n}} D^{\text{tr},n}(V) \rightarrow D_{\text{dif}}^+(V)$

is an isomorphism between $K_{\infty}[[t]]$ -mod with connection.

Pf: Take n large s.t. $\ln(B_K^{\text{tr},n}) \subseteq K_n[[t]]$

Step 1. Properties of $K_{\infty}[[t]] \otimes_{\ln(B_K^{\text{tr},n})} \ln(D^{\text{tr},n}(V))$

It's a $K_{\infty}[[t]]$ -mod and it's

- a mod of finite type
- submod of $(B_K^{\text{tr}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} V)^{H_K}$
- stable under Γ_K

Step 2: $\theta: D_{\text{dif}}^+(V) \rightarrow D_{\text{sen}}(V)$

$\rightsquigarrow \theta \circ \ln: D^{\text{tr},n}(V) \rightarrow D_{\text{sen}}(V)$ is surjective

Lemma: $\ker(\theta \circ \ln) = \varphi^{n-1}(q) \cdot D^{\text{tr},n}(V) \quad q = \frac{[\varepsilon^+] - 1}{[\varepsilon] - 1}$

1. $I = [r, s] \quad r_n \in I$

$\tilde{A}^+ \left[\frac{P}{[\bar{\pi}]^r}, \frac{[\bar{\pi}]^s}{P} \right]$ is dense in \tilde{A}_I

$\bar{\pi} = [\varepsilon] - 1$

$\ker(\theta \circ \ln: \tilde{A}_I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p) = \varphi^{n-1}(q) \tilde{A}_I$

$\ker(\theta \circ \ln: \tilde{B}_I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p) = \varphi^{n-1}(q) \tilde{B}_I$

$x \in \tilde{A}_I \Rightarrow$
 $x = \sum a_k \left(\frac{P}{[\bar{\pi}]^r} \right)^k + \sum b_j \left(\frac{[\bar{\pi}]^s}{P} \right)^j$
 direct calculation

2. $\ker(\theta \circ \ln: B_K^{\text{tr},n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p) = \varphi^{n-1}(q) \cdot B_K^{\text{tr},n}$

$I = [r_n, +\infty) \quad \ker(\theta \circ \ln: \tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{tr},n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p) = \varphi^{n-1}(q) \tilde{B}_{\text{rig}}^{\text{tr},n}$

$$x \in B_k^{tr} \quad x = \varphi^{n-1}(q) \cdot y \quad \Rightarrow \quad y \in B_k^{tr}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ker(\theta \circ \ln : B_k^{tr, n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p) = \varphi^{n-1}(q) \cdot B_k^{tr, n}$$

$\theta \circ \ln$ is an injective map

$$\frac{D^{tr, n}(V)}{\varphi^{n-1}(q) D^{tr, n}(V)} \rightarrow D_{sen}(V)$$

$$\frac{B_k^{tr, n}}{\varphi^{n-1}(q)} \text{ - mod of rk } d \quad \ln(B_k^{tr, n}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}_n[\mathbb{Z}]$$

image = a \mathbb{K}_n -v.sp. V_n of dim d stable under $\Gamma_{\mathbb{K}}$

V is overconvergent,

$$\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{K}_n} V_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_p} V \quad \text{for } n \gg 0$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{K}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{K}_n} V_n \rightarrow D_{sen}(V)$ is injective

$$\dim(\text{image}) \geq d$$

Since $\dim D_{sen}(V) = d$

$\Rightarrow \text{image} = D_{sen}(V)$ We can recover $D_{sen}(V)$

from $\theta : D_{diff}^+(V) \rightarrow D_{sen}(V)$

$\theta \circ \ln$ is surjective

$\Rightarrow \theta$ is surjective

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 k_\infty[[t]] \otimes_{k_\infty} (B_k^{tr_n}) & \hookrightarrow & \ln(D^{tr_n}(V)) & \hookrightarrow & D_{dof}^+(V) & \xrightarrow{0} & D_{\text{conf}}(V) \\
 \theta(t) = 0 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \text{determinant of this injection} & & \\
 & & & & \text{isn't divided by } t & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 \dim = d & & & & & & \dim = d
 \end{array}$$

And $(t) = \text{max. ideal of } k_\infty[[t]]$

\Rightarrow The injection above is in fact an iso.

□