

---

# CRYSTALLINE AND SEMI-STABLE REPRESENTATIONS AND $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

by

Léo Poyeton

---

## 1. Introduction

Recall that we defined  $p$ -adic rings of periods  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}$  and defined the corresponding admissible representations, which are respectively crystalline and semi-stable representations. The goal of this lecture is to explain how one can recover the invariants  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$  attached to a  $p$ -adic representation  $V$  of  $\mathcal{G}_K$  in terms of its  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D(V)$ . Indeed, as the data of  $D(V)$  is equivalent to that of  $V$ , one should be able to compute these invariants directly from  $D(V)$ . In order to do so, we need a ring which contains both  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+[1/t]$  and  $\mathbf{B}^\dagger$ .

We actually already defined such a ring: this is exactly  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+[1/t]$ . Of course, this does not contain  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}$  and we will introduce an other ring  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{log}}^\dagger$ , which is to  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger$  what  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}$  is to  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}$ , so that  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{log}}^\dagger[1/t]$  will contain both  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}$  and  $\mathbf{B}^\dagger$ .

Using these rings, we will show that

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K},$$

where  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$  is the overconvergent  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D^\dagger(V)$  tensored over  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$  by  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$  (we will also show that the corresponding statement relatively to  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$  holds).

Before expanding a bit more on the ideas of the proofs, we give the following interpretation of some of the rings we have constructed (this is developed in [Ber04]):

**1.1. Rings of periods and limits of algebraic functions.** — One should think of most rings of periods as rings of “limits of algebraic functions” on certain subsets of  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . For example, the formula  $\mathbf{B} = \widehat{\mathbf{B}}_F^{\text{unr}}$  tells us that  $\mathbf{B}$  is the ring of limits of (separable) algebraic functions on the boundary of the open unit disk. The ring  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$  is then the ring of all limits of algebraic functions on the boundary of the open unit disk.

Heuristically, one should view other rings in the same fashion: the ring  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^+$  “is” the ring of limits of algebraic functions on the disk  $D(0, |\varepsilon^{(1)} - 1|_p)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+$  “is” the ring of limits of algebraic functions on a slightly smaller disk  $D(0, r)$ . One should therefore

think of  $\varphi^n(\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}^+)$  as the ring of limits of algebraic functions on the disk  $D(0, |\varepsilon^{(n)} - 1|_p)$ , and finally  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$  “is” the ring of limits of algebraic functions on the open unit disk  $D(0, 1)$ .

Similarly,  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r}$  “is” the ring of limits of algebraic functions on an annulus  $C[s, 1[$ , where  $s$  depends on  $r$ , and  $\varphi^{-n}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r})$  “is” the ring of limits of algebraic functions on an annulus  $C[s_n, 1[$ , where  $s_n \rightarrow 0$ , so that  $\cap_{n=0}^{+\infty} \varphi^{-n}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r})$  “is” the ring of limits of algebraic functions on the open unit disk  $D(0, 1)$  minus the origin; furthermore, if an element of that ring satisfies some simple growth properties near the origin, then it “extends” to the origin (remember that in complex analysis, a holomorphic function on  $D(0, 1^-) - \{0\}$  which is bounded near 0 extends to a holomorphic function on  $D(0, 1^-)$ ).

As for the ring  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ , it behaves like a ring of local functions around a circle (in particular, there is no Frobenius map defined on it). Via the map  $\varphi^{-n} : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$ , we have for  $n \geq 1$  a filtration on  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r_n}$ , which corresponds to the order of vanishing at  $\varepsilon^{(n)} - 1$ .

**1.2. Heuristic of the proof.** — Using the point of view from above, we now explain how to prove that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)[1/t])^{G_K}$ . We have seen that the periods of  $p$ -adic representations live inside  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+[1/t]$  and up to twisting by a power of the cyclotomic character, we can actually assume that the crystalline periods of  $V$  live inside  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$  so that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ .

Now the elements of  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$  form a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space, so that there is an  $r$  such that  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K} = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ , and furthermore this  $F$ -vector space is stable by Frobenius, so that the periods of  $V$  (in this setting) not only live in  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r}$  but actually in  $\cap_{n=0}^{+\infty} \varphi^{-n}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r})$  and they also satisfy some simple growth conditions (depending, say, on the size of  $\det(\varphi)$ ), which ensure that they too can be seen as limits of algebraic functions on the open unit disk  $D(0, 1)$ , that is as elements of  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$ . In particular, we have  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K} = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ . This is what we get by *regularization* (of the periods).

It’s easy to show that  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_K} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger} \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$ , and the last step is to show that  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger} \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V))^{G_K} = \mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{G_K}$ . This is a decompletion process, very similar to the one used in order to prove the Colmez-Sen-Tate conditions for  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_K^\dagger$ , which will take us from  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$  to  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ . Similarly to the  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_K^\dagger$ -case, the main idea is that the ring extension  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger / \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$  looks very much like  $\widehat{K}_\infty / K$ , and we will define “decompletion maps” (which are an analogue of Tate’s trace maps). This will show that in fact,  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger} \mathbf{D}^\dagger(V))^{G_K}$ . In particular,  $V$  is crystalline if and only if  $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger} \mathbf{D}^\dagger(V))^{G_K}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional  $F$ -vector space.

We will now explain how this works exactly, following [Ber02].

## 2. The ring $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}$ and the maps $R_k$

We let  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r} = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r}[\log[\tilde{p}]]$  endowed with the actions of  $\mathcal{G}_F$  and  $\varphi$  given by  $g(\log[\tilde{p}]) = \log[\tilde{p}] + c(g)t$  and  $\varphi(\log[\tilde{p}]) = p \cdot \log[\tilde{p}]$ . This allows us to extend the maps  $\iota_n : \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$  for  $n$  big enough to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r}$  by setting  $\iota_n(\log[\tilde{p}]) = p^{-n} \log[\tilde{p}]$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** — *The map  $\iota_n : \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r}[\log[\tilde{p}]] \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$  that extends  $\iota_n$  by  $\iota_n(\log[\tilde{p}]) = p^{-n} \log[\tilde{p}]$  is injective, commutes with the Galois action and its restriction to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}$  is  $\varphi^{-n}$ .*

*Proof.* — Everything follows from the previous lectures.  $\square$

Recall that we actually have  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r} = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r}[\log[x]]$  for any  $x \neq 0 \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$  which does not belong to  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+)^{\times}$ . Since the series defining  $\log([\pi]/\pi)$  converges in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger, r_0}$ , we actually have that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r} = \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r}[\log[\pi]]$ . We endow  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}$  of the monodromy operator  $N$  defined by

$$N \left( \sum_{k=0}^d a_k \log(\pi)^k \right) = - \sum_{k=0}^d k a_k \log(\pi)^{k-1}$$

which means that  $N = -d/d \log(\pi)$ . One can check that  $N$  commutes with the Galois action.

Recall that if  $r > 0$  and  $p^k r > r_K$  then there exists a map  $R_k : \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_K^{\dagger, r}[1/[\pi]] \rightarrow \varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{A}_K^{\dagger, p^k r}[1/[\pi]])$  which is a continuous,  $\varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{A}_K^{\dagger, p^k r}[1/[\pi]])$ -linear section of the inclusion  $\varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{A}_K^{\dagger, p^k r}[1/[\pi]]) \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_K^{\dagger, r}[1/[\pi]]$  which commutes with the Galois action (i.e.  $\gamma \circ R_k = R_k \circ \gamma$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ ) and such that  $R_k(x) \rightarrow x$  for all  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_K^{\dagger, r}[1/[\pi]]$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** — *If  $r > 0$  and  $p^k r > r_K$  then by  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -linearity and continuity the above map  $R_k$  extends to a map  $R_k : \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r} \rightarrow \varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, p^k r})$ , such that:*

1.  $R_k$  is a continuous section of the inclusion  $\varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, p^k r}) \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$ ;
2.  $R_k$  is  $\varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, p^k r})$ -linear;
3. if  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} R_k(x) = x$ ;
4. if  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$ , and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ , then  $\gamma \circ R_k(x) = R_k \circ \gamma(x)$ .

*Proof.* — Once again this follows from statements made in the previous lectures, where it was shown that the maps  $R_k$  were continuous for the topology induced by the valuations  $V_{[r, s]}$  for all  $s \geq r$  and satisfied an inequality of the form  $V_{[r, s]}(R_k(x)) \geq V_{[r, s]}(x) - c_K(r)$  where  $c_K(r)$  only depends on  $K$  and  $r$ . Since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r}$  is the completion of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger, r}$  for the topology induced by the valuations  $V_{[r, s]}$ ,  $s \geq r$ , this already shows that the maps  $R_k$  extend by  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -linearity and continuity to maps  $R_k : \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r} \rightarrow \varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, p^k r})$ . It remains to see that if  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} R_k(x) = x$ .

Let  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$ , let  $s \geq r$  and let  $M \geq 0$ . We have to show that there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $V_{[r, s]}(x - R_k(x)) \geq M$  for  $k \geq k_0$ . By density, there exists  $y \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger, r}$  such that

$V_{[r,s]}(x - y) \geq M + c_K(r)$ . Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $z = p^n y \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r}[1/[\pi]]$ . Then there exists  $k_0 \geq 0$  such that  $v_r(z - R_k(z)) \geq M + ns$  if  $k \geq k_0$ . We then have

$$v_r(y - R_k(y)) = v_r(z - R_k(z)) - nr \geq M,$$

and

$$v_s(y - R_k(y)) = v_s(z - R_k(z)) - ns \geq v_r(z - R_k(z)) - ns \geq M.$$

Thus we have  $V_{[r,s]}(y - R_k(y)) \geq M$  if  $k \geq k_0$ . To conclude it suffices to write  $x - R_k(x)$  as  $(x - y) - R_k(x - y) + (y - R_k(y))$ .  $\square$

We also define  $\mathbf{B}_{\log,K}^\dagger = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger[\log(\pi)]$ , which is a ring stable by the actions of  $\varphi$  and  $\Gamma_K$  since  $\varphi(\log(\pi)) = \log(\varphi(\pi)) = p \log(\pi) + \log(\varphi(\pi)/\pi^p)$  and  $\gamma(\log(\pi)) = \log(\pi) + \log(\gamma(\pi)/\pi)$  and that the series defining  $\log(\varphi(\pi)/\pi^p)$  and  $\log(\gamma(\pi)/\pi)$  converge in  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$ .

**Definition 2.3.** — We extend the maps  $R_k$  to a  $\varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,p^{kr}})$ -linear section of the inclusion  $\varphi^{-k}(\mathbf{B}_{\log,K}^{\dagger,p^{kr}}[1/t])$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log,K}^{\dagger,r}[1/t]$ : those maps still commute with  $\Gamma_K$ , and satisfy  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} R_k(x) = x$  si  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log,K}^{\dagger,r}[1/t]$ .

### 3. Regularization

We now prove the result of regularization by the Frobenius on which the rest of the proofs will rely on. Recall that the Frobenius  $\varphi$  is a bijection from  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_I$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{pI}$  and thus induces a bijection from  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,pr}$  and from  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger,r}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger,pr}$  since  $\varphi(\log[\pi]) = p \cdot \log[\pi]$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** — *We have  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r;s]}/(p) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+[X, \bar{\pi}^{s-r} X^{-1}]/(\bar{\pi}^s, \bar{\pi}^r X)$ . In particular if  $r = s$ , then  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r;r]}/(p) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+(\bar{\pi}^r)[X, X^{-1}]$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $A = \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+\{X, Y\}$  and  $I = (XY - [\bar{\pi}]^{s-r}, p - X[\bar{\pi}]^r, [\bar{\pi}]^s - pY)$  so that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r;s]}$  can be identified with  $A/I$  and so that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r;s]}/(p) = (A/I)/(p)$ . We have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$  and the multiplication by  $p$  induces the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A/I & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & p \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & p \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A/I & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & I/p & \longrightarrow & A/p & \longrightarrow & (A/I)/p & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and since  $A/I$  has no  $p$ -torsion, the snake's lemma shows that  $(A/I)/p$  is identified with the quotient of  $A/p$  by the image of  $I$  inside it. In our setting we have  $A/p = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+[X, Y]$  and the image of  $I$  is  $(XY - \bar{\pi}^{s-r}, -X\bar{\pi}^r, \bar{\pi}^s)$ , hence the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** — *The natural inclusions  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$  induce the following exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]} \oplus \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* — The fact that the arrow  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]} \oplus \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$  is surjective follows from the decomposition of an element of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$  in two parts (recall that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+[\frac{p}{[\overline{\pi}]}, \frac{[\overline{\pi}]}{p}]$ ). We also know that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$  is contained in the intersection of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0}$  and thus it remains to prove that the map

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$$

is also surjective. First we prove that it is true modulo  $p\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$  (note that the arrow is no longer injective mod  $p$ ). Recall that the rings  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$  et  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0}$  can be identified with  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+\{X\}/(pX - [\overline{p}])$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+\{Y\}/([\overline{p}]Y - p)$  and that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}/(p) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+ / (\overline{p})[X, X^{-1}]$ . The image of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0}$  inside this ring is then  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+ / (\overline{p})[1/X]$  and the one of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$  is  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+ / (\overline{p})[X]$  so that the image of their intersection is a subring of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^+ / (\overline{p})$  and thus the arrow  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$  is surjective modulo  $p\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$ . If we let  $x$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$  it therefore exists  $y \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$  such that  $x - y \in p\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r_0,r_0]}$ . This means that  $x - y \in p\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$  and  $\in p\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} + [\overline{p}]\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$  (it suffices to apply lemma 3.1 to these rings). Since  $p$  divides  $[\overline{p}]$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]}$  there exists  $z \in [\overline{p}]\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$  such that  $x - y - z \in p(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r_0} \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[0,r_0]})$ . Since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$  is complete for the  $p$ -adic topology, it suffices to iterate this to prove the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** — *Let  $h$  be a positive integer. Then*

$$\cap_{s=0}^{+\infty} p^{-hs} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,p^{-sr}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \text{ and } \cap_{s=0}^{+\infty} p^{-hs} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,p^{-sr}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}$  denotes the ring of integers of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}$  for the valuation  $V_{[r;r]}$ .

*Proof.* — Let us start with the first point: since  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,r}$  it can be uniquely written as  $\sum_{k \geq 0} p^k [x_k]$  and we also have  $p^{hs}x = \sum p^{k+hs} [x_k]$ . Since  $p^{hs}x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,p^{-sr}}$  this means that

$$v_{\mathbf{E}}(x_k) + \frac{rp^{1-s}}{p-1}(k+hs) \geq 0$$

so that

$$v_{\mathbf{E}}(x_k) \geq -\frac{(k+hs)r}{p^{s-1}(p-1)}$$

and thus (when  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ ) that  $v_{\mathbf{E}}(x_k) \geq 0$  so that  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$ .

For the second point, note that for all  $s$  one can write  $x = a_s + b_s$  with  $a_s \in p^{-hs} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,p^{-sr}}$  and  $b_s \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$ . By the lemma 3.2 we have  $a_s - a_{s+1} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$  and we also know that  $a_s - a_{s+1} \in p^{-h(s+1)} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,p^{-sr}}$  so that  $a_s - a_{s+1} \in p^{-h(s+1)} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$  and so that up to changing  $a_{s+1}$  we can assume that  $a_s = a_{s+1} = a$ . We then have  $a \in \cap_{s=0}^{+\infty} p^{-hs} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger,p^{-sr}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$  and thus  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^+$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** — *Let  $r$  and  $u$  be two positive integers and let  $A \in M_{u \times r}(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{log}}^{\dagger})$ . Assume that there exists  $P \in \text{GL}_u(F)$  such that  $A = P\varphi^{-1}(A)$ . Then  $A \in M_{u \times r}(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{log}}^+)$ .*

*Proof.* — Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $a_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^d a_{ij,n} \log[\overline{\pi}]^n$ . Let  $h_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $p^{h_0}P \in M_u(\mathcal{O}_F)$  and  $h = h_0 + d$ . The assumption on  $A$  and  $P$  can be written as:

$$p_{i1}\varphi^{-1}(a_{1j}) + \cdots + p_{iu}\varphi^{-1}(a_{uj}) = a_{ij} \quad \forall i \leq u, j \leq r$$

and since  $\varphi^{-1}(\log[\bar{\pi}]^n) = p^{-n} \log[\bar{\pi}]^n$ , this implies that if  $a_{ij,n} \in p^{-c} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}$ , then since  $p^{h_0} p_{ik} \in \mathcal{O}_F$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(a_{ik,n}) \in p^{-c} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r/p}$ , we have  $a_{ij,n} \in p^{-h-c} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r/p}$ . By iterating this we get that  $a_{ij,n} \in \bigcap_{s=0}^{+\infty} p^{-hs-c} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,rp^{-s}}$ . This allows us to apply the above lemma to  $p^c a_{ij,n}$  and this finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Applications for semi-stable periods

Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\log,K}^{\dagger,r} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}[\log(\pi)]$  and let

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V) \quad \text{et} \quad \mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V) = \mathbf{B}_{\log,K}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)$$

The fact that  $p$ -adic representations are overconvergent shows that both  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)$  are  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger}$ - and  $\mathbf{B}_{\log,K}^{\dagger}$ - free modules of rank  $d = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(V)$ .

If  $V$  is a  $p$ -adic representation, or more generally, a  $B$ -representation where  $B$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -algebra endowed with an action of  $\mathcal{G}_K$ , we let  $V(i)$  denote the twist of  $V$  by  $\chi^i$ , so that the action of  $g \in \mathcal{G}_K$  on  $V(i)$  is the action of  $g$  on  $V$  multiplied by  $\chi(g)^i$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** — *One has*

$$\{x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}, g(x) = \chi^i(g)x, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}_K\} = \begin{cases} Ft^i & \text{if } i \geq 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* — Let  $V_i^n = (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger,r_n}(-i))^{\mathcal{G}_K}$ . This is a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space stable by Frobenius and the previous proposition implies that  $V_i^n = (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^+(-i))^{\mathcal{G}_K}$ . But  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^+[1/t](i))^{\mathcal{G}_K}$  is the  $(\varphi, N)$ -module attached to the crystalline representation  $\chi^i$ , so that it is of dimension 1 and thus any non zero element of  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^+[1/t](i))^{\mathcal{G}_K}$  generates this  $F$ -vector space. Since  $t^i$  works, this means that

$$V_i^n = \begin{cases} Ft^i & \text{if } i \geq 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $V_i = \bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty} V_i^n$  this proves the result.  $\square$

We let  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}^+(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{st}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{\mathcal{G}_K}$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}^+(V) = (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{\mathcal{G}_K}$ . A quick computation shows that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) = t^{-d} \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V(-d))$  and thus for  $d \gg 0$ , we have that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) = t^{-d} \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}^+(V(-d))$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** — *If  $V$  is a  $p$ -adic representation then  $(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{\mathcal{G}_K}$  is a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space and the morphism*

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}^+(V) \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{\mathcal{G}_K}$$

*induced by the inclusion  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^+ \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}$  is an isomorphism of  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules.*

*Proof.* — If  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $D_n = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger, r_n} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$  is a  $F$ -vector space whose dimension is  $\leq [K : F]d$ , since  $\iota_n$  induces an injection of  $D_n$  in  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(V)$ , which is a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $\leq d$ . If we take  $[K : F]d + 1$  elements of  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ , they live inside  $D_n$  for  $n \gg 0$ , and thus are linearly dependent. This means that  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$  is a  $F$ -vector space of dimension  $\leq [K : F]d$ .

For the second point, let  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_u$  be respectively  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ - and  $F$ -basis of  $V$  and  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ . There exists a matrix  $A \in M_{r \times u}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger})$  such that  $(d_i) = A(v_i)$ . Let  $P \in \text{GL}_u(F)$  be the matrix of  $\varphi$  in the basis  $(d_i)$  (which is invertible since  $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}$  is bijective). We then have  $\varphi(A) = PA$  and thus  $A = \varphi^{-1}(P)\varphi^{-1}(A)$ ; proposition 3.4 shows that  $A \in M_{r \times u}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger})$  and thus that  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K} \subset (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K} = \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}^{\dagger}(V)$ , which is what we wanted.  $\square$

Up to twisting  $V$ , this implies that  $V$  is semi-stable if and only if it is  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t]$ -admissible, and that it is crystalline if and only if it is  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}[1/t]$ -admissible.

**Proposition 4.3.** — *If  $V$  is semi-stable we have the following comparison isomorphism:*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$$

*Proof.* — This comes from the fact that in this case we already have:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$$

and thus it suffices to tensor this equality by  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t]$  over  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** — *If  $V$  is a  $p$ -adic representation of  $\mathcal{G}_K$  then*

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) = (\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}$$

*In particular  $V$  is semi-stable (resp. crystalline) if and only if  $(\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}$  (resp.  $(\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}$ ) is a  $F$ -vector space of dimension  $d = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* — The second point follows from the first one by taking the invariants under  $N = 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t] \subset (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$  (and since  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t] \subset (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$ ) the previous results show that  $(\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}$  (resp.  $(\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}$ ) is contained in  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$  (resp. in  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ ).

Let us now show that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) \subset (\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}$ , and that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \subset (\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)[1/t])^{\Gamma_K}$ . It suffices to show the semi-stable case because the crystalline one will follow by taking the invariants under  $N = 0$ . Let  $r = \dim_F(\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V))$ . Up to twisting  $V$ , we can assume that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$  and we know that we have  $(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{H_K} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)$  since  $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)$  has the right dimension. This implies that if we chose a basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)$  and  $\{d_i\}$  a basis of  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$ , then the matrix  $M \in M_{r \times d}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger})$  defined by  $(d_i) = M(e_i)$  is of rank  $r$  et and satisfies  $\gamma_K(M)G - M = 0$  where  $G \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger})$  is the matrix of  $\gamma_K$  in the basis  $\{e_i\}$ .

The trace maps  $R_m$  defined previously are  $\mathbf{B}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}$ -linear and commute with  $\Gamma_K$  so that  $\gamma_K(R_m(M))G - R_m(M) = 0$ . Moreover  $R_m(M) \rightarrow M$  and if  $M \in M_{r \times d}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger, r_n})$ , then

$R_m(M) \in M_{r \times d}(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger, r_n})$ . Let  $N = \varphi^m(R_m(M))$ . We then have  $\gamma_K(N)\varphi^m(G) = N$  and since the actions of  $\varphi$  and  $\Gamma_K$  commute on  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)$  we have  $\varphi(G) = \gamma_K(P)GP^{-1}$  ( $P$  is the matrix of  $\varphi$  and is invertible since  $\varphi$  is overconvergent and  $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}$  is a field) so that if  $Q = \varphi^{m-1}(P) \cdots \varphi(P)P$ , then  $\varphi^m(G) = \gamma_K(Q)GQ^{-1}$  and thus  $\gamma_K(NQ)G = (NQ)$ . The matrix  $NQ$  determines  $r$  elements of  $\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)$  which are fixed by  $\gamma_K$ . It remains to show that these elements are linearly independent over  $F$  for  $m$  big enough. But since  $R_m(M) \rightarrow M$ , the matrix  $NQ$  is of rank  $r = \text{rank}(M)$  for  $m \gg 0$  and thus will determine a free sub-module of rank  $r$  of  $\mathbf{D}_{\log}^{\dagger}(V)$ . The  $F$ -vector space generated by the elements determined by  $NQ$  is thus of dimension  $r$  and thus equal to  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** — *We have the following comparison isomorphisms:*

1. *if  $V$  is a semi-stable representation then*

$$\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}} \mathbf{B}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t] = \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) \otimes_F \mathbf{B}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t]$$

2. *if  $V$  is a crystalline representation then*

$$\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger}[1/t] = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_F \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger}[1/t]$$

*Proof.* — Once again it suffices to prove the semi-stable case as the crystalline case will follow by taking the invariants under  $N = 0$ . Up to twisting  $V$ , we are reduced to the case where  $V$  is  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log}^{\dagger}$ -admissible and we then know that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) \subset \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)$  and that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}} \mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V) = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$$

so that if we chose basis  $\{d_i\}$  of  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$  and  $\{e_i\}$  of  $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)$ , then  $(e_i) = B(d_i)$  with  $B \in M_d(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t])$ ; proposition 4.4 implies that  $(d_i) = A(e_i)$  with  $A \in M_d(\mathbf{B}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t])$ ; and moreover we have that  $AB = \text{Id}$ . We can apply the operator  $R_0$  which is  $\mathbf{B}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t]$ -linear and we obtain that  $AR_0(B) = \text{Id}$  so that  $B = R_0(B)$  and thus  $B$  has its coefficients in  $\mathbf{B}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t]$  and  $A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbf{B}_{\log, K}^{\dagger}[1/t])$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** — *Let  $V$  be a semi-stable representation of  $\mathcal{G}_K$  and let  $M$  be the transfer matrix from a basis of  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V)$  to a basis of  $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V)$ . It then exists  $r \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger}$  such that  $\det(M) = \lambda t^r$ .*

*Proof.* — The determinant of the transfer matrix is equal to the coefficient of the transfer matrix for  $\det(V)$  and thus it suffices to prove the result in dimension 1. But semi-stable characters are of the form  $\omega\chi^r$  where  $\omega$  is an unramified character and its period is an element  $\beta \in W(\bar{k})$ , so that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(V) = F \cdot \beta t^{-r}$  and  $\mathbf{D}^{\dagger}(V) = \mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger} \cdot \beta$ .  $\square$

## References

- [Ber02] Laurent Berger, *Représentations  $p$ -adiques et équations différentielles*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **148** (2002), no. 2, 219–284.
- [Ber04] ———, *An introduction to the theory of  $p$ -adic representations*, *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory* **1** (2004), 255–292.



---

LÉO POYETON