

Sen's Theory

Chen Zekun

August 17, 2020

1 Introduction

The first goal of this lecture is to study \mathbb{C}_p -representations, which we want to reduce to K_∞ -representations.

Theorem 1. *Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , the inflation-restriction map*

$$H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{Gl}_d(K_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p))$$

is a bijection.

In another word, any \mathbb{C}_p -representation W of G_K has a K_∞ -submodule $W_{K,\infty}$ which is Γ_K -stable, which we call as Sen space $D_{\mathrm{Sen}}(W) := W_{K,\infty}$. One thing we can say about it is to define a K_∞ -linear map $\Theta : D_{\mathrm{Sen}} \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{Sen}}$ named as the Sen operator. To see it, a group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}_d(K_\infty)$ is a one-parameter subgroup of $\mathrm{Gl}_d(K_\infty)$, then we can define Θ as its derivative in $\mathfrak{gl}_d(K_\infty)$. We will show that its characteristic polynomial actually lies in $K[x]$. The Sen operator shows plentiful properties of a \mathbb{C}_p -representations. For example,

Theorem 2. *Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , (ρ, V) is a \mathbb{Q}_p -representation. Then the followings are equivalent.*

- (1) (ρ, V) is \mathbb{C}_p -admissible.
- (2) $\rho(I_K)$ is finite.
- (3) $\Theta = 0$.

By \mathbb{C}_p -admissible we mean $\dim_K(\mathbb{C}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_K} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$. We may not have time to introduce the proof in the lecture, but I will write it down in this notes.

Another thing we can say about theorem 1 is to generalize \mathbb{C}_p to other \mathbb{Q}_p -algebras. We use the Colmez-Sen-Tate condition, or CST condition for short. Then theorem 1 is still true for those \mathbb{Q}_p -algebras after passing to a finite extension of K . For example, the overconvergent elements for radius r in \tilde{B} will form a domain that satisfies the CST condition.

2 The Colmez-Sen-Tate condition

Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be a \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra, with a valuation $\mathrm{val}_\Omega : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, namely the following holds

1. $\mathrm{val}_\Omega(x) = +\infty$ if and only if $x = 0$.

2. $\text{val}_\Omega(x + y) \geq \min \text{val}_\Omega(x), \text{val}_\Omega(y)$.
3. $\text{val}_\Omega(xy) \geq \text{val}_\Omega(x) + \text{val}_\Omega(y)$.
4. $\text{val}_\Omega(p) > 0$ and $\text{val}_\Omega(px) = \text{val}_\Omega(p) + \text{val}_\Omega(x)$ if $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$.

We assume $\tilde{\Omega}$ to be complete with respect to the topology defined by val_Ω . And suppose $\tilde{\Omega}$ is equipped by an action of G_K that preserves the valuation. We say that $\tilde{\Omega}$ satisfies the CST condition if there exists three constants $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that the following three conditions hold.

CST1 For every finite extensions M/L of K , there exists $\alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}^{H_M}$ such that $\text{val}_\Omega(\alpha) > -c_1$ and $\text{Tr}_{M_\infty/L_\infty}(\alpha) = 1$.

CST2 For every finite extension L of K , there exists $n(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and an increasing sequence $\{\Omega_{L,n}\}_{n \geq n(L)}$ of closed sub \mathbb{Q}_p -algebras of $\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}$ along with maps $R_{L,n} : \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L} \rightarrow \Omega_{L,n}$ satisfying the following properties.

1. if $x \in \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}$ then $\text{val}_\Omega(R_{L,n}(x)) \geq \text{val}_\Omega(x) - c_2$ and $R_{L,n}(x) \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. if L_2/L_1 is finite, then $\Omega_{L_1,n} \subseteq \Omega_{L_2,n}$ and $R_{L_2,n}|_{\Omega_{L_1,n}} = R_{L_1,n}$.
3. $R_{L,n}$ is $\Omega_{L,n}$ -linear and is the identity on $\Omega_{L,n}$.
4. if $g \in G_K$ then $g(\Omega_{L,n}) = \Omega_{g(L),n}$ and $g \circ R_{L,n} = R_{g(L),n} \circ g$.

CST3 For every finite extension L of K , there exists $m(L) \geq n(L)$ such that if $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ and $n \geq \max(n(\gamma), m(L))$, then $1 - \gamma$ is invertible on $X_{L,n} = (1 - R_{L,n})(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L})$ and we have $\text{val}_\Omega((\gamma - 1)^{-1}(x)) \geq \text{val}_\Omega(x) - c_3$ if $x \in X_{L,n}$.

Here $n(\gamma)$ means $\text{val}_p(\chi(\gamma) - 1)$, namely γ topologically generates Γ_{L_n} .

By CST2, we have $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_{L,n} \oplus X_{L,n}$. Let $\Omega_{L,\infty} := \cup_{n \geq 0} \Omega_{L,n}$ so that $\Omega_{L,n}$ is dense in $\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}$.

In the previous lectures, we've known that \mathbb{C}_p is a typical example for the CST condition. For CST1, choose any $c_1 > 0$, it follows by proposition 9.2 that $\text{tr}_{M_\infty/L_\infty}(\mathfrak{m}_{M_\infty}) = \mathfrak{m}_{L_\infty}$, then take some $\alpha' \in \mathfrak{m}_{M_\infty}$ such that $\text{val}_p(\text{tr}_{M_\infty/L_\infty}(\alpha')) < c_1$. And then $\alpha := \alpha' / \text{tr}(\alpha')$ will be okay. For CST2 and CST3, it follows from the discussion in §10.

Now we can introduce the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3. *If $\tilde{\Omega}$ satisfies the CST condition, and U is a cocycle on G_K with values in $\text{Gl}_d(\tilde{\Omega})$, then there exists a finite extension L/K , and a matrix $M \in \text{Gl}_d(\tilde{\Omega})$ such that the cocycle on G_L defined by $\tilde{U} : g \mapsto M^{-1}U_g g(M)$ is trivial on H_L and has values in $\text{Gl}_d(\Omega_{L,n})$ for $n \gg 0$.*

Definition 1. For $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $R \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}$ is a subring. Denote

$$\text{Gl}_d(c, R) := \{X \in \text{Gl}_d(R) \mid \text{val}_\Omega(1 - X) \geq c\}$$

Notice that if $X \in \text{Gl}_d(c, R)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} X^{-1} &= (1 - (1 - X))^{-1} \\ &= 1 + (1 - X) + (1 - X)^2 + \dots \in \text{Gl}_d(c, R) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{Gl}_d(c, R)$ is an open (and hence closed) subgroup of $\text{Gl}_d(R)$.

Lemma 1. If $a > c_1$, U is a cocycle on H_L with values in $\mathrm{Gl}_d(a, \tilde{\Omega})$, then there exists $M \in \mathrm{Gl}_d(a - c_1, \tilde{\Omega})$ such that the cocycle $g \mapsto M^{-1}U_g g(M)$ has values in $\mathrm{Gl}_d(a + 1, \tilde{\Omega})$.

Proof. Take some finite extension N/L such that $U(H_N) \subseteq \mathrm{Gl}_d(a + 1 + c_1, \tilde{\Omega})$. It's possible because $U^{-1}(\mathrm{Gl}_d(a + 1 + c_1, \tilde{\Omega}))$ is a compact open neighborhood of the identity, and thus contains an open subgroup. Then by CST1, there exists $\alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}^{H_N}$ such that $\mathrm{tr}_{N_\infty/L_\infty}(\alpha) = 1$, $\mathrm{val}_\Omega(\alpha) \geq -c_1$.

Take Q to be a system of representations of the cosets H_L/H_N , and define

$$M_Q := \sum_{h \in Q} h(\alpha)U_h \in \mathrm{Gl}_d(a - c_1, \tilde{\Omega}).$$

Then by the cocycle relation, we have $U_g g(M_Q) = M_{gQ}$. If we take M to be M_Q , then $M_Q^{-1}U_g g(M_Q) = M_Q^{-1}M_{gQ} = 1 + M_Q^{-1}(M_{gQ} - M_Q)$. So it suffices to show that $\mathrm{val}_\Omega(M_{gQ} - M_Q) \geq a + 1$. Notice that gQ is also a representation of the cosets H_L/H_N , for any $h' \in gQ$, write it as $h' = hn$ with $h \in Q, n \in H_N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{gQ} - M_Q &= \sum_{h' \in gQ} h'(\alpha)U_{h'} - M_Q \\ &= \sum_{h \in Q} h(\alpha)U_h h(U_n) - M_Q \\ &= \sum_{h \in Q} h(\alpha)U_h (h(U_n) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

So we have $\mathrm{val}_\Omega(M_{gQ} - M_Q) \geq a + 1$. □

Corollary 1. If $a > c_1$, U a cocycle on H_L , then there exists $M \in \mathrm{Gl}_d(a - c_1, \tilde{\Omega})$ such that $M^{-1}U_g g(M) = 1$.

Proof. Using lemma 1, take $\{M_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ inductively, then the cocycle

$$g \mapsto M_k^{-1}M_{k-1}^{-1} \dots M_0^{-1}U_g g(M_0 M_1 \dots M_k)$$

has values in $\mathrm{Gl}_d(a + k, \tilde{\Omega})$.

Since we have $M_k \in \mathrm{Gl}_d(a + k - c_1, \tilde{\Omega})$, the product $\prod_{k \geq 0} M_k$ exists, and then we can take $M = \prod_{k \geq 0} M_k$. □

Proposition 1. The inflation map

$$H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\widehat{\mathbb{K}_\infty})) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathrm{G}_K, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p))$$

is a bijection.

Proof. By the inflation-restriction exact sequence, it suffices to show $H^1(H_K, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p))$ is trivial.

For $U \in H^1(H_K, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p))$, pick some $a > c_1$, and a finite extension L/K such that $U(H_L) \subseteq \mathrm{Gl}_d(a, \mathbb{C}_p)$. By the previous corollary, $U|_{H_L}$ is trivial. Now consider the exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(H_K/H_L, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\widehat{\mathbb{L}_\infty})) \longrightarrow H^1(H_K, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p)) \longrightarrow H^1(H_L, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p))$$

U becomes trivial in $H^1(H_L, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p))$, then U must come from $H^1(H_K/H_L, \mathrm{Gl}_d(\widehat{\mathbb{L}_\infty}))$, which by Hilbert's 90 is also trivial. Hence U is trivial. □

Remark 1. This proof relies on Hilbert's 90, which is only true for the \mathbb{C}_p case. For general $\tilde{\Omega}$ that satisfies the CST conditions, we have to pass to a finite extension L , and the bijection still holds.

Lemma 2. If $a \geq c_2 + c_3 + 1$, $b \geq \max\{a + c_2, 2c_2 + 2c_3 + 1\}$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$, $n \geq \max\{n(\gamma), m(L)\}$. Suppose a matrix $U = 1 + U_1 + U_2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &\in M_d(\Omega_{L,n}) \quad \text{val}_\Omega(U_1) \geq a. \\ U_2 &\in M_d(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}) \quad \text{val}_\Omega(U_2) \geq b. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $M \in \text{Gl}_d(b - c_2 - c_3, \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L})$ such that $M^{-1}U\gamma(M) = 1 + V_1 + V_2$, with

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &\in M_d(\Omega_{L,n}) \quad \text{val}_\Omega(V_1) \geq a. \\ V_2 &\in M_d(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}) \quad \text{val}_\Omega(V_2) \geq b + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By CST2, write $U_2 = R_{L,n}(U_2) + X$ where $X \in X_{L,n}$. And by CST3, we may write $X = (1 - \gamma)(V)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{val}_\Omega(R_{L,n}(U_2)) &\geq b - c_2 \geq a. \\ \text{val}_\Omega(V) &\geq \text{val}_\Omega(X) - c_3 \geq b - c_2 - c_3. \end{aligned}$$

Take $M = 1 + V$ and $V_1 = U_1 + R_{L,n}(U_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + V)^{-1}U(1 + \gamma V) &= (1 - V + O(V^2))(1 + V_1 + (1 - \gamma)V)(1 + \gamma V) \\ &= 1 + V_1 + V_1O(V) + O(V^2) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{val}_\Omega(V_1) \geq \max\{\text{val}_\Omega(U_1), \text{val}_\Omega(R_{L,n}(U_2))\} \geq a$; $\text{val}_\Omega(V_1V) \geq a + b - c_2 - c_3 \geq b + 1$; $\text{val}_\Omega(V_2) \geq 2\text{val}_\Omega(V) \geq 2(b - c_2 - c_3) \geq b + 1$. \square

Corollary 2. If $b \geq 2c_2 + 2c_3 + 1$ and $U \in \text{Gl}_d(b, \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L})$, then there exists $M \in \text{Gl}_d(b - c_2 - c_3, \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L})$ such that $M^{-1}U\gamma(M) \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega_{L,n})$.

Proof. Exercise. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. By remark 1, we may assume $U \in H^1(\Gamma_L, \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L})$ for a finite extension L/K . Choose some $n \gg 0$ such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ that $n(\gamma) \geq n$, then $U_\gamma \in \text{Gl}_d(2c_2 + 2c_3 + 1, \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L})$.

Fix such a γ , by corollary 2, there exists $M \in \text{Gl}_d(c_2 + c_3 + 1, \tilde{\Omega})$ such that $U'_\gamma := M^{-1}U_\gamma\gamma(M) \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega_{L,n})$. For any other $\sigma \in \Gamma_L$, we also denote $U'_\sigma := M^{-1}U_\sigma\sigma(M)$. Then

$$U'_\gamma\gamma(U'_\sigma) = U'_{\gamma\sigma} = U'_{\sigma\gamma} = U'_\sigma\sigma(U'_\gamma)$$

shows $U'_\gamma^{-1}U'_\sigma\sigma(U'_\gamma) = \gamma(U'_\sigma)$. It suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$ and $n \geq \max\{n(\gamma), m(L)\}$, with three matrices

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &\in \text{Gl}_d(> c_3, \Omega_{L,n}) \\ M_2 &\in \text{Gl}_d(> c_3, \Omega_{L,n}) \\ B &\in M_{d_1 \times d_2}(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}) \end{aligned}$$

If $M_1BM_2 = \gamma(B)$, then $B \in M_{d_1 \times d_2}(\Omega_{L,n})$.

Proof of Lemma 3. Let $C = B - R_{L,n}(B) \in M_{d_1 \times d_2}(X_{L,n})$, then we also have $M_1 C M_2 = \gamma(C)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma - 1)C &= \gamma(C) - C \\ &= (M_1 - 1)C M_2 + M_1 C (M_2 - 1) + (M_1 - 1)C (M_2 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{val}_\Omega((\gamma - 1)C) > \text{val}_\Omega(C) + c_3$. But by CST3, it shows $\text{val}_\Omega(C) = +\infty$, namely $C = 0$, and $B \in M_{d_1 \times d_2}(\Omega_{L,n})$. \square

Back to theorem 2, then we have $U'_\sigma \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega_{L,n})$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_L$. \square

Now we are able to prove proposition 2, and together with proposition 1, theorem 1 follows.

Proposition 2. *Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , then the restriction map*

$$H^1(H_K, \text{Gl}_d(\Omega_{K,\infty})) \longrightarrow H^1(H_K, \text{Gl}_d(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_K}))$$

is a bijection.

Proof. In the proof of theorem 3, we have proved the surjectivity. Now for the injectivity, if U, U' be two cocycles that become cohomologous in $\text{Gl}_d(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_K})$, namely there exists $M \in \text{Gl}_d(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_K})$, such that $M^{-1}U_\gamma \gamma(M) = U'_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. Choose γ sufficiently close to 1, then $U_\gamma, U'_\gamma \in \text{Gl}_d(c_3 + 1, \Omega_{K,\infty})$. Apply lemma 3, we have $M \in \text{Gl}_d(\Omega_{K,\infty})$. Then U and U' are also cohomologous in $\text{Gl}_d(\Omega_{K,\infty})$. \square

If we translate the language of cohomology into Galois representations, we then get the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *If W is a free $\tilde{\Omega}$ -module of rank d with an action of G_K , then for $n \gg 0$ there exists a finite extension L/K and a $\Omega_{L,n}$ -submodule $W_{L,n} \subseteq W^{H_L}$ which is free of rank d and stable under Γ_L , and such that $W = \tilde{\Omega} \otimes_{\Omega_{L,n}} W_{L,n}$. Moreover, taking $\Omega_{L,\infty} := \Omega_{L,n} \otimes_{L_n} L_\infty$, if $X_{L,\infty}$ is an $\Omega_{L,\infty}$ -submodule of W^{H_L} which is free of rank d and stable under Γ_L then $X_{L,\infty} \subseteq W_{L,\infty}$.*

Proof. The existence of $W_{L,n}$ just follows from theorem 3. For the second part of the theorem, fix a basis of $W_{L,\infty}$ and a basis of $X_{L,\infty}$. Let B be the matrix of the basis of $X_{L,\infty}$ under the basis of $W_{L,\infty}$. Then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$, consider the matrix of γ we have

$$B^{-1} \text{Mat}_W(\gamma) \gamma(B) = \text{Mat}_X(\gamma)$$

Choose γ close enough to 1 to satisfy the condition of lemma 3, we have $B \in \Omega_{L,n}$ for $n \gg 0$. Then $X_{L,\infty} \subseteq W_{L,\infty}$. \square

This theorem shows that $W_{L,\infty}$ is canonical, although the choice of $W_{L,n}$ may not be canonical.

3 Sen's Operator

In this section we set $\tilde{\Omega} = \mathbb{C}_p$. And in theorem 4 we need not pass to a finite extension L . In this case, we denote $D_{\text{Sen}}(W) := W_{K,\infty}$.

Definition 2. For a \mathbb{C}_p -representation of G_K , then a vector $v \in W^H$ is K -finite if the set $\Gamma_K.v$ generates a finite K -subspace of W .

For example, choose a $W_{K,n}$ for $n \gg 0$, then elements of $W_{K,n}$ must be all K -finite. Denote the set of finite elements by W_0 , then we have $D_{\text{Sen}}(W) = W_{K,n} \otimes K_\infty \subseteq W_0 \subseteq D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$. Hence $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ is exactly the finite vectors in W^H .

As a corollary, taking D_{Sen} is left exact. And then by dimensional analysis, it is also exact.

Fix a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d\}$ of $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$, by theorem 3 it corresponds to a cocycle $U : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{Gl}_d(K_n)$ for some $n \gg 0$. Then on Γ_{K_n} the map U is actually a group homomorphism, and is hence \mathbb{Z}_p -linear. We may also assume on Γ_{K_n} , $\log \circ U$ is well defined, for example, $U(\Gamma_{K_n}) \subseteq \text{Gl}_d(1, K_n)$. Then there exist a unique linear endomorphism Θ of $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ defined by

$$\text{Mat}(\Theta) = \frac{\log U_\gamma}{\log_p \chi(\gamma)}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_{K_n}.$$

which is independent on the choice of γ since U is \mathbb{Z}_p -linear on Γ_{K_n} . In another word, we have

$$\gamma.v = \exp(\log(\chi(\gamma)) \cdot \Theta).v$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{K_n}$ and $v \in D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$. We can also rewrite the definition of Θ

$$\Theta(v) = \frac{1}{\log_p \chi(\gamma)} \lim_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \\ t \rightarrow 0}} \frac{\gamma^t.v - v}{t}, \quad \text{for } t \in D_{\text{Sen}}(W).$$

in the above formula it's easy to see Θ commutes with the whole Γ_K .

Definition 3. The $\Theta : D_{\text{Sen}}(W) \rightarrow D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ defined above is called the **Sen's operator** of W , and its eigenvalues are called **Sen weights**.

Proposition 3. *If W is a \mathbb{C}_p -representation of G_K , then the characteristic polynomial of Θ_W has coefficients in K .*

Proof. Since Θ commutes with any element $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$, then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$, we have

$$\text{Mat}(\Theta) \cdot U_\gamma = \text{Mat}(\Theta \circ \gamma) = \text{Mat}(\gamma \circ \Theta) = U_\gamma \cdot \gamma(\text{Mat}(\Theta)).$$

Hence $\gamma(\text{Mat}(\Theta))$ is similar to $\text{Mat}(\Theta)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. It follows that the characteristic polynomial of $\text{Mat}(\Theta)$ is invariant under Γ_K , namely it lies in $K[X]$. \square

Example 1. *Take $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$, then $\chi(G_K) = (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$ on which \log_p is convergent. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, define $\chi^\lambda(\cdot) := \exp(\log_p(\chi(\cdot)) \cdot \lambda)$, then we can take $W := \mathbb{C}_p(\lambda)$. The Sen's operator Θ is multiplication by λ , then W has the weight λ .*

4 Hodge-Tate representations

We set $B_{\text{HT}} := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_p(i)$, then we can talk about the B_{HT} -admissible representations.

Definition 4. Suppose V is a \mathbb{Q}_p -representation of G_K , then W is **Hodge-Tate** or **B_{HT} -admissible** if the following holds

$$\dim_{\widehat{K_\infty}} (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{HT}})^{G_K} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V.$$

where we denote $D_{\text{HT}} := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{HT}})^{G_K}$.

A strict definition for B -admissible representations can be found in many notes. It's a powerful method to detect properties of a representation. For example, in following lectures we will introduce the domain B_{dR} , then V is a de Rham representation iff it is B_{dR} -admissible. We will not discuss it here, and just take two examples. In the next section we will talk about \mathbb{C}_p -admissible representations.

Definition 5. Note that if V is Hodge-Tate, then $D_{\text{HT}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p(i))^{G_K}$. The i for which $\dim(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p(-i))^{G_K} > 0$ are called the Hodge-Tate weights of V , and in this case $\dim(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p(-i))^{G_K}$ is called the multiplicity of i .

Theorem 5. *Suppose W is a \mathbb{C}_p -representation of G_K , then the following three things are equivalent.*

- 1) W is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}_p(h_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}_p(h_d)$.
- 2) Θ is semisimple on $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ with eigenvalues $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. 1) obviously implies 2). Now for 2) \Rightarrow 1), we can write

$$D_{\text{Sen}}(W) = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{Sen}}(W)^{\Theta=h}$$

where each summand is stable under Γ_K . For each $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Theta = h$ on $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)^{\Theta=h}$. Thus there exists some open subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_K$ such that $\gamma.v = \chi(\gamma)^h(v)$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ and $v \in D_{\text{Sen}}(W)^{\Theta=h}$. Use Hilbert's 90 we can see it's true on the whole Γ_K . Hence $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)^{\Theta=h}$ is a direct sum of $K_\infty(h)$, which implies 1). \square

So a \mathbb{Q}_p -representation V is Hodge-Tate if $W = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p$ satisfies the conditions in theorem 5. Example 1 shows that semi-simple is not enough to force a \mathbb{Q}_p -representation to be Hodge-Tate.

Corollary 3. *If there is an exact sequence of G_K representations*

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow 0$$

and if U, W are Hodge-Tate with no weights in common, then V is Hodge-Tate.

Example 2. *If U and W has common weights, then corollary 3 may not be true. Consider a two-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -representation with*

$$\rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha(g) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha : G_K \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p, +)$$

where α is such that $\alpha(I_K)$ is infinite, which is possible since $I(K^{\text{ab}}/K) \simeq \mathcal{O}_K^*$. Then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

But if V is Hodge-Tate, then its weights must be $0, 0$, and so Θ must be 0 , and by theorem 2, I_K must have finite image.

Proposition 4. *If W satisfies the condition in theorem 5, then if $X \subseteq D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ is a finite dimensional K_n vector space stable under Γ_K and has a basis on which Γ_{K_n} acts by integer powers of χ , then $X \subseteq W_{K,n}$.*

Proof. Choose a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d\}$ of $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ that Γ_K acts on it by χ^{h_i} . Suppose $e_{d+1} \in D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ such that $g(e_{d+1}) = \chi(g)^{h_{i+1}} e_{d+1}$ for all $g \in \Gamma_{K_n}$. The elements e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{d+1} are linear dependant in $D_{\text{Sen}}(W)$ so that we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \lambda_i e_i = 0.$$

Suppose that this relation has minimal length and then by letting Γ_{K_n} acts, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} g(\lambda_i) \chi(g^{h_i}) e_i = 0.$$

then for nonzero λ_i, λ_j we have

$$\frac{g(\lambda_i)}{\lambda_i} \chi(g)^{h_i-1} = \frac{g(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_j} \chi(g)^{h_j-1}.$$

namely

$$\lambda_i / \lambda_j \in K_{\infty}^{\Gamma_{K_n} = \chi^{h_j - h_i}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } h_i \neq h_j. \\ K_n & \text{if } h_i = h_j. \end{cases}$$

So the relation can have coefficients in K_n , which means $e_{d+1} \in W_{K,n}$. \square

Example 3. *A typical example for Hodge-Tate representations is the Tate module of Tate curves, which is an elliptic curve E/K that is isomorphic to $K^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some $|q| < 1$.*

Looking at the group structure of \overline{K}^ , we have an exact sequence*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}(\overline{K}) \longrightarrow (\overline{K}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}})[p^n] \longrightarrow q^{1/p^n \mathbb{Z}}/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Then by taking limits, we have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \varprojlim \mu_{p^n}(\overline{K}) \longrightarrow T_p(E_q) \longrightarrow \varprojlim q^{1/p^n \mathbb{Z}}/q^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 1.$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \longrightarrow T_p(E_q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

So after tensoring with \mathbb{Q}_p , we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(1) \longrightarrow V_p(E_q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

So its Tate module is Hodge-Tate with weights 0 and 1 .

There are two big generalizations of example 3.

Theorem 6 (Raynaud). *Any abelian variety over K of dimension g is Hodge-Tate with weights $0, 1$, each of multiplicity g .*

Theorem 7 (Faltings). *Let X/K be a proper and smooth variety of dimension d , then for $0 \leq n \leq 2d$, $H_{\text{et}}^n(X, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is Hodge-Tate.*

5 A sketch to the proof of theorem 2

I'm not going to talk about this section in class. If you have interest, then you are free to have a look at this section and its reference.

Firstly, to show (1) \Leftrightarrow (3), it suffices to show the stronger result.

Proposition 5. *If W is a \mathbb{C}_p -representation of G_K . The kernel of Θ is the \mathbb{C}_p -subspace of W generated by the elements invariant under G . Namely $W^G \otimes_K \mathbb{C}_p = \ker \Theta$.*

Proof. Denote $\ker \Theta$ by X . Obviously $W^G \subseteq X = \ker \Theta$. So it suffices to show that W^G actually generates X , in another word, to find a K_∞ -basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of $D_{\text{Sen}}(X)$ such that each e_i is fixed by Γ_K . But since $\Theta(e_i) = 0$, by the formula

$$\Theta(v) = \frac{1}{\log_p \chi(\gamma)} \lim_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \\ t \rightarrow 0}} \frac{\gamma^t \cdot v - v}{t}, \quad \text{for } t \in D_{\text{Sen}}(W).$$

the Γ_K -orbit of e_i is finite. Hence e_i is fixed by some open subgroup Γ_i . Take $\Gamma' := \bigcap_i \Gamma_i$, the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is fixed by the open subgroup Γ' . Using Hilbert's 90, there exists a basis of $D_{\text{Sen}}(X)$ fixed by the whole Γ . \square

Corollary 4. *In theorem 2, (1) and (3) are equivalent.*

To see (2) \Leftrightarrow (3), we need the following theorem. The proof for it is much more complicated, you can find it in [1].

Theorem 8. *Let (ρ, V) be any \mathbb{Q}_p -representation, and let $G := \rho(I_K) \subseteq \text{Gl}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. G is compact since I_K is compact and thus is a closed subgroup of a Lie group, so is itself a Lie group. Let its Lie algebra be \mathfrak{g} . Thus*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{M \in \mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p) \mid \exp(tM) \in G, t \rightarrow 0\}$$

This is a subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\dim G = \dim \mathfrak{g}$. Then \mathfrak{g} is the smallest subspace of $\mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $\Theta \in \mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p)$ lies in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}_p \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_d(\mathbb{C}_p)$.

Proof. See [1], p100. \square

=

References

- [1] [Theory of \$p\$ -adic Galois Representations](#), Jean-Marc Fontaine, Yi Ouyang.
- [2] [Galois Representations](#), Joel Bellaïche.