

ON SOME PARTIALLY DE RHAM GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

YIWEN DING

ABSTRACT. In this note, we study some partially de Rham representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L)$ for a finite Galois extension L of \mathbb{Q}_p . We study some related subspaces of Galois cohomology and cohomology of B -pairs. We prove partial non-criticalness implies partial de Rhamness for trianguline representations. As an application, we obtain a partial classicality result (in terms of Galois representations) for overconvergent Hilbert modular forms. We also associate a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation of $\text{GL}_2(L)$ to a 2-dimensional (generic) trianguline $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L)$ -representation, which generalizes some of Breuil's theory in crystalline case [8].

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Notations and some p -adic Hodge theory	4
2. Galois cohomology	6
2.1. Bloch-Kato Selmer groups	6
2.2. Tate duality	7
3. B -pairs and cohomology of B -pairs	10
3.1. B -pairs	10
3.2. Cohomology of B -pairs	14
3.3. Trianguline representations	17
4. Some applications	18
4.1. Overconvergent Hilbert modular forms	19
4.2. Locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of $\text{GL}_2(L)$ in the trianguline case	20
References	23

INTRODUCTION

Let L be a finite Galois extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree d , E a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing all the embeddings of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, denote by Σ_L the set of embeddings of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (hence in E). Let V be a finite dimensional continuous representation of $G_L := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/L)$ over E , by Fontaine's theory, one

can associate to V an $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module $D_{\text{dR}}(V) := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}})^{G_L}$. Using the isomorphism

$$L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} E, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto (\sigma(a)b)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L},$$

one can decompose $D_{\text{dR}}(V)$ as $D_{\text{dR}}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} D_{\text{dR}}(V)_{\sigma}$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, we say that V is σ -de Rham if $\dim_E D_{\text{dR}}(V)_{\sigma} = \dim_E V$ (see also [31]). More generally, for $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, V is called J -de Rham if V is σ -de Rham for all $\sigma \in J$.

Partially de Rham G_L -representations appear naturally in the study of p -adic families of G_L -representations (e.g. see [29] and [12]), and may play a role in the p -adic Langlands program (cf. [6]), initialized by Breuil. In fact, for a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation V of $\text{GL}_2(L)$ (or other p -adic L -analytic groups) over E and for any $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, one can consider the J -classical vectors of V (cf. §4.2, for example, all the locally J -analytic vectors (cf. [28, §2]) are $\Sigma_L \setminus J$ -classical, the locally algebraic vectors are Σ_L -classical). In the author's thesis ([12]), we studied eigenvarieties X constructed from (the locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic vectors of) the completed cohomology group \widehat{H}^1 (cf. [14]) of unitary Shimura curves. It turned out that for any $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, $J \neq \emptyset$, there exists a closed subspace X_J of X associated to the J -classical vectors of \widehat{H}^1 (cf. [12, §6.2.1]). Moreover, we showed that the (2-dimensional) Galois representations associated to points in X_J (which we call J -classical points) are always J -de Rham (cf. [12, Prop. 6.2.40]). We conjecture that the inverse is also true, i.e. for a closed point z of X , if the associated Galois representation is J -de Rham and the weight of z is J -dominant, then z is J -classical (cf. [12, Conj.6.2.41]). For example, when $J = \Sigma_L$, this is implied by Fontaine-Mazur conjecture. The “relation” between J -classical vectors and J -de Rham Galois representations is rather a new phenomenon in the p -adic Langlands program for $L \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$. Besides, the J -de Rham Galois representations may also be useful in the study of p -adic Hilbert modular forms (e.g. see Thm.0.5).

In this note, using a Lubin-Tate version of p -adic Hodge theory developed in [17], we study some related subspaces of Galois cohomology and of cohomology of B -pairs (or equivalently cohomology of (φ, Γ) -modules by [3]), and thus some partially de Rham trianguline representations. We summarize some results in 2-dimensional case in the introduction.

Firstly consider extensions of Galois characters. Let χ_1, χ_2 be two de Rham characters of G_L over E , of respective Hodge-Tate weights $(-k_{\chi_1, \sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L}, (-k_{\chi_2, \sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L}$ (where we use the convention that the Hodge-Tate weight of the p -adic cyclotomic character χ_{cyc} is -1). Consider the (finite dimensional) E -vector space $\text{Ext}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1)$ of G_L -extensions of χ_2 by χ_1 . For $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, we put

$$(1) \quad \text{Ext}_{g, J}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1) := \{[V] \in \text{Ext}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1) \mid V \text{ is } J\text{-de Rham}\}$$

which is an E -vector subspace of $\text{Ext}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1)$. Suppose $D_{\text{cris}}(\chi_2 \chi_1^{-1} \chi_{\text{cyc}})^{\varphi=1} = 0$, then one has

Theorem 0.1 (cf. §1). $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{g, J}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1) = \dim_E \text{Ext}^1(\chi_2, \chi_1) - |\{\sigma \in J \mid k_{\chi_1, \sigma} - k_{\chi_2, \sigma} < 1\}|$.

Example 0.2. Let $\chi : G_L \rightarrow L^\times$ be a Lubin-Tate character, $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, we put $\chi_\sigma := \sigma \circ \chi : G_L \rightarrow E^\times$. By this theorem, $\text{Ext}_{g, \sigma}^1(E, \chi_\sigma) = \text{Ext}^1(E, \chi_\sigma)$, thus any extension of the trivial character of G_L by χ_σ is σ -de Rham, which generalizes the well-known result: extensions of the trivial character by cyclotomic character are always de Rham.

One can also define J -de Rham (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_E := B_{\text{rig}, L}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ (or equivalently J -de Rham E - B -pairs, cf. Def. 3.10). For a continuous character χ of L^\times over E , we denote by $(k_{\chi, \sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \in E^d$ the weights of χ (cf. §3.3) and $\mathcal{R}_E(\chi)$ the associated rank 1 (φ, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R}_E (cf. [24, §1.4], $k_{\chi, \sigma}$ is in fact the inverse of the generalized Hodge-Tate weights of $\mathcal{R}_E(\chi)$). Let χ_1, χ_2 be two continuous characters of L^\times over E , denote by $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2), \mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1))$ the (finite dimensional) E -vector space of extensions (of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_E) of $\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2)$ by $\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1)$.

Suppose $k_{\chi_i, \sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, $i = 1, 2$, and for $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, denote by $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma), g, J}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2), \mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1))$ the E -vector subspace of $\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2), \mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1))$ generated by J -de Rham extensions of $\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2)$ by $\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1)$. Suppose moreover $\chi_2 \chi_1^{-1} \neq \text{unr}(q) \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{k_\sigma}$ for any $(k_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ (where $\text{unr}(z)$ denotes the unramified character of L^\times sending uniformizers to z).

Theorem 0.3 (cf. Cor.3.20). *Keep the above notation, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_E \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma), g, J}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2), \mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1)) \\ = \dim_E \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2), \mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1)) - |\{\sigma \in J \mid k_{\chi_1, \sigma} - k_{\chi_2, \sigma} < 1\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let ρ be a 2-dimensional trianguline G_L -representation (cf. [11]) over E such that $[D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)] \in \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(\chi_2), \mathcal{R}_E(\chi_1))$ (where $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)$ denotes the (φ, Γ) -module associated to ρ , and the characters χ_1, χ_2 are as above), for $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, we call ρ *non- σ -critical* if $k_{\chi_1, \sigma} - k_{\chi_2, \sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ (cf. Def.3.23). We can thus deduce from Thm.0.3:

Corollary 0.4 (cf. Prop.3.24). *Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, if ρ is non- σ -critical, then ρ is σ -de Rham.*

This corollary generalizes the “non-critical trianguline representations are de Rham” result, which might be seen as a Galois version of Coleman’s classicality result: “small slope modular forms are classical”. Indeed, this corollary allows to get a (Galois version of) partial classicality result of Hilbert modular forms: “partially small slope Hilbert modular forms are partially classical”, giving evidence to Breuil’s conjectures in [7]:

Let F be a totally real number field with p inert (for simplicity), $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $k_\sigma \equiv w \pmod{2}$, for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_{F_p}$, h an overconvergent Hilbert eigenform of weights $(\underline{k}_{\Sigma_{F_p}}; w)$ (where we adopt Carayol’s convention of weights as in [10]) of tame level N ($N \geq 4$, $p \nmid N$) with the U_p -eigenvalue $a_p \in E^\times$. Denote by ρ_h the associated Galois representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$, and $v_p(\cdot)$ the additive valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ normalized by $v_p(p) = 1$.

Theorem 0.5 (cf. §4.1). *Keep the above notation, and let $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \Sigma_{F_p}$.*

- (1) *If $v_p(a_p) < \inf_{\sigma \in S} \{k_\sigma - 1\} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\varphi} \frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}$, then $\rho_{h,p} := \rho_h|_{G_{F_p}}$ is S -de Rham.*
- (2) *If $v_p(a_p) < \sum_{\sigma \in S} (k_\sigma - 1) + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\varphi} \frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}$, then there exists $\sigma \in S$ such that $\rho_{h,p}$ is σ -de Rham.*

Note that the statement in (1) in the case $S = \Sigma_{F_p}$ (and F_p unramified) is implied by the classicality results of [30, Thm.1] (note that the convention of weights in *loc. cit.* is slightly different from ours).

The notion “partially de Rham” allows to get a more precise classification of trianguline representations. As a result, in §4.2, we associate a semi-simple locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation $\Pi(\rho)$ of $\text{GL}_2(L)$ to a 2-dimensional trianguline representation ρ of $\text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$, generalizing some of Breuil’s theory in crystalline case [8]. We expect $\Pi(\rho)$ to be the socle of the “right” representation associated to ρ in the p -adic Langlands program (cf. Conj.4.9). The corollary 0.4 plays an important role in the construction of $\Pi(\rho)$ (see Rem.4.6 (2)). A main philosophy in our construction is that if ρ is σ -de Rham, then $\Pi(\rho)$ should have σ -classical vectors, which more or less motivates our study of σ -de Rham Galois representations.

The results in this paper also find applications in establishing Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formulas (on \mathcal{L} -invariants) in critical case [13], thus might find applications in p -adic L -functions in $L \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ case. Besides, these results would also be useful for investigation of local behavior of the eigenvarieties constructed in [12] (or of certain deformation spaces), which we leave for future work.

Acknowledgements. This work is motivated by my PhD thesis, under the supervision of Christophe Breuil, to whom I am very grateful for providing such excellent guidance. I also thank him for mentioning to me the problem of generalizing the theory in [8] to trianguline case. I would like to thank Liang Xiao for drawing my attention to J -de Rham Galois representations. I thank Yi Ouyang for communicating to me a new version of [18], from which I learnt the exact sequence (2) (in unramified case).

1. NOTATIONS AND SOME p -ADIC HODGE THEORY

Recall some results in p -adic Hodge theory and introduce some notations, our main reference is [17]. Let L be a finite Galois extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree d with \mathcal{O}_L the ring of integers and ϖ_L a uniformizer, L_0 the maximal unramified sub-extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in L of degree d_0 with \mathcal{O}_{L_0} the ring of integers, $q := |\mathcal{O}_L/\varpi_L| = p^{d_0}$, Σ_L the set of \mathbb{Q}_p -embeddings of L inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, $v : \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ the p -adic additive valuation on \mathbb{C}_p normalized by sending ϖ_L to 1.

Recall Fontaine's ring

$$R := \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mid x_{n+1}^p = x_n, x_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

which is of characteristic p , equipped with a natural action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$: $g((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}) = (g(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}})$. Let $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \in R$, let $\widetilde{x}_n \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ be an arbitrary lift of x_n for all n , one can prove the sequence $\{\widetilde{x}_n^{p^n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ converges in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, and put $x^{(0)} := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \widetilde{x}_n^{p^n}$. The map $R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, $x \mapsto x^{(0)}$ is multiplicative (and $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -invariant), and we define a valuation $v_R : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$ with $v_R(x) := v(x^{(0)})$. Let $\varepsilon := (\zeta_{p^n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \in R$, where ζ_{p^n} is a primitive p^n -th root of unity.

Let $W(R)$ be the Witt ring of R , and $W_{\mathcal{O}_L}(R) := W(R) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_0}} \mathcal{O}_L$. One can also construct $W_{\mathcal{O}_L}(R)$ more intrinsically by the theory of Witt \mathcal{O}_L -vectors (cf. [17, §5.1]). Any element $x \in W_{\mathcal{O}_L}(R)$ can be uniquely written as

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [x_n] \varpi_L^n$$

where $[\cdot] : R \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_L}(R)$ denotes the Teichmüller lifting. The Witt \mathcal{O}_L -ring $W_{\mathcal{O}_L}(R)$ is naturally equipped with an action of G_L and φ_L (which commutes):

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [x_n] \varpi_L^n\right) &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [g(x_n)] \varpi_L^n, \quad \forall g \in G_L, \\ \varphi_L\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [x_n] \varpi_L^n\right) &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} [x_n^q] \varpi_L^n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B_L^{+,b} := W_{\mathcal{O}_L}(R)[\frac{1}{p}]$, thus an element $x \in B_L^{+,b}$ can be uniquely written as $x = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} [x_n] \varpi_L^n$. The action of G_L and φ_L on $W_{\mathcal{O}_L}(R)$ extends naturally to $B_L^{+,b}$. For any $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, one has a valuation v_r on $B_L^{+,b}$:

$$v_r : B_L^{+,b} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad v_r\left(\sum_{n \gg -\infty} [x_n] \varpi_L^n\right) := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{v_R(x_n) + rn\}.$$

Denote by $B_{r,L}^+$ the completion of $B_L^{+,b}$ via the valuation v_r , which turns out to be a Banach space over L , moreover, for $r' \geq r$, one has a natural inclusion $B_{r',L}^+ \subseteq B_{r,L}^+$ (cf. [17, §5.2.3]). Put $B_L^+ := \bigcap_{r>0} B_{r,L}^+$. All these L -algebras are equipped with a natural action of G_L and φ_L (which extends that on $B_L^{+,b}$), moreover $\varphi_L(B_{r,L}^+) = B_{qr,L}^+$ (cf. [17, §5.2.3]), thus φ_L is bijective on B_L^+ . One has $B_L^+ \cong B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L_0}} L$, and $\varphi_L = \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{d_0} \otimes \text{id}$ respectively (cf. [17, §5.2.4]).

Put $P_{L,\varpi_L} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (B_L^+)^{\varphi_L = \varpi_L^n}$ (cf. [17, Def.9.1]), which is a graded L -algebra, and let $X_L := \text{Proj}(P_{L,\varpi_L})$ (cf. [17, Def.10.1], which turns out to be independent of the choice of ϖ_L , see the discussion after [17, Def.10.1]). By [17, Thm.10.2 (1)], X_L is a completed curve defined over L , and $X_L \cong X_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$. One has a one-to-one correspondence between L -lines in $(B_L^+)^{\varphi_L = \varpi_L}$ and closed points of X_L (cf. [17, Thm.10.2 (4)]).

Consider the \mathbb{Q}_p -case, let $t = \log([\varepsilon]) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{([\varepsilon]-1)^n}{n} \in (B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = p}$, which is usual cyclotomic period element ($g(t) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)t$ for $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) and corresponds to a closed point ∞ of $X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. The completion of the curve $X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ at the point ∞ is Fontaine's ring B_{dR}^+ . Let $B_e := (B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+[\frac{1}{t}])^{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \text{id}} = B_{\text{cris}}^{\varphi = \text{id}}$, by [17, Thm.10.2 (6)], one has $D^+(t) := X_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \setminus \{\infty\} = \text{Spec}(B_e)$, B_e is a PID. There is an exact sequence ($G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -invariant)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow B_e \oplus B_{\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{(x,y) \mapsto x-y} B_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow 0$$

which is the so-called *p-adic fundamental exact sequence*.

Consider the natural covering $\pi : X_L \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, one sees $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$ is of cardinality d . We fix an embedding $\iota : L \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}^+$ in this note (thus an embedding $\iota : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$), in other words, we fix a closed point $\infty_\iota \in \pi^{-1}(\infty)$, which induces an embedding $\iota : B_L^+ \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}^+$. Let $t_L \in (B_L^+)^{\varphi_L = \varpi}$ be the element corresponding to ∞_ι (which is unique up to scalars in L^\times), thus $t_L \in tB_{\text{dR}}^+ \setminus t^2B_{\text{dR}}^+$ (cf. [17, Thm.10.2 (5)]). Let $B_{e,L} := (B_L^+[\frac{1}{t_L}])^{\varphi_L = \text{id}}$, by [17], $D^+(\infty_\iota) = \text{Spec } B_{e,L}$, and $B_{e,L}$ is a PID. The L -line $L \cdot t_L$ is stable by G_L . One gets in fact a Lubin-Tate character $\chi_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}} : G_L \rightarrow L^\times$, $\chi_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}}(g) = g(t_L)/t_L$. One has a G_L -invariant exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow B_{e,L} \oplus B_{\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{(x,y) \mapsto x-y} B_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow 0,$$

called *the p-adic fundamental exact sequence of $B_{e,L}$* . Indeed, it's clear that $L \subseteq B_{e,L} \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+$; let $x \in B_{e,L} \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+$ with $z := xt_L^n \in B_L^+$, thus $z \in (B_L^+)^{\varphi_L = \varpi_L^n} \cap t_L^n B_{\text{dR}}^+$, which is zero if $n \geq 1$ by [17, Thm.9.10], one can thus assume $n = 0$, so $x \in (B_L^+)^{\varphi_L = \text{id}} = L$ (cf. [17, Prop.7.1]). To see $B_{\text{dR}} = B_{e,L} + B_{\text{dR}}^+$, one can use induction on $t_L^{-n} B_{\text{dR}}^+$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$: suppose $t_L^{-n} B_{\text{dR}}^+ \subseteq B_{e,L} + B_{\text{dR}}^+$, let $x \in t_L^{-(n+1)} B_{\text{dR}}^+ \setminus t_L^{-n} B_{\text{dR}}^+$, thus $x = t_L^{-(n+1)} y$ with $y \in B_{\text{dR}}^+ \setminus t_L B_{\text{dR}}^+$. By [17, Thm.9.10], there exists $z \in (B_L^+)^{\varphi_L = \varpi_L^{n+1}} \subset t_L^{n+1} B_{e,L}$ and $\lambda \in t_L B_{\text{dR}}^+$ such that $y = z + \lambda$, thus $x = t_L^{-(n+1)}(z + \lambda) \in B_{e,L} + t_L^{-n} B_{\text{dR}}^+ = B_{e,L} + B_{\text{dR}}^+$ (by assumption).

Since $X_L \cong X_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$, for any affine subset U of $X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, one can equip $\mathcal{O}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \cong \mathcal{O}(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$ with an action of $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ given by $\tau(u \otimes \lambda) := u \otimes \tau(\lambda)$ for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $u \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $\lambda \in L$. This action induces a regular $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action on $\pi^{-1}(z)$ for each closed point z of $X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (cf. [17, Thm.10.2 (7)]). For $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, let $t_L^\tau \in (B_L^+)^{\varphi_L = \varpi}$ be the element (up to scalars in L^\times) corresponding to the closed point $\tau(\infty_\iota)$ (by [17, Thm.10.2 (4)]). If $\tau \neq 1$, then $\tau(\infty_\iota) \neq \infty_\iota$, thus $t_L^\tau \in (B_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\times}$ (since $\infty_\tau \notin V^+(t_L^\tau)$, recall we have fixed the embedding $\iota : B_L^+ \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}^+$). Let $B'_{e,L} := B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$, so $X_L \setminus \pi^{-1}(\infty) = D^+(\pi^{-1}(\infty)) \cong \text{Spec } B'_{e,L}$. The embedding ι induces also an embedding $\iota : B'_{e,L} \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}$. In the following, we view $B_{e,L'}$, $B_{e,L}$ etc. as L -subalgebras of B_{dR} via ι with no further mention.

Let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$, the closed point $\tau(\infty_\iota)$ of $D^+(t_L)$ is defined by the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_\tau := (\frac{t_L^\tau}{t_L})$ of $B_{e,L}$. Since $D^+(\pi^{-1}(\infty)) = D^+(\infty_\iota) \setminus \{\tau(\infty_\iota)\}_{1 \neq \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$, $B'_{e,L}$ is the localization of $B_{e,L}$ by inverting the elements $\{t_L^\tau/t_L\}_{1 \neq \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$. For $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$, denote by $\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_\iota)} : B_{e,L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$ the valuation defined by $\frac{t_L^\tau}{t_L}$, which extends naturally to a valuation

$\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)} : B'_{e,L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Note that for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$

$$(3) \quad \text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(t'_L/t_L) = \begin{cases} 0 & \tau' \neq \tau \\ 1 & \tau' = \tau \end{cases}.$$

One has $B_{e,L} = \{x \in B'_{e,L} \mid \text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(x) \geq 0, \forall \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p), \tau \neq 1\}$. In other words, one has

Lemma 1.1. $B_{e,L} = \{x \in B'_{e,L} \mid \tau(x) \in B_{\text{dR}}^+, \forall \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p), \tau \neq 1\}$.

Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p which contains all the embeddings of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. For an L -algebra B (e.g. $B = B_{e,L}, B'_{e,L}$ etc.) and $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, set $B_\sigma := B \otimes_{L,\sigma} E$. So we have

$$(4) \quad B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \xrightarrow{\sim} B \otimes_L (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) \xrightarrow{\sim} B \otimes_L \left(\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} E \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} B_\sigma.$$

For a $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module M , using the above isomorphism, we can decompose M as

$$(5) \quad M \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in L} M_\sigma$$

where $M_\sigma \cong M \otimes_{B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} B_\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$.

The $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action on $B'_{e,L}$ induces a $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action on $B'_{e,L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. Moreover, for any $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, τ induces an isomorphism $\tau : B'_{e,L,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} B'_{e,L,\sigma \circ \tau^{-1}}$. One can deduce easily from Lem.1.1:

Lemma 1.2. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, then $B_{e,L,\sigma} = \{x \in B'_{e,L,\sigma} \mid \tau(x) \in B_{\text{dR},\sigma \circ \tau^{-1}}^+, \forall \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p), \tau \neq 1\}$.

Let V be a finite dimensional continuous representation of G_L over E , set $D_*(V) := (B_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_L}$ where $*$ $\in \{\text{dR}, e, \{e, L\}\}$. When B_* is moreover an L -algebra, $D_*(V)$ is an $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module, and we have $D_*(V)_\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} (B_{*,\sigma} \otimes_E V)^{G_L}$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. Put $D'_{e,L}(V) := (B'_{e,L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_L}$, by the isomorphism $B'_{e,L} \cong B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$, one sees $D'_{e,L}(V)_\sigma \cong D_e(V)$ as E -vector spaces for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. There also exists a $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action on $D'_{e,L}(V)$ (induced by that on $B_{e,L}$), and one can easily deduce from Lem.1.1 the

Lemma 1.3. $D_{e,L}(V) = \{v \in D'_{e,L}(V) \mid \tau(v) \in D_{\text{dR}}^+(V) \text{ for all } \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p), \tau \neq 1\}$.

2. GALOIS COHOMOLOGY

2.1. Bloch-Kato Selmer groups. Let V be a finite dimensional continuous representation of G_L over E , put (cf. [5, §3]):

$$\begin{aligned} H_e^1(G_L, V) &:= \text{Ker} [H^1(G_L, V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_e)], \\ H_g^1(G_L, V) &:= \text{Ker} [H^1(G_L, V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}})], \\ H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) &:= \text{Ker} [H^1(G_L, V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, V \otimes_E B_{e,L,\sigma})], \\ H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) &:= \text{Ker} [H^1(G_L, V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, V \otimes_E B_{\text{dR},\sigma})], \\ H_{e',\sigma}^1(G_L, V) &:= \text{Ker} [H^1(G_L, V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, V \otimes_E B'_{e,L,\sigma})]. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, one has natural injections $H_e^1(G_L, V) \hookrightarrow H_g^1(G_L, V)$, $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \hookrightarrow H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, V)$, $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \hookrightarrow H_{e',\sigma}^1(G_L, V)$. Since $B'_{e,L} \cong B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$, one easily deduces $H_e^1(G_L, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{e',\sigma}^1(G_L, V)$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. Thus for $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, one gets a natural injection

$$(6) \quad j_\sigma : H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \hookrightarrow H_e^1(G_L, V).$$

By the isomorphism $B_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E V$, one sees

$$\cap_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) = H_g^1(G_L, V).$$

Put $H_{g,J}^1(G_L, V) := \cap_{\sigma \in J} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, V)$ for $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, $J \neq \emptyset$. Assume that V is J -de Rham (i.e. $\dim_E D_{\text{dR}}(V)_\sigma = \dim_E V$ for all $\sigma \in J$, see the introduction), and let $[W] \in H^1(G_L, V)$, where W is an extension of E (with the trivial action of G_L) by V :

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0,$$

thus $[W] \in H_{g,J}^1(G_L, V)$ if and only if W is J -de Rham. Put $H_{e,J}^1(G_L, V) := \text{Im}(\sum_{\sigma \in J} j_\sigma) \subseteq H_e^1(G_L, V) \subseteq H^1(G_L, V)$ (cf. (6)). For $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq J'$, one has thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \subseteq H_{e,J}^1(G_L, V) &\subseteq H_{e,J'}^1(G_L, V) \subseteq H_e^1(G_L, V) \\ &\subseteq H_g^1(G_L, V) \subseteq H_{g,J'}^1(G_L, V) \subseteq H_{g,J}^1(G_L, V) \subseteq H^1(G_L, V). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, by taking tensor products $- \otimes_{L,\sigma} E$, one deduces from (2) an exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow B_{e,L,\sigma} \oplus B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \rightarrow B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \rightarrow 0,$$

tensoring with V , one gets

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow B_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_E V \oplus B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V \rightarrow B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E V \rightarrow 0.$$

By taking Galois cohomology, one gets

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow V^{G_L} \rightarrow D_{e,L}(V)_\sigma \oplus D_{\text{dR}}^+(V)_\sigma &\rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V)_\sigma \xrightarrow{\delta_\sigma} H^1(G_L, V) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_E V) \oplus H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E V), \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in [5, Lem.3.8.1], one has

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose V is σ -de Rham, then $H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E V)$ is injective.*

Consequently, in this case, one deduces from (9) an exact sequence

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow V^{G_L} \rightarrow D_{e,d}(V)_\sigma \oplus D_{\text{dR}}^+(V)_\sigma \rightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(V)_\sigma \xrightarrow{\delta_\sigma} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \rightarrow 0.$$

2.2. Tate duality. We use " \cup " to denote the cup-products (in Galois cohomology). For a finite dimensional continuous G_L -representation V over E , we denote by V^\vee the dual representation, and by $V(1)$ the twist of V by the p -adic cyclotomic character. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, we denote by \cup_σ the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \cup_\sigma : H^1(G_L, V) \times D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee(1))_\sigma &\longrightarrow H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E V) \times D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee(1))_\sigma \\ &\xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E E(1)). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following commutative diagram (see also [5, (3.8.6)])

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^1(G_L, V) \times D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee(1))_\sigma & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \delta_\sigma)} & H^1(G_L, V) \times H^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) \\ \cup_\sigma \downarrow & & \cup \downarrow \\ H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E E(1)) & \xrightarrow{\delta_\sigma} & H^2(G_L, E(1)) \cong E \end{array},$$

where the δ_σ 's are the connecting maps obtained by taking Galois cohomology of (8) (with V replaced by $V^\vee(1)$ or $E(1)$). This diagram, together with (10) (with V replaced by $V(1)$), shows that if V is σ -de Rham then $H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \subseteq H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V^\vee(1))^\perp$ via the perfect pairing:

$$(12) \quad \cup : H^1(G_L, V) \times H^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) \longrightarrow H^2(G_L, E(1)) \cong E.$$

Indeed, let $V' := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, one can equip V' with a natural E -action and G_L -action (these two actions commute). One has isomorphisms $V^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_E(V, E) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong V'$. Thus the Tate pairing $H^1(G_L, V) \times H^1(G_L, V'(1)) \rightarrow H^2(G_L, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$ equals to the composition of the pairing (12) with $\text{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}$, from which one deduces (12) is perfect.

Proposition 2.2. *If V is σ -de Rham, then we have*

$$H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V^\vee(1))^\perp.$$

Proof. This proposition follows by the same argument as in [5, Prop.3.8]. We give the proof for the convenience of the reader. It's sufficient to prove

$$(13) \quad \delta_\sigma \circ \cup : H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E V) \times D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee(1))_\sigma \longrightarrow H^2(G_L, E(1))$$

is a perfect pairing. Consider the following commutative diagram (deduced from (2), where $B_{\text{dR}}^1 := tB_{\text{dR}}^+$, θ denotes the morphism $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$)

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L(1) & \longrightarrow & (tB_{e,L}) \oplus B_{\text{dR}}^1 & \longrightarrow & B_{\text{dR}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L(1) & \longrightarrow & (tB_{e,L} \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+) \oplus B_{\text{dR}}^1 & \longrightarrow & B_{\text{dR}}^+ \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L(1) & \longrightarrow & (tB_{e,L}) \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+ & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

by taking tensor products $- \otimes_{L,\sigma} E$, we deduce from the bottom exact sequence (recall \mathbb{C}_p is viewed as an L -algebra via ι):

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow E(1) \rightarrow (tB_{e,L,\sigma}) \cap B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \rightarrow 0.$$

As in the proof of [5, Prop.3.8], we show that to prove (13) is perfect, it's sufficient to prove the following pairing is perfect:

$$(16) \quad H^1(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E V) \times H^0(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E V^\vee) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}) \xrightarrow{\delta_\sigma} H^2(G_L, E(1))$$

where δ_σ is induced by (15). Indeed, if we identify $D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee(1))_\sigma$ and $D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee)_\sigma$ as E -vector spaces, then the pairing (13) equals to the pairing

$$H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma} \otimes_E V) \times D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee)_\sigma \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma}) \longrightarrow H^2(G_L, E(1))$$

where the last map is induced by the top exact sequence in (14) (twisted via $- \otimes_{L,\sigma} E$); moreover by (14), one sees the restricted map

$$H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) \times D_{\text{dR}}^+(V^\vee)_\sigma (\cong \text{Fil}^{-1} D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee(1))_\sigma) \longrightarrow H^2(G_L, E(1))$$

factors through (16). Since V is σ -de Rham, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(G_L, tB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) &\cong \text{Ker} [H^1(G_L, B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E V)], \\ D_{\text{dR}}^+(V^\vee(1))_\sigma &\cong \text{Fil}^1 D_{\text{dR}}^+(V^\vee)_\sigma \cong \text{Ker} [D_{\text{dR}}^+(V^\vee)_\sigma \rightarrow H^0(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma})] \end{aligned}$$

So if (16) is a perfect pairing, then the orthogonal complement of $H^1(G_L, tB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V)$ (via (13)) is exactly $D_{\text{dR}}^+(V^\vee(1))_\sigma$, from which we deduce (where the second isomorphism follows from the above discussion applied to $V(n-1) := V \otimes_E \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{n-1}$)

$$H^1(G_L, t^n B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V)^\perp \cong H^1(G_L, t^n B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V(n-1))^\perp \cong D_{\text{dR}}^+(V^\vee(2-n))_\sigma \cong \text{Fil}^{1-n} D_{\text{dR}}(V^\vee(1))$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Thus to show (13) is perfect, it's sufficient to show $H^1(G_L, t^n B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) = 0$ when $n \gg 0$. Consider the Hodge-Tate decomposition (since V is σ -de Rham)

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E V \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}(i)^{\oplus n_i}$$

with $\mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}(i) \cong \mathbb{C}_p(i) \otimes_{L,\sigma} E$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $n_i = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$; this, together with the fact $H^1(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}(i)) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ shows the natural morphism

$$H^1(G_L, t^n B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) \longrightarrow H^1(G_L, t^{n-1} B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V)$$

is an isomorphism when $n \gg 0$, and hence $H^1(G_L, t^n B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E V) = 0$ when $n \gg 0$.

In the following, we show (16) is perfect. By using the Hodge-Tate decomposition (17) of V , one reduces to the case $\mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E V \cong \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $H^i(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}(n)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, if $n \neq 0$, one reduces to the case $\mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E V \cong \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}$; since $\dim_E H^i(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}) = 1$ for $i = 0, 1$, one reduces to show the δ_σ in (16) is non-zero, and hence it's sufficient to show that the following map (induced by the bottom exact sequence of (14)),

$$(18) \quad \delta : H^1(G_L, \mathbb{C}_p) \longrightarrow H^2(G_L, L(1))$$

is non-zero. Consider the following G_L -invariant exact sequence (induced by (2) by the same way as in (14) by replacing t by t_L)

$$(19) \quad 0 \rightarrow L(\chi_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}}) \rightarrow (t_L B_{e,L}) \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $((t_L B_{e,L}) \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+)^{G_L} = 0$, the induced map $\delta' : H^0(G_L, \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, L(\chi_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}}))$ is injective. Let $u_L := t/t_L \in (B_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\times}$, G_L acts on u_L (and also on $\theta(u_L) \in \mathbb{C}_p$) via the character $\chi' := \chi_{\text{cyc}} \chi_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}}^{-1}$. One has a commutative diagram

$$(20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L(\chi_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}}) & \longrightarrow & (t_L B_{e,L}) \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \times \chi' \downarrow & & \times u_L \downarrow & & \times \theta(u_L) \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L(1) & \longrightarrow & (t B_{e,L}) \cap B_{\text{dR}}^+ & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Thus the bottom exact sequence of (20) of G_L -representations is the just twist of (19) by the character χ' . One gets hence a commutative diagram

$$(21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(G_L, \mathbb{C}_p) \times H^1(G_L, L(\chi')) & \xrightarrow{(\delta', \text{id})} & H^1(G_L, L(\chi_{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{T}})) \times H^1(G_L, L(\chi')) \\ \cup \downarrow & & \cup \downarrow \\ H^1(G_L, \mathbb{C}_p) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^2(G_L, L(1)) \end{array},$$

from which one sees δ is non-zero since the top horizontal arrow is injective and the cup-product on the right side is a perfect pairing (by Tate duality). \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, $J \neq \emptyset$, and assume that V is J -de Rham, then the Tate pairing induces a bijection of E -vector spaces: $H_{e,J}^1(G_L, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{g,J}^1(G_L, V^\vee(1))^\perp$. In particular, $H_{g,J}^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) = H^1(G_L, V^\vee(1))$ if $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) = 0$ for any $\sigma \in J$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that V is de Rham, then we have $H_{e,\Sigma_L}^1(G_L, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_e^1(G_L, V)$.*

Proof. We have the following isomorphisms

$$H_{e,\Sigma_L}^1(G_L, V)^\perp \cong H_{g,\Sigma_L}^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) = H_g^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) \cong H_e^1(G_L, V)^\perp,$$

(we refer to [5, Prop. 3.8] for the last isomorphism), and hence $H_{e,\Sigma_L}^1(G_L, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_e^1(G_L, V)$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose V is de Rham and $V^{G_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_e(V)$, then the map*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} j_\sigma : \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \longrightarrow H_e^1(G_L, V)$$

is bijective.

Proof. Since $V^{G_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_e(V)$, the injections $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L)^{G_L} \hookrightarrow D'_{e,L}(V)$ and $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L)^{G_L} \hookrightarrow D_{e,L}(V)$ are also bijective. By [5, Cor.3.8.4], $H_e^1(G_L, V) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(V)/D_{\text{dR}}^+(V)$; by (10), one has $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(V)_\sigma/D_{\text{dR}}^+(V)_\sigma$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. In particular, we have

$$\dim_E H_e^1(G_L, V) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \dim_E H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V).$$

The corollary follows thus from Cor.2.4. \square

Remark 2.6. *This corollary is not true in general. For example, if V is crystalline and $D_{\text{dR}}^+(V) = 0$, $D_e(V) \neq 0$, by the lemma 1.3, we see $D_{e,L}(V) = 0$. So in this case, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \dim_E H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \dim_E (D_{\text{dR}}(V)_\sigma/D_{\text{dR}}^+(V)_\sigma) \\ &> \dim_E H_e^1(G_L, V) = \dim_E (D_{\text{dR}}(V)/(D_{\text{dR}}^+(V) + D_e(V))). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose V is de Rham and $V^{G_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_e(V)$, then the following map*

$$(22) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in J} j_\sigma : \bigoplus_{\sigma \in J} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \longrightarrow H_{e,J}^1(G_L, V)$$

is bijective for any $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, $J \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_E H_{g,J}^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) &= \dim_E H^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) - \sum_{\sigma \in J} \dim_E H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, V) \\ &= \dim_E H^1(G_L, V^\vee(1)) - \sum_{\sigma \in J} \dim_E (D_{\text{dR}}(V)_\sigma/D_{\text{dR}}^+(V)_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first part of the corollary follows from Cor.2.5. The second part follows from the isomorphism (22) together with Cor.2.3 and (10). \square

Proof of Thm.0.1. By the corollary 2.7 applied to $V := \chi_2 \chi_1^{-1} \chi_{\text{cyc}}$, the theorem follows. \square

3. B-PAIRS AND COHOMOLOGY OF B-PAIRS

3.1. B-pairs. Recall Berger's B -pairs ([3]).

Definition 3.1 (cf. [3, §2]). (1) *A B -pair of G_L is a couple $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ where W_e is a finite free B_e -module equipped with a semi-linear continuous action of G_L , and W_{dR}^+ is a G_L -stable B_{dR}^+ -lattice of $W_{\text{dR}} := W_e \otimes_{B_e} B_{\text{dR}}^+$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we say that W is of dimension r if the rank of W_e over B_e equals to r .*

(2) *Let W, W' be two B -pairs, a morphism $f : W \rightarrow W'$ is defined to be a G_L -invariant B_e -linear map $f_e : W_e \rightarrow W'_e$ such that the induced B_{dR} -linear map $f_{\text{dR}} := f_e \otimes \text{id} : W_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow W'_{\text{dR}}$ sends W_{dR}^+ to $(W')_{\text{dR}}^+$. Moreover, we say that f is strict if the B_{dR}^+ -module $(W')_{\text{dR}}^+ / f_{\text{dR}}^+(W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ is torsion free, where $f_{\text{dR}}^+ := f_{\text{dR}}|_{W_{\text{dR}}^+}$.*

By [3, Thm. 2.2.7], there exists an equivalence of categories between the category of B -pairs and that of (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring $B_{\text{rig}, L'_0}^\dagger$ (where L'_0 denotes the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in $L_\infty := \cup_n L(\zeta_{p^n})$, e.g. see [3, §1.1]).

Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be a B -pair of dimension r , set $W'_{e,L} := W_e \otimes_{B_e} B'_{e,L} \cong W_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$, which is a finite free $W'_{e,L}$ -module of rank r equipped with a semi-linear action of G_L (induced by that on

W_e), and an action of $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ given by $\text{id} \otimes \sigma$ for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$). These two actions commute, and $W_e \xrightarrow{\sim} (W'_{e,L})^{\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$. We define

$$W_{e,L} := \{w \in W'_{e,L} \mid \tau(w) \in W_{\text{dR}}^+, \forall \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p), \tau \neq 1\},$$

which is hence a $B_{e,L}$ -module by Lem.1.3. Note that $W_{e,L}$ is stable under the action of G_L .

Recall for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$, one has a valuation on $B'_{e,L}$: $\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)} : B'_{e,L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ (cf. §1). Put $\text{ord}_{\infty_i}(x) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \in t_L^n B_{\text{dR}}^+\}$, one sees $\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(x) = \text{ord}_{\infty_i}(\tau^{-1}(x))$. For a B -pair $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$, $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, put

$$\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)} : W'_{e,L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}, \quad w \mapsto \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \tau^{-1}(w) \in t_L^n W_{\text{dR}}^+\},$$

so $\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(aw) = \text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(a) + \text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(w)$ for any $a \in B'_{e,L}$ and $w \in W'_{e,L}$. We have thus

$$W_{e,L} = \{w \in W'_{e,L} \mid \text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(w) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p), \tau \neq 1\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let W be a B -pair of dimension r , then $W_{e,L}$ is a finite free $B_{e,L}$ -module of rank r , and we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(23) \quad W_{e,L} \otimes_{B_{e,L}} B'_{e,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_{e,L}.$$

Proof. Let $0 \neq w \in W'_{e,L}$, and set $n_\tau := \text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(w)$ for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Put

$$w' := \prod_{\substack{\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p) \\ \tau \neq 1}} (t_L^\tau / t_L)^{-n_\tau} w.$$

By (3), one sees $\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(w') = 0$ for any $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$, and thus $w' \in W_{e,L}$. The surjectivity of (23) follows.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be a basis of $W'_{e,L}$ over $B'_{e,L}$. For any $j = 1, \dots, r$, by multiplying e_j by an invertible element in $B'_{e,L}$, we can assume that $\text{ord}_{\tau(\infty_i)}(e_j) = 0$ for any $1 \neq \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (see the above argument). So we have an inclusion

$$(24) \quad B_{e,L}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_{e,L}e_r \subseteq W_{e,L}.$$

For $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, let $M_\tau \in \text{GL}_r(B'_{e,L})$ such that

$$\tau(e_1, \dots, e_r) = (e_1, \dots, e_r)M_\tau.$$

Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ be a basis of W_{dR}^+ over B_{dR}^+ , then there exists $N \in \text{GL}_r(B_{\text{dR}})$ such that

$$(f_1, \dots, f_r) = (e_1, \dots, e_r)N.$$

For $x = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j e_j \in W'_{e,L}$ (with $\lambda_j \in B'_{e,L}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$), if $x \in W_{e,L}$, by definition, $\tau(x) \in W_{\text{dR}}^+$ for all $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$, from which one can deduce for all $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$, there exist $\mu_{\tau,1}, \dots, \mu_{\tau,r} \in B_{\text{dR}}^+$ such that

$$(25) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tau(\lambda_1) \\ \vdots \\ \tau(\lambda_r) \end{pmatrix} = M_\tau^{-1} N \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\tau,1} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{\tau,r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $n_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $M_\tau^{-1} N \in t_L^{-n_\tau} M_\tau(B_{\text{dR}}^+)$. So $\tau(\lambda_j) \in t_L^{-n_\tau} B_{\text{dR}}^+$ (thus $\text{ord}_{\tau^{-1}(\infty_i)}(\lambda_j) \geq -n_\tau$) for any $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$. By Lem.1.1,

$$\prod_{\substack{\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p) \\ \tau \neq 1}} (t_L^{\tau^{-1}} / t_L)^{n_\tau} \lambda_j \in B_{e,L}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r$, and so $(\prod_{\substack{\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p) \\ \tau \neq 1}} (t_L^{\tau-1}/t_L)^{n_\tau})x \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^r B_{e,L}e_j$. Hence

$$(26) \quad W_{e,L} \subseteq \prod_{\substack{\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p) \\ \tau \neq 1}} (t_L^{\tau-1}/t_L)^{-n_\tau} (B_{e,L}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{e,L}e_r).$$

Since $B_{e,L}$ is a PID, by (24) and (26), we see $W_{e,L}$ is a finite free $B_{e,L}$ -module of rank r . The injectivity of (23) follows. \square

Definition 3.3 (cf. [24, Def.1.2 and 1.4]). (1) Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p which contains all the p -adic embeddings of L in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, an E - B -pair is a B -pair $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ such that W_e is moreover a finite $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module, and W_{dR}^+ is a G_L -stable $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -lattice of $W_{\text{dR}} := W_e \otimes_{B_e} B_{\text{dR}}$.

(2) Let W, W' be two E - B -pairs, a morphism $f : W \rightarrow W'$ is defined to be a morphism of B -pairs such that $f_e : W_e \rightarrow W'_e$ (cf. Def. 3.1 (2)) is moreover $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -linear.

Lemma 3.4 (cf. [24, Lem.1.7 and 1.8]). Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an E - B -pair, then W_e (resp. W_{dR}^+) is finite free over $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ (resp. $B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$). Moreover we have $\text{rk}_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} W_e = \text{rk}_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} W_{\text{dR}}^+ =: r$, and we call r the rank of the E - B -pair W .

Note that there exists an equivalence of categories between the category of E - B -pairs and that of (φ, Γ) -modules over $B_{\text{rig}, L'_0}^+ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ (cf. [24, Thm.1.36]).

Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an E - B -pair of rank r . The following corollary follows easily from the lemma 3.4 and the proposition 3.2.

Corollary 3.5. $W_{e,L}$ is a finite free $B_{e,L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank r and $W_{e,L} \otimes_{B_{e,L}} B'_{e,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_{e,L}$.

With the above notation, one has decompositions $W_{e,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} W_{e,L,\sigma}$, $W'_{e,L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} W'_{e,L,\sigma}$, $W_{\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$. The $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action on $W'_{e,L}$ induces isomorphisms

$$\tau : W'_{e,L,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} W'_{e,L,\sigma \circ \tau^{-1}}$$

for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, one has

$$W_{e,L,\sigma} = \{w \in W'_{e,L,\sigma} \mid \tau(w) \in W_{\text{dR},\sigma \circ \tau^{-1}}^+, \forall \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p), \tau \neq 1\}.$$

Definition 3.6. (1) Let $\sigma : L \hookrightarrow E$, a B_σ -pair W_σ is a couple $(W_{e,L,\sigma}, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)$ where $W_{e,L,\sigma}$ is a finite free $B_{e,L,\sigma}$ -module equipped with a semi-linear G_L -action, and $W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$ is a G_L -invariant $B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$ -lattice in $W_{\text{dR},\sigma} := W_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,L,\sigma}} B_{\text{dR},\sigma}$.

(2) Let W_σ, W'_σ be two B_σ -pairs, a morphism $f : W_\sigma \rightarrow W'_\sigma$ is defined to be a G_L -invariant $B_{e,L,\sigma}$ -linear map $f_{e,L,\sigma} : W_{e,L,\sigma} \rightarrow W'_{e,L,\sigma}$ such that the induced $B_{\text{dR},\sigma}$ -linear map $f_{\text{dR},\sigma} := f_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes \text{id} : W_{\text{dR},\sigma} \rightarrow W'_{\text{dR},\sigma}$ sends $W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$ to $(W')_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, the functor

$$(27) \quad F_\sigma : \{E\text{-}B\text{-pairs}\} \longrightarrow \{B_\sigma\text{-pairs}\}, \quad W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+) \mapsto W_\sigma := (W_{e,L,\sigma}, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)$$

induces an equivalence of categories.

We construct an inverse of F_σ :

Lemma 3.8. Let $M_\sigma := (M_{e,L,\sigma}, M_{\text{dR},\sigma})$ be a B_σ -pair, then there exists an E - B -pair $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ such that $W_{e,L,\sigma} \cong M_{e,L,\sigma}$ and $W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \cong M_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$.

Proof. We construct W as follow. Let $\{e_{1,\sigma}, \dots, e_{r,\sigma}\}$ be a basis of $M_{e,L,\sigma}$ over $B_{e,L,\sigma}$, put $M'_{e,L,\sigma} := M_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,L}} B'_{e,L}$, which is thus free $B'_{e,L,\sigma}$ -module of rank r equipped with a $B'_{e,L,\sigma}$ -semi-linear action of G_L . For $g \in G_L$, let $A_g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(B_{e,L,\sigma}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_r(B'_{e,L,\sigma})$ such that

$$g(e_{1,\sigma}, \dots, e_{r,\sigma}) = (e_{1,\sigma}, \dots, e_{r,\sigma})A_g.$$

So $A_{g_1 g_2} = A_{g_1} g_1(A_{g_2})$, for $g_1, g_2 \in G_L$.

For $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, let $\sigma' := \sigma \circ \tau^{-1} \in \Sigma_L$, and $M'_{e,L,\sigma'}$ be a free $B'_{e,L,\sigma'}$ -module of rank r equipped with a $B'_{e,L,\sigma'}$ -semi-linear action of G_L such that the action on a basis $\{e_{1,\sigma'}, \dots, e_{r,\sigma'}\}$ is given by (recall one has an isomorphism $\tau : B'_{e,L,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\sim} B'_{e,L,\sigma'}$)

$$(28) \quad g(e_{1,\sigma'}, \dots, e_{r,\sigma'}) = (e_{1,\sigma'}, \dots, e_{r,\sigma'})\tau(A_g)$$

for all $g \in G_L$. This action is well defined since $\tau(A_{g_1 g_2}) = \tau(A_{g_1})g_1(\tau(A_{g_2}))$ for $g_1, g_2 \in G_L$.

Put $M'_{e,L} := \bigoplus_{\sigma' \in \Sigma_L} M'_{e,L,\sigma'}$, on which we define an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by

$$\tau\left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i e_{i,\sigma'}\right) := \sum_{i=1}^r \tau(a_i) e_{i,\sigma' \circ \tau^{-1}},$$

for $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i e_{i,\sigma'} \in M_{e,L,\sigma'}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. One can easily check $W_e := (M'_{e,L})^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ is a free $B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module of rank r (note $B_{e,L,\sigma'} \cong B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ for all σ') equipped with a B_e -semi-linear and E -linear action of G_L .

For $1 \neq \tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, let $\sigma' := \sigma \circ \tau^{-1} \in \Sigma_L$, let $M_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'} := M'_{e,L,\sigma'} \otimes_{B'_{e,L,\sigma'}} B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}$ which is a free $B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}$ -module of rank r equipped with a $B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}$ -semi-linear action of G_L . Let $M_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$ be the $B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$ -module generated by $\tau(M_{e,L,\sigma}) \subseteq \tau(M'_{e,L,\sigma}) \cong M'_{e,L,\sigma'}$. We claim

$$(29) \quad M_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^r B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+ e_{i,\sigma'}.$$

Indeed, since $\tau(e_{i,\sigma}) = e_{i,\sigma'}$ (by definition), the direction “ \supseteq ” is clear; since $\tau(B_{e,L,\sigma}) \subseteq B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$, the other direction is also clear. Moreover, $M_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$ is stable under the action of G_L (defined by (28)), because $\tau(A_g) \in \mathrm{GL}_r(B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+)$ for all $g \in G_L$. Put $W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ := M_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma}^+ \oplus (\bigoplus_{\sigma' \neq \sigma} M_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+)$.

It's clear that $W := (W_e, W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$ is an E - B pair, $W'_{e,L} \cong M'_{e,L}$ and $W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma}^+ \cong M_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma}^+$, thus it's sufficient to prove $W_{e,L,\sigma} \cong M_{e,L,\sigma}$. For any $x_\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i e_{i,\sigma} \in W'_{e,L,\sigma} = M'_{e,L,\sigma}$, by (29), we see $x_\sigma \in W_{e,L,\sigma}$ if and only if $\tau(a_i) \in B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma \circ \tau^{-1}}^+$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \neq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$, which implies, by Lem.1.2, that $a_i \in B_{e,L,\sigma}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. This concludes the proof. \square

By this lemma, one gets a functor $G_\sigma : \{B_\sigma\text{-pairs}\} \rightarrow \{E\text{-}B\text{-pairs}\}$, $M_\sigma \mapsto W$, and $F_\sigma \circ G_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$. It's sufficient to show $G_\sigma \circ F_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$. For an E - B -pair W , let $\tilde{W} := G_\sigma \circ F_\sigma(W)$, by Prop.3.2 (see also Cor.3.5) and the construction of G_σ , one has $\tilde{W}_e \cong W_e$ and $\tilde{W}_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma}^+ \cong W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma}^+$. Hence it's sufficient to prove $\tilde{W}_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+ \cong W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$ for $\sigma' \neq \sigma$. By the construction of G_σ as in the proof of Lem.3.8, we only need to show

Lemma 3.9. *Let $W := (W_e, W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)$ be an E - B -pair, let $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau \in 1$, $\sigma' := \sigma \circ \tau^{-1}$, then $W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$ is generated by $\tau(W_{e,L,\sigma}) \subseteq W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}$ as a $B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$ -module.*

Proof. By definition, one has $\tau(W_{e,L,\sigma}) \subseteq W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$. Now let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be a basis of $W_{e,L,\sigma}$ over $B_{e,L,\sigma}$, thus $\{\tau(e_1), \dots, \tau(e_r)\}$ is a basis of $W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}$ over $B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}$. Let $x \in W_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$, so there exist $a_i \in B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \tau(e_i)$, it's sufficient to prove $a_i \in B_{\mathrm{dR},\sigma'}^+$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Since $B_{e,L} + B_{\text{dR}}^+ = B_{\text{dR}}$ (thus $B_{e,L,\sigma'} + B_{\text{dR},\sigma'}^+ = B_{\text{dR},\sigma'}$), by subtracting x by elements in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r B_{\text{dR},\sigma'}^+ \tau(e_i)$, one may assume $a_i \in B_{e,L,\sigma'}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ (and thus $x \in W'_{e,L,\sigma'}$). Since $\tau'(B_{e,d,\sigma'}) \subseteq B_{\text{dR},\sigma' \circ (\tau')^{-1}}^+$ and $\tau'(e_i) \in W_{\text{dR}}^+$ for all $\tau' \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau' \neq 1$, we see $\tau'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r \tau'(a_i) \tau' \circ \tau(e_i) \in W_{\text{dR}}^+$ for all $\tau' \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tau' \neq \tau^{-1}$ (note $x \in W_{\text{dR},\sigma'}^+$ by assumption). Thus $\tau^{-1}(x) \in W_{e,L,\sigma}$, this concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Prop.3.7. By the above two lemmas, one has $F_\sigma \circ G_\sigma = \text{id}$ and $G_\sigma \circ F_\sigma = \text{id}$, the proposition follows. \square

Let W be an E - B -pair of rank r , we put

$$D_{\text{dR}}(W) := (W_e \otimes_{B_e} B_{\text{dR}})^{G_L} \cong (W_{e,L} \otimes_{B_{e,L}} B_{\text{dR}})^{G_L} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} (W_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,L,\sigma}} B_{\text{dR},\sigma})^{G_L},$$

which is an $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module, and we have $D_{\text{dR}}(W)_\sigma \cong (W_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,L,\sigma}} B_{\text{dR},\sigma})^{G_L}$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. It's known that $D_{\text{dR}}(W)_\sigma$ is an E -vector space of dimension $\leq r$.

Definition 3.10. *With the above notation, let $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, W is called J -de Rham if $\dim_E(D_{\text{dR}}(W)_\sigma) = r$ for all $\sigma \in J$.*

Similarly, put $D_e(W) := W_e^{G_L}$, $D_{e,L}(W) := W_{e,L}^{G_L} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} W_{e,L,\sigma}^{G_L}$, and $D'_{e,L}(W) := (W'_{e,L})^{G_L} \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} (W'_{e,L,\sigma})^{G_L}$. (thus $D_{e,L}(W)_\sigma \cong W_{e,L,\sigma}^{G_L}$, $D'_{e,L}(W)_\sigma \cong (W'_{e,L,\sigma})^{G_L}$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$). Note the composition $W_e \hookrightarrow W'_{e,L} \rightarrow W'_{e,L,\sigma}$ is bijective, G_L -invariant and induces an isomorphism $D_e(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} D'_{e,L}(W)_\sigma$.

At last, note that one can naturally associate an E - B -pair $W(V)$ to a finite dimensional continuous representation V of G_L over E as follows:

$$W(V) := (W(V)_e := B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V, W(V)_{\text{dR}}^+ := B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V).$$

Denote by B_E the trivial E - B -pair $((B_E)_e := B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E, (B_E)_{\text{dR}}^+ := B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$, and $B_{E,\sigma}$ the trivial B_σ -pair $F_\sigma(B_E)$.

3.2. Cohomology of B -pairs. Let W be an E - B -pair, in [24, §2.1], Nakamura has defined the *Galois cohomology of W* , denoted by $H^i(G_L, W)$, as the G_L -cohomology of the following complex $C^\bullet(W)$:

$$C_0(W) := W_e \oplus W_{\text{dR}}^+ \xrightarrow{(x,y) \mapsto x-y} W_{\text{dR}} =: C_1(W).$$

By definition, one has a long exact sequence

$$(30) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(G_L, W) \rightarrow H^0(G_L, W_e) \oplus H^0(G_L, W_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow H^0(G_L, W_{\text{dR}}) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G_L, W) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_e) \oplus H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR}}).$$

One easily sees $H^0(G_L, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(G_L, W_e \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+)$.

Proposition 3.11 ([24, Prop.2.2, Rem.2.3]). (1) *There exists a natural isomorphism $H^1(G_L, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^1(B_E, W)$, where $\text{Ext}^1(B_E, W)$ denotes the group of extensions of E - B -pairs of B_E by W .*

(2) *Let V be a finite dimensional continuous G_L -representation over E , then we have natural isomorphisms $H^i(G_L, W(V)) \cong H^i(G_L, V)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

Put (cf. [24, Def.2.4])

$$\begin{aligned} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W) &:= \text{Ker}[H^1(G_L, W) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma})], \\ H_g^1(G_L, W) &:= \text{Ker}[H^1(G_L, W) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR}})], \\ H_e^1(G_L, W) &:= \text{Ker}[H^1(G_L, W) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_e)], \end{aligned}$$

where the above maps are induced from the natural maps

$$C^\bullet(W) \rightarrow [W_e \rightarrow 0] \rightarrow [W_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow 0] \rightarrow [W_{\text{dR},\sigma} \rightarrow 0].$$

One has thus $H_g^1(G_L, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \cap_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$. If W is σ -de Rham, let $[X] \in H^1(G_L, W) \cong \text{Ext}^1(B_E, W)$, then X is σ -de Rham if and only if $[X] \in H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$. Put $H_{g,J}^1(G_L, W) := \cap_{\sigma \in J} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$, so if W is J -de Rham, $[X] \in H^1(G_L, W) \cong \text{Ext}^1(B_E, W)$, then X is J -de Rham if and only if $[X] \in H_{g,J}^1(G_L, W)$.

For an E - B -pair W , denote by W^\vee the dual of W :

$$W^\vee := \left(W_e^\vee := \text{Hom}_{B_e}(W_e, B_e), (W^\vee)_{\text{dR}}^+ := \text{Hom}_{B_{\text{dR}}^+}(W_{\text{dR}}^+, B_{\text{dR}}^+) \right)$$

one can check W^\vee , equipped with the natural E -action and G_L -action, is also an E - B -pair (e.g. see [24, Def.1.9(3)]). Denote by $W(1)$ the twist of W by $W(\chi_{\text{cyc}})$ where χ_{cyc} is the cyclotomic character of G_L over E (by base change):

$$W(1) := \left(W(1)_e := W_e \otimes_{B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} W(\chi_{\text{cyc}})_e, W(1)_{\text{dR}}^+ := W_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{B_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E} W(\chi_{\text{cyc}})_{\text{dR}}^+ \right).$$

One can show the cup product (see [25, Thm.5.10], note the composition of the following cup product with the trace map $\text{tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ equals that in *loc. cit.*)

$$(31) \quad \cup : H^1(G_L, W) \times H^1(G_L, W^\vee(1)) \longrightarrow H^2(G_L, B_E(1)) \cong H^2(G_L, \chi_{\text{cyc}}) \cong E$$

is a perfect pairing.

Proposition 3.12 ([24, Prop.2.10]). *If the E - B -pair W is de Rham, then the perfect pairing \cup induces an isomorphism $H_g^1(G_L, W) \cong H_e^1(G_L, W^\vee(1))^\perp$.*

Now we move to the category of B_σ -pairs. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, for an E - B -pair W , put $W_\sigma := F_\sigma(W) = (W_{e,L,\sigma}, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)$. Consider the following complex of G_L -modules, denoted by $C^\bullet(W_\sigma)$:

$$C_0(W_\sigma) := W_{e,L,\sigma} \oplus W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \xrightarrow{(x,y) \mapsto x-y} W_{\text{dR},\sigma} =: C_1(W_\sigma).$$

Put $H^i(G_L, W_\sigma) := H^i(G_L, C^\bullet(W_\sigma))$, so we have a long exact sequence

$$(32) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(G_L, W_\sigma) \rightarrow H^0(G_L, W_{e,L,\sigma}) \oplus H^0(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+) \rightarrow H^0(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta_\sigma} H^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{e,L,\sigma}) \oplus H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}).$$

One has $H^0(G_L, W_\sigma) \cong H^0(G_L, W_{e,L,\sigma} \cap W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)$.

Lemma 3.13. (1) *For a B -pair W , $W_{e,L} \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+$ (as an L -vector subspace of $W'_{e,L}$) is stable under the $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action, and one has $W_e \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+ \cong (W_{e,L} \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$.*

(2) *Let W be an E - B -pair, then the G_L -invariant composition $W_e \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+ \hookrightarrow W_{e,L} \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+ \twoheadrightarrow W_{e,L,\sigma} \cap W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. One has $W_{e,L} \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+ = \{w \in W_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L \mid \tau(w) \in W_{\text{dR}}^+, \forall \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)\}$. Part (1) follows. Part (2) follows easily from the isomorphism $W_e \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+ \cong (W_{e,L} \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$. \square

Thus for an E - B -pair W (with W_σ the associated B_σ -pair), one has

$$H^0(G_L, W_\sigma) \cong H^0(G_L, W_{e,L,\sigma} \cap W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+) \cong H^0(G_L, W_e \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+) \cong H^0(G_L, W).$$

Consider now $H^1(G_L, W_\sigma)$, by the same argument as in [24, §2.1] (see in particular the argument before [24, Prop.2.2]), one can show there exists a natural isomorphism between $H^1(G_L, W_\sigma)$ and the group of extensions of B_σ -pairs, i.e. G_L -extensions $(X_{e,L,\sigma}, X_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)$:

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow W_{e,L,\sigma} \rightarrow X_{e,L,\sigma} \rightarrow B_{e,L,\sigma} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \rightarrow X_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \rightarrow B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

such that $X_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,d}} B_{\text{dR}} \cong X_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_{B_{\text{dR}}^+} B_{\text{dR}}$. On the other hand, the functor G_σ induces an isomorphism $\text{Ext}^1(B_{E,\sigma}, W_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^1(B_E, W)$. One gets thus

$$j_\sigma : H^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^1(B_{E,\sigma}, W_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^1(B_E, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_L, W).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma) &:= \text{Ker}[H^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma})], \\ H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma) &:= \text{Ker}[H^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{e,L,\sigma})], \end{aligned}$$

where the above maps are induced by the natural maps $C^\bullet(W_\sigma) \rightarrow [W_{e,L,\sigma} \rightarrow 0] \rightarrow [W_{\text{dR},\sigma} \rightarrow 0]$. Suppose W is σ -de Rham, let $[X] \in H^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \cong \text{Ext}^1(B_E, W)$, then X is σ -de Rham if and only if $[X] \in H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma)$: indeed, $[X] \in H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma)$ if and only if the following exact sequence induced by (33) is split

$$0 \rightarrow W_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,d}} B_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow X_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,d}} B_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow B_{e,L,\sigma} \otimes_{B_{e,d}} B_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, $[X] \in H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma)$ if and only if the corresponding extension (33) splits, if so, by the construction of X_e from $X_{e,L,\sigma}$ as in Lem.3.8, we can easily deduce $X_e \cong W_e \oplus (B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$, so $[X]$ lies in $H_e^1(G_L, W)$. In summary, the isomorphism j_σ induces an isomorphism $j_\sigma : H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$ and an injection

$$j_\sigma : H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \hookrightarrow H_e^1(G_L, W).$$

Denote by $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$ the image of the above map, and $H_{e,J}^1(G_L, W)$ the E -vector subspace of $H_e^1(G_L, W)$ generated by $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$ for $\sigma \in J$.

Remark 3.14 (Question). *Since there is an equivalence of categories of E - B -pairs and (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_E , a natural question is how to describe the groups $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$ in terms of (φ, Γ) -modules.*

Let V be a finite dimensional continuous representation of G_L over E , we have $C^\bullet(W(V)) \cong V[0] \cong C^\bullet(W(V)_\sigma)$ (by the p -adic fundamental exact sequences), thus $H^i(G_L, W(V)_\sigma) \cong H^i(G_L, V) \cong H^i(G_L, W(V))$. Consider the cup product

$$(34) \quad \cup_\sigma : H^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \times H^1(G_L, W^\vee(1)_\sigma) \longrightarrow H^2(G_L, B_E(1)_\sigma) \cong H^2(G_L, \chi_{\text{cyc}}) \cong E,$$

by the same argument as in [24, Rem.2.9], one can show (34) is a perfect pairing and compatible with \cup (cf. (31)) via j_σ . By the same method as in Prop.2.2, one can prove

Proposition 3.15. *Suppose W is σ -de Rham, then the perfect pairing \cup_σ (34) induces a bijection*

$$H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W^\vee(1)_\sigma)^\perp.$$

Corollary 3.16. *Suppose W is σ -de Rham, then the perfect pairing (31) induces a bijection*

$$H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W^\vee(1))^\perp;$$

more generally, let $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, $J \neq \emptyset$, and assume W is J -de Rham, then the perfect pairing (31) induces a bijection

$$H_{g,J}^1(G_L, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{e,J}^1(G_L, W^\vee(1))^\perp.$$

In particular, we have $H_{g,J}^1(G_L, W) = H^1(G_L, W)$ if $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W^\vee(1)) = 0$ for any $\sigma \in J$.

Proof. The first part follows from Prop.3.15, since the parings \cup_σ and \cup are compatible (see the discussion before Prop.3.15). The second part follows from the first part and the fact that $H_{g,J}^1(G_L, W) = \cap_{\sigma \in J} H_{g,\sigma}^1(G_L, W)$ and $H_{e,J}^1(G_L, W^\vee(1)) = \sum_{\sigma \in J} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W^\vee(1))$. \square

This corollary combined with Prop.3.12 shows:

Corollary 3.17. *Assume that W is de Rham, then we have $H_{e,\Sigma_L}^1(G_L, W) = H_e^1(G_L, W)$, i.e. the natural map*

$$(35) \quad \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W) \longrightarrow H_e^1(G_L, W), \quad (a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} a_\sigma$$

is surjective.

By the same argument as in [5, Lem.3.8.1], one has (see also [24, Lem.2.6])

Lemma 3.18. *Suppose W is σ -de Rham, then $H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, W_{\text{dR},\sigma})$ is injective.*

Consequently, we have $\text{Im}(\delta) = H_e^1(G_L, W)$ and $\text{Im}(\delta_\sigma) = H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma)$ (cf. (30), (32)). One has thus

Lemma 3.19. *Suppose W is σ -de Rham, then $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(W)_\sigma / (D_{\text{dR}}^+(W)_\sigma + D_{e,L}(W)_\sigma)$.*

Suppose the injection $H^0(G_L, W) \hookrightarrow H^0(G_L, W_e)$ is bijective, thus $H^0(G_L, W_\sigma) \hookrightarrow H^0(G_L, W_{e,L,\sigma})$ is also bijective: it's straightforward to see the composition

$$(W_e \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+)^{G_L} \xrightarrow{\sim} (W_{e,L,\sigma} \cap W_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)^{G_L} \hookrightarrow D_{e,L}(W)_\sigma \hookrightarrow D'_{e,L}(W)_\sigma$$

equals to the composition $(W_e \cap W_{\text{dR}}^+)^{G_L} \rightarrow D_e(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} D'_{e,L}(W)_\sigma$, from which the claim follows. In this case, we have $H_e^1(G_L, W) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(W)/D_{\text{dR}}^+(W)$ and $H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W) \cong H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(W)_\sigma/D_{\text{dR}}^+(W)_\sigma$. By comparing the dimension, we see, in this case, the map (35) is bijective (in particular injective). Consequently, in this case, for $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, $J \neq \emptyset$, the following map is also injective and hence bijective (the surjectivity follows from definition):

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma \in J} H_{e,\sigma}^1(G_L, W_\sigma) \longrightarrow H_{e,J}^1(G_L, W).$$

By Cor.3.16 and the above discussion, one obtains

Corollary 3.20. *Suppose W is J -de Rham and $H^0(G_L, W) \hookrightarrow H^0(G_L, W_e)$ is bijective, then*

$$(36) \quad \dim_E H_{g,J}^1(G_L, W^\vee(1)) = \dim_E H^1(G_L, W^\vee(1)) - \sum_{\sigma \in J} \dim_E (D_{\text{dR}}(W)_\sigma / D_{\text{dR}}^+(W)_\sigma).$$

3.3. Trianguline representations.

Definition 3.21 (cf.[11], [24]). (1) *An E - B -pair W is called triangulable if it's an successive extension of rank 1 E - B -pairs, i.e. W admits an increasing filtration of E - B -sub-pairs*

$$(37) \quad 0 = W_0 \subsetneq W_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq W_{r-1} \subsetneq W_r = W$$

such that W_i/W_{i-1} is an E - B -pair of rank 1 for $1 \leq i \leq r$. The filtration (37) is called a triangulation of W .

(2) *A finite dimensional continuous G_L -representation V over E is called trianguline if the associated E - B -pair $W(V)$ is triangulable.*

Let χ be a continuous character of L^\times over E , as in [24, §1.4], we can associate to χ an E - B -pair $B_E(\chi)$ of rank 1 (where we refer to *loc. cit.* for details). Conversely, given an E - B -pair of rank 1, by [24, Thm.1.45], there exists a continuous character χ of L^\times over E such that $W \cong B_E(\chi)$. A continuous character $\chi : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ induces an E -linear map

$$d_\chi : L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \longrightarrow E, \quad a \mapsto \frac{d}{dt} \chi(\exp(at))|_{t=0}.$$

So there exist $\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma \in E$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$ such that $d_\chi((a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} a_\sigma \text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma$ for any $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \in L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \cong \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} E$. We call $(\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L}$ the *weights* of χ . In fact, $(-\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L}$ equal to the *generalized Hodge-Tate weights* of the E - B -pair $B_E(\chi)$ (cf. [24, Def. 1.47]).

Lemma 3.22. *Let χ be a continuous character of L^\times over E , for $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, $B_E(\chi)$ is σ -de Rham if and only if $\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The “only if” part is clear. Suppose now $\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$, by multiplying χ by $\sigma^{-\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma}$ and then an unramified character of L^\times , one can assume that χ corresponds to a Galois character $\chi : G_L \rightarrow E^\times$ and $\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma = 0$. In this case, by Sen’s theory, one has $\mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E \chi \cong \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}$ (since χ is of Hodge-Tate weight 0 at σ). Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (tB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi)^{G_L} \rightarrow (B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi)^{G_L} \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E \chi)^{G_L} \rightarrow H^1(G_L, tB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi),$$

it’s sufficient to prove $H^1(G_L, tB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi) = 0$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we claim $H^1(G_L, t^{i+1}B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, t^iB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi)$ is an isomorphism: indeed, one has an exact sequence

$$(\mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}(i) \otimes_E \chi)^{G_L} \rightarrow H^1(G_L, t^{i+1}B_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, t^iB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi) \rightarrow H^1(G_L, \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}(i) \otimes_E \chi),$$

since $\mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma} \otimes_E \chi \cong \mathbb{C}_{p,\sigma}$, the first and fourth terms vanish. We get an isomorphism $H^1(G_L, tB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_L, t^nB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi)$ for $n \gg 0$, from which we deduce $H^1(G_L, tB_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \otimes_E \chi) = 0$. \square

Definition 3.23 (cf. [22, Def.4.3.1]). *Let W be a triangulable E - B -pair of rank r with a triangulation given as in (37), let $\chi_i : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ such that $W_i/W_{i-1} \cong B_E(\chi_i)$, for $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, suppose $\text{wt}(\chi_i)_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, W is called *non σ -critical* if (note the generalized Hodge-Tate weight of $B_E(\chi_i)$ at σ is $-\text{wt}(\chi_i)_\sigma$)*

$$\text{wt}(\chi_1)_\sigma > \text{wt}(\chi_2)_\sigma > \cdots > \text{wt}(\chi_r)_\sigma;$$

for $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, suppose $\text{wt}(\chi_i)_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\sigma \in J$, then W is called *non J -critical* if W is non σ -critical for all $\sigma \in J$.

Proposition 3.24. *Keep the notation in Def.3.23, let $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, suppose W is non J -critical, then W is J -de Rham.*

Proof. It’s sufficient to prove if W is non- σ -critical, then W is σ -de Rham for $\sigma \in J$. We use induction on $0 \leq i \leq r$, suppose W_i is σ -de Rham, we show W_{i+1} is also σ -de Rham. Note $[W_{i+1}] \in \text{Ext}^1(W_i, B_E(\chi_{i+1}))$, let $W'_i := W_i \otimes B_E(\chi_{i+1}^{-1})$, $W'_{i+1} := W_{i+1} \otimes B_E(\chi_{i+1}^{-1})$, by Lem.3.22, W_{i+1} is σ -de Rham if and only if W'_{i+1} is σ -de Rham. And one has $[W'_{i+1}] \in H^1(G_L, W'_i)$. It’s sufficient to prove $H^1(G_L, W'_i) = H^1_{g,\sigma}(G_L, W'_i)$, and thus sufficient to prove $H^1_{e,\sigma}(G_L, (W'_i)^\vee(1)) = 0$. Since $\text{wt}(\chi_j)_\sigma > \text{wt}(\chi_{i+1})_\sigma$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$, we see the generalized Hodge-Tate weights of W'_i at σ are negative integers. Thus the generalized Hodge-Tate weights of $(W'_i)^\vee(1)$ at σ are positive integers, so one has $D_{\text{dR}}((W'_i)^\vee(1))_\sigma \cong D_{\text{dR}}^+((W'_i)^\vee(1))_\sigma$. By Lem.3.19, $H^1_{e,\sigma}(G_L, (W'_i)^\vee(1)) = 0$, which concludes the proof. \square

4. SOME APPLICATIONS

We give some applications of the above results in p -adic arithmetic.

4.1. Overconvergent Hilbert modular forms. Let F be a totally real number field of degree d_F , Σ_F the set of embeddings of F in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $k_\sigma \equiv w \pmod{2}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_F$. Let \mathfrak{c} be a fractional ideal of F . Let h be an overconvergent Hilbert eigenform of weights (\underline{k}, w) (where we adopt Carayol's convention of weights as in [10]), of tame level N ($N \geq 4$, $p \nmid N$), of polarization \mathfrak{c} , with Hecke eigenvalues in E (see [1, Def.1.1]). For a place \wp of F above p , let a_\wp denote the U_\wp -eigenvalue of h , and suppose $a_\wp \neq 0$ for all $\wp|p$. Denote by $\rho_h : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E)$ the associated (semi-simple) Galois representation (enlarge E if necessary) (e.g. see [1, Thm.5.1]). For $\wp|p$, denote by $\rho_{h,\wp}$ the restriction of ρ_h to the decomposition group at \wp , which is thus a continuous representation of G_{F_\wp} over E , where F_\wp denotes the completion of F at \wp . Let $v_\wp : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be an additive valuation normalized by $v_\wp(F_\wp) = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Denote by Σ_{F_\wp} the set of embeddings of F_\wp in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. This section is devoted to prove

Theorem 4.1. *With the above notation, and let $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \Sigma_{F_\wp}$.*

(1) *If $v_\wp(a_\wp) < \inf_{\sigma \in J} \{k_\sigma - 1\} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{F_\wp}} \frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}$, then $\rho_{h,\wp}$ is J -de Rham.*

(2) *If $v_\wp(a_\wp) < \sum_{\sigma \in S} (k_\sigma - 1) + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{F_\wp}} \frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}$, then there exists $\sigma \in S$ such that $\rho_{h,\wp}$ is σ -de Rham.*

Remark 4.2. *This theorem gives evidence to Breuil's conjectures in [7] (but in terms of Galois representations) (see in particular [7, Prop.4.3]). When $J = \Sigma_{F_\wp}$ (and F_\wp unramified), the part (1) follows directly from the known classicality result in [30].*

Proposition 4.3. *For $\wp|p$, $\rho_{h,\wp}$ is trianguline with a triangulation given by*

$$0 \rightarrow B_E(\delta_1) \rightarrow W(\rho_{h,\wp}) \rightarrow B_E(\delta_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \delta_1 = \text{unr}_\wp(a_\wp) \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\wp} \sigma^{-\frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_h} \sigma^{1 - k_\sigma}, \\ \delta_2 = \text{unr}_\wp(q_\wp b_\wp / a_\wp) \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\wp} \sigma^{-\frac{w + k_\sigma}{2}} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_h} \sigma^{k_\sigma - 1}, \end{cases}$$

where $\text{unr}_\wp(z)$ denotes the unramified character of F_\wp^\times sending uniformizers to z , $q_\wp := p^{f_\wp}$ with f_\wp the degree of the maximal unramified extension inside F_\wp (thus $v_\wp(q_\wp) = d_\wp$, the degree of F_\wp over \mathbb{Q}_p), and Σ_h is a certain subset of Σ_\wp .

Proof. Consider the eigenvariety \mathcal{E} constructed in [1, Thm.5.1], one can associate to h a closed point z_h in \mathcal{E} . For classical Hilbert eigenforms, the result is known by Saito's results in [26] and Nakamura's results on triangulations of 2-dimensional potentially semi-stable Galois representations (cf. [24, §4]). Since the classical points are Zariski-dense in \mathcal{E} and accumulate over the point z_h (here we use the classicality results, e.g. see [4]), the proposition follows from the global triangulation theory [20, Thm.6.3.13] [22, Thm.4.4.2]. \square

Since $W(\rho_\wp)$ is étale (purely of slope zero), by Kedlaya's slope filtration theory ([19, Thm.1.7.1]), one has (see also [24, Lem.3.1])

Lemma 4.4. *Let ϖ_\wp be a uniformizer of F_\wp , then $v_\wp(\delta_1(\varpi_\wp)) \geq 0$.*

Proof of Thm.4.1. By the above lemma, one has $v_\wp(a_\wp) \geq \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_h} (k_\sigma - 1) + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_\wp} \frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}$. Thus for $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq \Sigma_{F_\wp}$, if $v_\wp(a_\wp) < \inf_{\sigma \in J} \{k_\sigma - 1\} + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{F_\wp}} \frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}$ (resp. $v_\wp(a_\wp) < \sum_{\sigma \in S} (k_\sigma - 1) + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{F_\wp}} \frac{w - k_\sigma + 2}{2}$), then $J \cap \Sigma_h = \emptyset$ (resp. $J \not\subseteq \Sigma_h$) and thus $\rho_{h,\wp}$ is non- J -critical (resp. there exists $\sigma \in J$ such that $\rho_{h,\wp}$ is non- σ -critical) (Note $\Sigma_{F_\wp} \setminus \Sigma_h$ is exactly the set of embeddings where $\rho_{h,\wp}$ is non-critical). The theorem then follows from Prop.3.24. \square

4.2. Locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ in the trianguline case. As another application, we associate a (semi-simple) locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation $\Pi(V)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ to a 2-dimensional trianguline representation V of G_L , and we expect it to be the socle of the “right” representation associated to V in the p -adic Langlands program (cf. Conj.4.9).

Firstly recall some notions on locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$. We denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$, and $\mathfrak{g}_\sigma := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{L,\sigma} E$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$. We have a natural decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \mathfrak{g}_\sigma.$$

For $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, we put $\mathfrak{g}_J := \prod_{\sigma \in J} \mathfrak{g}_\sigma$ (and $\mathfrak{g}_\emptyset := \{0\}$).

Let V be a locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ over E , which is thus equipped with a natural E -linear action of \mathfrak{g} (hence of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$) given by

$$\mathfrak{r} \cdot v := \frac{d}{dt} \exp(t\mathfrak{r})(v)|_{t=0}.$$

For $J \subseteq \Sigma_L$, a vector $v \in V$ is called *locally J -analytic* if the action of \mathfrak{g}_{Σ_L} on v factors through \mathfrak{g}_J (cf. [28, Def.2.4]); v is called *quasi- J -classical* if there exist a finite dimensional representation U of \mathfrak{g}_J and a \mathfrak{g}_J -invariant map

$$(38) \quad U^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow V$$

(with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) whose image contains v , if the \mathfrak{g}_J -representation U can moreover give rise to an algebraic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$, then we say that v is *J -classical*. In particular, v is $\Sigma_L \setminus J$ -classical if v is locally J -analytic.

Let $\chi : L^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ be a continuous character such that

$$(39) \quad \begin{cases} \mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma \neq 0, \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Sigma_L, \\ \chi \neq \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{k_\sigma}, \text{ for all } (k_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\Sigma_L|}. \end{cases}$$

Put $S(\chi) := \{\sigma \in \Sigma_L \mid \mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $N(\chi) := \{\sigma \in S(\chi) \mid \mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma > 0\}$. Consider $\Pi(\chi) := (\mathrm{Ind}_{B(L)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(L)} 1 \otimes (\chi \mathrm{unr}(q) \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{-1}))^{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ where $B(L)$ denotes the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, and we refer to [28, §2.3] for locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic (and locally J -analytic) parabolic inductions. We begin by an observation (which follows directly from results in [28, §2]):

Lemma 4.5. *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\chi, N(\chi)) &:= \mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(L)} \Pi(\chi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{\sigma \in N(\chi)} (\mathrm{Sym}^{\mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma - 1} E^2)^\sigma \\ &\otimes_E \left(\mathrm{Ind}_{B(L)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(L)} 1 \otimes \left(\chi \mathrm{unr}(q) \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{-1} \prod_{\sigma \in N(\chi)} \sigma^{1 - \mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma} \right) \right)^{\Sigma_L \setminus N(\chi)\text{-an}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ on $(\mathrm{Sym}^{n_\sigma} E^2)^\sigma$, for $n_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, is induced by the standard action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ on $\mathrm{Sym}^{n_\sigma - 1} E^2$ via the embedding $\sigma : \mathrm{GL}_2(L) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(E)$. In particular, $\Pi(\chi)$ has non-zero $N(\chi)$ -classical vectors.

For $N(\chi) \subseteq J \subseteq S(\chi)$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} I(\chi, J) &:= \left(\mathrm{Ind}_{B(L)}^{\mathrm{GL}_2(L)} 1 \otimes \left(\chi \mathrm{unr}(q) \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{-1} \prod_{\sigma \in J} \sigma^{1 - \mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma} \right) \right)^{\Sigma_L \setminus J\text{-an}}, \\ \pi(\chi, J) &:= \bigotimes_{\sigma \in J} (\mathrm{Sym}^{|\mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma| - 1} E^2)^\sigma \otimes_E \left(\prod_{\sigma \in J \setminus N(\chi)} \sigma^{\mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma} \circ \det \right) \otimes_E I(\chi, J). \end{aligned}$$

The locally analytic representations $I(\chi, J)$, $\pi(\chi, J)$ are J -classical, and (topologically) irreducible if $J \neq \Sigma_L$ or $\chi \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{k_\sigma} \neq \text{unr}(q^{\pm 1})$ for all $(k_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Now let W be a trianguline E - B -pair with a triangulation given by

$$(40) \quad 0 \rightarrow W(\chi_1) \rightarrow W \rightarrow W(\chi_2) \rightarrow 0,$$

suppose $\chi := \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}$ satisfies the hypothesis in (39). Denote by $C(W)$ the set of embeddings σ such that $W \otimes B_E(\chi_2^{-1})$ is σ -de Rham. By Prop.3.24, $N(\chi) \subseteq C(W)$.

Assume firstly that W has a unique triangulation as in (40). If $C(W) \neq \Sigma_L$ or $\chi \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{k_\sigma} \neq \text{unr}(q^{-1})$ for all $(k_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, set

$$(41) \quad \Pi(W) := (\chi_2 \circ \det) \otimes_E \left(\bigoplus_{N(\chi) \subseteq J \subseteq C(W)} \pi(\chi, J) \right);$$

if $C(W) = \Sigma_L$ and $\chi = \text{unr}(q^{-1}) \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma}$, we put

$$(42) \quad \Pi(W) := (\chi_2 \circ \det) \otimes_E \left(\pi(\chi, \Sigma_L) / F(\chi) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{N(\chi) \subseteq J \subsetneq \Sigma_L} \pi(\chi, J) \right) \right),$$

where $F(\chi) := \bigotimes_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} (\text{Sym}^{|\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma| - 1} E^2)^\sigma$ is the unique non-zero finite dimensional subrepresentation of $\pi(\chi, \Sigma_L)$ in this case.

Assume now that W has a triangulation other than (40) (this is the case potentially crystallin up to twists of characters, cf. [24]):

$$(43) \quad 0 \rightarrow W(\chi_3) \rightarrow W \rightarrow W(\chi_4) \rightarrow 0.$$

By [24, Thm.3.7], one has $C(W) = S(\chi) = \Sigma_L$, and there exists $S \subseteq N(\chi)$ such that

$$(44) \quad \chi_3 = \chi_2 \prod_{\sigma \in S} \sigma^{\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma}, \chi_4 = \chi_1 \prod_{\sigma \in S} \sigma^{-\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma}.$$

Let $\chi' := \chi_3 \chi_4^{-1}$, thus $S(\chi') = S(\chi) = \Sigma_L$, $|\text{wt}(\chi')_\sigma| = |\text{wt}(\chi)_\sigma|$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_L$, and $N(\chi') = S \cup (\Sigma_L \setminus N(\chi))$. Put $\chi_0^* := \chi^* \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_L} \sigma^{\text{wt}(\chi^*)_\sigma}$ for $* \in \{', \emptyset\}$. Thus $\chi_0' = \chi_0^{-1}$. If $\chi_0 \neq \text{unr}(q^{\pm 1})$, by the intertwining relation of smooth parabolic inductions of $\text{GL}_2(L)$ (and (44)), one can easily deduce $(\chi_2 \circ \det) \otimes_E \pi(\chi, \Sigma_L) \cong (\chi_4 \circ \det) \otimes_E \pi(\chi', \Sigma_L) =: \pi(W)$; if $\chi_0 = \text{unr}(q^{-1})$ (resp. $\chi_0 = \text{unr}(q)$), then $\chi_0' = \text{unr}(q)$ (resp. $\chi_0' = \text{unr}(q^{-1})$), one has $\pi(W) := (\chi_2 \circ \det) \otimes_E (\pi(\chi, \Sigma_L) / F(\chi)) \cong (\chi_4 \circ \det) \otimes_E \text{soc}_{\text{GL}_2(L)} \pi(\chi', \Sigma_L)$ (resp. $\pi(W) := (\chi_2 \circ \det) \otimes_E \text{soc}_{\text{GL}_2(L)} \pi(\chi, \Sigma_L) \cong (\chi_4 \circ \det) \otimes_E (\pi(\chi', \Sigma_L) / F(\chi'))$). In this case, put

$$(45) \quad \Pi(W) := \pi(W) \oplus \left((\chi_2 \circ \det) \otimes_E \left(\bigoplus_{N(\chi) \subseteq J \subsetneq \Sigma_L} \pi(\chi, J) \right) \right) \oplus \left((\chi_4 \circ \det) \otimes_E \left(\bigoplus_{N(\chi') \subseteq J \subsetneq \Sigma_L} \pi(\chi', J) \right) \right).$$

Remark 4.6. (1) The representation $\Pi(W)$ is semi-simple, indeed, in this note we only consider the socle of the “right” representation associated to W in p -adic Langlands (cf. Conj.4.9 below). By definition and [24, Th.1.18 (3)], we see that $\Pi(W)$ is no other than $\text{soc}_{\text{GL}_2(L)} \Pi(D)$, with $\Pi(D)$ defined by Breuil in [8], when W is crystalline and $D := D_{\text{cris}}(W) \cong (B_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{B_e} W_e)^{G_L}$ (which is hence a filtered φ -module over $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ as in [8, §3]).

(2) By the very construction of $\Pi(W)$, we see that if W is J -de Rham up to twist of characters, then $\Pi(W)$ has non-zero quasi- J -classical vectors. Note also that our definition of $\Pi(W)$ highly relies on Prop.3.24, since if we don't know $N(\chi) \subseteq C(W)$ a priori, this construction does not make any sense (see (41)).

As in [8, Cor.5.2], one can prove

Proposition 4.7. *Keep the above notation, if $\Pi(W)$ has a $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ -invariant \mathcal{O}_E -lattice (in other words, $\Pi(W)$ is contained in a unitary Banach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$), then the E - B -pair W is étale, i.e. there exists a 2-dimensional continuous G_L -representation V over E such that $W \cong W(V)$.*

Proof. We use the notation of [24, §3].

If $\Pi(W)$ is contained in a unitary Banach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$, by [8, Prop.5.1] applied to $(\chi_2 \circ \det) \otimes_E \pi(\chi, N(\chi))$, we have

$$v(\chi_1(\varpi_L)) + v(\chi_2(\varpi_L)) = 0, \quad v(\chi_1(\varpi_L)) \geq 0,$$

and hence $(\chi_1, \chi_2) \in S^+$ (cf. [24, §3.1]).

If W has a unique triangulation as in (40), by [24, Thm.3.7 (1)] (although this theorem is in terms of trianguline representations, we can get similar results for rank 2 triangulable E - B -pairs by the same argument), we have $[W] \notin S'(\chi_1, \chi_2)$. Then by [24, Thm.3.4], we see W is étale.

In the case where W have two different triangulations given by (40) and (43), by the same argument as in the proof of [24, Thm.3.7], we see

$$[W] \in S'(\chi_1, \chi_2) \cong \mathbb{P}_E(\oplus_{\sigma \in N(\chi)} Ee_\sigma).$$

There exist hence $a_\sigma \in E$ for all $\sigma \in N(\chi)$ such that $[W] = [\sum_{\sigma \in N(\chi)} a_\sigma e_\sigma] \in \mathbb{P}_E(\oplus_{\sigma \in N(\chi)} Ee_\sigma)$. Moreover, $a_\sigma \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma \in S$ (cf. (44)). By [8, Prop.5.1] applied to $(\chi_4 \circ \det) \otimes_E \pi(\chi', N(\chi'))$, we have $v(\chi_3(\varpi_L)) \geq 0$, and hence (by (44))

$$v(\chi_2(\varpi_L)) + \sum_{\sigma \in S} \mathrm{wt}(\chi)_\sigma \geq 0.$$

So $[W] \in S'^{\mathrm{ét}}(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ (cf. [24, §3.1]) and W is étale by [24, Thm.3.4]. \square

Remark 4.8. *The proposition in the case where W is cristallin (so W have two different triangulations) can also be deduced directly from [8, Cor.5.2] (see Rem.4.6 (1)), since in this case, W is étale if and only if the associated filtered φ -module $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(W)$ is weakly admissible (cf. [2, Thm.B] and [24, Thm.1.18 (3)]).*

4.2.1. *Some local-global consideration.* Let F be a totally real field of degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ over \mathbb{Q} , assume that p is inert in F and the completion F_p of F at p is isomorphic to L . Let B be a quaternion algebra of center F , denote by $S(B)$ the set of places of F where B is ramified. We assume $|S(B) \cap \Sigma_\infty| = d - 1$ and $p \notin S(B)$. In this case, we can associate to B a projective system of algebraic curves $\{S(K)\}_{K \subset (B \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times}$ over F , indexed by compact open subgroups of $(B \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$ (where $\mathbb{A}_F^\infty := \prod'_{v \neq \infty} F_v$ denotes the ring of finite adèles of F). Let K^p be a compact open subgroup of $(B \otimes_F \mathbb{A}_F^{\infty, p})^\times$ (where $\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty, p} := \prod_{v \neq \infty, p} F_v$), following Emerton ([14, §2]), we put

$$\widehat{H}^1(K^p) := \left(\varprojlim_n \varinjlim_{K_p} H_{\mathrm{ét}}^1(S(K^p K_p) \times_F \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O}_E/p^n \mathcal{O}_E) \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E$$

where K_p ranges over all compact open subgroups of $(B \otimes_F F_p)^\times \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$. By *loc. cit.*, $\widehat{H}^1(K^p)$ is a Banach space over E equipped with a continuous unitary action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L) \times \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$.

Let ρ be a 2-dimensional continuous $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ -representation over E , we put

$$\widehat{\Pi}(\rho) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)}(\rho, \widehat{H}^1(K^p)).$$

Conjecture 4.9 (See [8, Cor.8.1] for the crystalline case). *Suppose $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho) \neq 0$ and $\rho_p := \rho|_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/F_p)}$ is trianguline, then there exist $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and an isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ -representations*

$$\Pi(W(\rho_p))^{\oplus r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(F_p)} \widehat{\Pi}(\rho)_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}},$$

where $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}$ denotes the locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic vectors of $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)$ (for the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$).

Remark 4.10. (1) When ρ is modular (i.e. ρ appears in the classical étale cohomology of quaternion Shimura curves, thus $C(W(\rho_p)) = \Sigma_L$), then by local-global compatibility in local Langlands correspondence for $\ell = p$, $\pi(W(\rho_p))$ is a subrepresentation of $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)$ (cf. (45)).

(2) This conjecture implies that if ρ_p is J -de Rham, then $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)$ has non-zero J -classical vectors. In particular, if ρ_p is de Rham, then $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)$ would have non-zero classical vectors, which is more or less an equivalent formulation, in terms of locally analytic representations, of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for finite slope overconvergent Hilbert modular forms.

(3) As in [12, Prop.6.2.40] [13, Prop.4.14], one can actually show if $\Pi(W(\rho))$ is a subrepresentation of $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)$, then ρ_p is $C(W(\rho_p))$ -de Rham.

(4) This conjecture also implies that if $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho) \neq 0$ and ρ_p trianguline, then $J_B(\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)_{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-an}}) \neq 0$ where $J_B(\cdot)$ denotes the Jacquet-Emerton functor [15].

(5) If we suppose $J_B(\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)) \neq 0$, one can then associate to ρ certain points in the eigenvariety (constructed by Emerton's theory). Moreover, as in [12, §6.2.2], by companion points theory and adjunction formula for Jacquet-Emerton functor (cf. [16]), one can actually prove there exists certain non-zero subrepresentation $\Pi'(W(\rho_p)) \subseteq \Pi(W(\rho_p))$, such that $\Pi'(W(\rho_p)) \hookrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}(\rho)$.

(6) In [9], Breuil explains to the author his beautiful idea on how to see a larger locally analytic representation, say $\tilde{\Pi}(W(\rho_\varphi))$, inside $\widehat{\Pi}(\rho)$, with $\mathrm{soc}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(L)} \tilde{\Pi}(W(\rho_\varphi)) \cong \Pi(W(\rho_\varphi))$. Roughly speaking, Breuil associates to each irreducible constituent of $\Pi(W(\rho_\varphi))$ a “hypercube” (which is kind of similar as that in [8, §4], but involves some extensions in “opposite” direction). This representation still carries the same information on ρ_φ as $\Pi(W(\rho_\varphi))$. We would not include it in the note, since this requires much more locally analytic representation theory.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreatta F., Iovita A., Pilloni V., *p-adic families of Hilbert modular forms*, preprint.
- [2] Berger L., *Équations différentielles p-adiques et (φ, N) -modules filtrés*, Astérisque No. 319 (2008), 13–38.
- [3] Berger L., *Construction de (φ, Γ) -modules: représentations p-adiques et B-paires*, Algebra & Number Theory, 2(1), (2008), 91-120.
- [4] Bijakowski, S., *Classicalité de formes modulaires de Hilbert*, preprint, arXiv:1504.07420.
- [5] Bloch S., Kato K., *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, in The Grothendieck Festschrift, Birkhuser Boston, (2007), 333-400.
- [6] Breuil C., *The emerging p-adic Langlands programme*, Proceedings of I.C.M.2010, Vol II, 203-230.
- [7] Breuil C., *Conjectures de classicité sur les formes de Hilbert surconvergentes de pente finie*, unpublished note, march, 2010.
- [8] Breuil C., *Remarks on some locally \mathbb{Q}_p -analytic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ in the crystalline case*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 393, 2012, 212-238.
- [9] Breuil C., *letter to the author*, December, 2014.
- [10] Carayol H., *Sur les représentations l-adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Ann.scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e série, t.19, (1986), 409-468.
- [11] Colmez P., *Représentations triangulines de dimension 2*, Astérisque 319, (2008), 213-258.
- [12] Ding Y., *Formes modulaires p-adiques sur les courbes de Shimura unitaires et compatibilité local-global*, thesis, preliminary version available at: <http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~ding/fpc.pdf>.
- [13] Ding Y., *\mathcal{L} -invariants, partially de Rham families and local-global compatibility*, (2015), preprint, to appear.
- [14] Emerton M., *On the interpolation of systems of eigenvalues attached to automorphic Hecke eigenforms*, Invent. Math. 164, (2006), 1-84.
- [15] Emerton M., *Jacquet Modules of locally analytic representations of p-adic reductive groups I. constructions and first properties*, Ann. Sci. E.N.S.39, no. 5, (2006), 775-839.
- [16] Emerton M., *Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of p-adic reductive groups II. The relation to parabolic induction*, J. Institut Math. Jussieu, (2007).
- [17] Fargues L., Fontaine J. M., *Courbes et fibrés vectoriels en théorie de Hodge p-adique*, (2011), preprint.

- [18] Fontaine J.-P., Ouyang Y., *Theory of p -adic Galois representations*, preprint.
- [19] Kedlaya K.S., *Slope filtration for relative Frobenius*, Astérisque 319, (2008), 259-301.
- [20] Kedlaya K., Potttharst J., Xiao L., *Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules*, to appear in J. of the Amer. Math. Soc., (2012).
- [21] Liu R., *Cohomology and duality for (φ, Γ) -modules over the Robba ring*, International Mathematics Research Notices, (2007).
- [22] Liu R., *Triangulation of refined families*, preprint.
- [23] Liu R., Xie B., Zhang Y., *Locally analytic vectors of unitary principal series of $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$* , Annales scientifiques de l'ENS 45, fascicule 1 (2012), 167-190.
- [24] Nakamura K., *Classification of two-dimensional split trianguline representations of p -adic field*, Compos. Math. 145, 2009, no. 4, 865-914.
- [25] Nakamura K., *Deformations of trianguline B -pairs and Zariski density of two dimensional crystalline representations*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.4891, (2010).
- [26] Saito T., *Hilbert modular forms and p -adic Hodge theory*, Compositio Mathematica 145, (2009) 1081-1113.
- [27] Schneider P., Teitelbaum J., *Continuous and locally analytic representation theory*, available at: <http://www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/pschnei/publ/lectnotes/hangzhou.dvi>.
- [28] Schraen B., *Représentations p -adiques de $GL_2(L)$ et catégories dérivées*, Israel J. Math. 176, (2012), 307-361.
- [29] Shah S., *Interpolating periods*, (2013), arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.2872.
- [30] Tian Y., Xiao L., *p -adic cohomology and classicality of overconvergent Hilbert modular forms*, preprint.
- [31] Xiao L., *Appendix: Tensor being crystalline implies each factor being crystalline up to twist*, (2010).

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BÂTIMENT 425, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES D'ORSAY, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD,
F-91405 ORSAY CEDEX

E-mail address: `yiwen.ding@math.u-psud.fr`