

# Locally analytic $\text{Ext}^1$ for $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ in de Rham non trianguline case

Yiwen Ding

## Abstract

We prove Breuil's conjecture on locally analytic  $\text{Ext}^1$  for  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  in de Rham non-trianguline case.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $E$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_E$  be the Robba ring with  $E$ -coefficients. The (locally analytic)  $p$ -adic local Langlands correspondence for  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  associates to a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$  of rank 2 over  $\mathcal{R}_E$  a locally analytic representation  $\pi(D)$  of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  over  $E$  (see for example [8, § 0.1]). The representation  $\pi(D)$  determines  $D$  (and vice versa). Indeed, when  $D$  is trianguline, this follows from the explicit structure of  $\pi(D)$  and  $D$ . When  $D$  is not trianguline, one can reduce to the case where  $D$  is étale hence isomorphic to  $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)$  for a certain 2-dimensional representation  $\rho$  of the absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  over  $E$ . In this case, by [10, Thm. 0.2], the universal completion of  $\pi(D)$  is exactly the Banach representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  associated to  $\rho$ , which determines  $\rho$  (hence  $D$ ) via Colmez's Montreal functor (see [7, Thm. 0.17 (iii)]).

The  $p$ -adic local Langlands correspondence is compatible with (and refines) the classical local Langlands correspondence. We recall the feature in more details. Suppose that  $D$  is de Rham of Hodge-Tate weights  $(0, k)$  with  $k \geq 1$  (where we use the convention that the Hodge-Tate weight of the cyclotomic character is 1). We can associate to  $D$  a smooth  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation in the following way:

$$\underbrace{D \longleftrightarrow D_{\text{pst}}(D) \rightsquigarrow \text{DF}}_{p\text{-adic Hodge theory}} \longleftrightarrow \underbrace{\mathfrak{r} \longleftrightarrow \pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{r})}_{\text{local Langlands}}$$

where

- $D_{\text{pst}}(D)$  is the filtered  $(\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p))$ -module associated to  $D$  (cf. [2, Thm. A]), where  $L$  is a certain finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,
- $\text{DF}$  is the underlying Deligne-Fontaine module (i.e.  $(\varphi, N, \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}_p))$ -module) of  $D_{\text{pst}}(D)$  (by forgetting the Hodge filtration),
- $\mathfrak{r}$  is the 2-dimensional Weil-Deligne representation associated to  $\text{DF}$  as in [6, § 4],
- $\pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{r}) := \text{rec}^{-1}(\mathfrak{r})$  is the smooth  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation associated to  $\mathfrak{r}$  via the classical local Langlands correspondence (normalized as in [13], in particular, the central character  $\omega_{\pi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{r})}$  is  $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{r} \otimes_E \text{unr}(p)$ , where we view the one-dimensional Weil representation  $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{r}$  as a character of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  via  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ , normalized by sending geometric Frobenius to uniformizers, and where  $\text{unr}(p)$  is the unramified character of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  sending uniformizers to  $p$ ).

Put  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\mathbf{r}, k) := \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2 \otimes_E \pi_{\infty}(\mathbf{r})$ , which is a locally algebraic representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  (for the diagonal action). Then there is a natural injection ([12, Thm. 3.3.2]):

$$\pi_{\text{alg}}(\mathbf{r}, k) \hookrightarrow \pi(D).$$

It turns out that the quotient  $\pi_c(\mathbf{r}, k) := \pi(D)/\pi_{\text{alg}}(\mathbf{r}, k)$  (that is a locally analytic representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  as well) also depends only on and determines  $\{\mathbf{r}, k\}$  (see [9, § 0.2]). One may view the correspondence  $\pi_c(\mathbf{r}, k) \leftrightarrow \{\mathbf{r}, k\}$  as a local Langlands correspondence for the simple reflection in the Weyl group  $\mathscr{W} \cong S_2$  of  $\text{GL}_2$  (see [5, Remark 5.3.2 (iv)] for related discussions).

We let  $\Delta$  be the  $p$ -adic differential equation associated to  $D$ , i.e. the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module associated to DF equipped with the trivial Hodge filtration via [2, Thm. A]. By *loc. cit.*, the category of  $p$ -adic differential equations is equivalent to the category of Deligne-Fontaine modules (that is equivalent to the category of Weil-Deligne representations). We have natural isomorphisms  $D_{\text{pst}}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{DF}$  (as Deligne-Fontaine module), and  $D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{dR}}(D)$  (as  $E$ -vector space). The Hodge filtration on  $D_{\text{dR}}(D)$  has the following form

$$\text{Fil}^i D_{\text{dR}}(D) = \begin{cases} D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta) & i \leq -k \\ \mathcal{L}(D) & -k < i \leq 0, \\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  is a certain  $E$ -line in  $D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)$ . By [2, Thm. A],  $D$  is equivalent to the data  $\{\Delta, k, \mathcal{L}(D)\}$  (or equivalently  $\{\mathbf{r}, k, \mathcal{L}(D)\}$ ). And we see when we pass from  $D$  to  $\{\mathbf{r}, k\}$ , we lose exactly the information on  $\mathcal{L}(D)$ . To make the notation more consistent, we write  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k) := \pi_{\text{alg}}(\mathbf{r}, k)$ , and  $\pi_c(\Delta, k) := \pi_c(\mathbf{r}, k)$ . As the whole locally analytic  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation  $\pi(D)$  can determine  $D$  while the constituents  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)$ ,  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)$  only determine  $\{\Delta, k\}$ , this suggests the information on  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  should be contained in the corresponding extension class (see [4, § 2.1] for the definition of  $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1$ )

$$[\pi(D)] \in \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)).$$

In [4], Breuil formulated the following conjecture in this direction (see [4, Conj. 1.1] for general  $\text{GL}_n$ -case):

**Conjecture 1.1.** *There is a natural  $E$ -linear bijection*

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta) \quad (2)$$

*such that for any de Rham  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$  of rank 2 over  $\mathcal{R}_E$  of Hodge-Tate weights  $(0, k)$  with the associated  $p$ -adic differential equation isomorphic to  $\Delta$ , the map sends the  $E$ -line  $E[\pi(D)]$  to  $\mathcal{L}(D)$ .*

The conjecture was proved in the trianguline case (or equivalently, when  $\Delta$  (or equivalently  $\mathbf{r}$ ) is reducible) in [4, § 3.1]. The proof relied on a direct calculation of  $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k))$ . Indeed, when  $D$  (or equivalently  $\Delta$ ) is trianguline, the irreducible constituents of  $\pi(D)$  are among those that appear in locally analytic principal series, so such a calculation can be carried out. In this note, we prove the conjecture in de Rham non-trianguline case hence complete all cases. In fact, we prove a refined version of the conjecture given in [5, Conj. 5.3.1] (see Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 2.5), which describes the bijection in Conjecture 1.1 in a functorial way.

**Remark 1.2.** When  $\Delta$  is de Rham non-trianguline, by [9, Thm. 0.6], there is an injective  $E$ -linear map

$$D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)) \quad (3)$$

satisfying the same property as (the inverse) of (2). Hence  $\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)) \geq 2$ , and one may prove the conjecture by showing the equality holds. Indeed, by [9, Thm. 0.6 (iii)], one has an extension (which is the universal extension a posteriori, where  $\pi(\Delta, k)$  is the representation  $\Pi(M, k)$  of loc. cit)

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k) \otimes_E D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta) \rightarrow \pi(\Delta, k) \rightarrow \pi_c(\Delta, k) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

satisfying that for any de Rham  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$  of rank 2 over  $\mathcal{R}_E$  of Hodge-Tate weights  $(0, k)$  with the associated  $p$ -adic differential equation isomorphic to  $\Delta$ ,  $\pi(D) \cong \pi(\Delta, k)/(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k) \otimes_E \mathcal{L}(D))$ . The extension class  $[\pi(\Delta, k)]$  induces via the natural cup-product

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k) \otimes_E D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)) \times D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)^\vee \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k))$$

an  $E$ -linear map

$$D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)^\vee \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)) \quad (5)$$

sending an  $E$ -line  $\mathcal{L}(D)^\perp = (D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)/\mathcal{L}(D))^\vee \hookrightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)^\vee$  to  $E[\pi(D)]$ . Note that (5) is injective, as for different  $E$ -lines  $\mathcal{L}(D_1) \neq \mathcal{L}(D_2)$ , we have  $D_1 \not\cong D_2$  hence  $\pi(D_1) \not\cong \pi(D_2)$ . Let  $e_1, e_2$  be a basis of  $D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)$ , and  $e_i^* \in D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)^\vee$  such that  $e_i^*(e_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$ . We see the  $E$ -linear bijective map  $D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)^\vee$  given by  $e_1 \mapsto e_2^*$ ,  $e_2 \mapsto -e_1^*$  sends each  $E$ -line  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{L}^\perp$ . This bijection pre-composed with (5) gives then the injection in (3). Finally, we remark that by [11, Thm. 1.4], the universal extension (4) can be realized in the de Rham complex of the coverings of Drinfeld's upper half-plane.

## 2 Main results

Before stating our main results, we quickly introduce some more notation. For  $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$  be the Fréchet space of  $E$ -coefficient rigid analytic functions on the annulus  $p^{-\frac{1}{r}} \leq |\cdot| < 1$  where  $|\cdot|$  is the norm on  $\mathbb{C}_p$  normalized such that  $|p| = p^{-1}$ . We have  $\mathcal{R}_E \cong \varinjlim_r \mathcal{R}_E^r$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$  be the Fréchet space of  $E$ -coefficient rigid analytic functions on the open unit disk  $|\cdot| < 1$ :

$$\mathcal{R}_E^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \mid a_i \in E \text{ for all } i, \text{ and } |a_i| r^i \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for all } 0 \leq r < 1 \right\}.$$

We have  $\mathcal{R}_E^+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_E^r$  for all  $r$ . The Robba ring  $\mathcal{R}_E$  is equipped with a natural (standard) action of  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and operators  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ . Recall that the  $\Gamma$ -action sends  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ ) to  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ ), and the  $\psi$ -operator sends  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ ) to  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$  (resp.  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>p-1}$ ). Let  $D$  be a generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathcal{R}_E$  (cf. [14, § 4.1], noting  $D$  is allowed to have  $t$ -torsions,  $t = \log(1 + X)$ ). Recall (see [5, Remark 2.2.2] and the discussion above it) there exist  $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>p-1}$ , and a generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D_r$  over  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$  (cf. loc. cit.) such that  $f_r : D_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ . In fact, such  $\{r, D_r, f_r\}$  form a filtered category  $I(D)$ , and  $\varinjlim_{(r, f_r, D_r) \in I(D)} D_r \xrightarrow{\sim} D$  (see the discussion above [5, Remark 2.2.4]).

Recall (see for example [9, § 1.1.2]) that  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$  is naturally isomorphic to the locally analytic distribution algebra  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p, E) = \mathcal{C}^{\text{la}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, E)^\vee$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Under this isomorphism, the operators  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  can be described as follows: for  $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p, E)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{la}}(\mathbb{Z}_p, E)$ ,

$$\varphi(\mu)(f) = \mu([x \mapsto f(px)]), \quad \psi(\mu)(f) = \mu([x \mapsto f(\frac{x}{p})]),$$

$$\gamma(\mu)(f) = \mu([x \mapsto f(\gamma x)]).$$

The element  $t \in \mathcal{R}_E^+$  (resp.  $X$ ) corresponds to the distribution  $f \mapsto f'(0)$  (resp.  $f \mapsto f(1) - f(0)$ ).

Let  $\pi$  be an admissible locally analytic representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  over  $E$ . The continuous dual  $\pi^\vee$  of  $\pi$  (equipped with the strong topology) is then a Fréchet space over  $E$ . The action of  $N(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\pi$  induces a (separately-continuous)  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -module structure on  $\pi^\vee$ . Note that  $t \in \mathcal{R}_E^+$  acts on  $\pi^\vee$  via the element  $u_+ := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_2$  of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and we identify  $u_+$  and  $t$  frequently without further mention. Moreover, the  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -module  $\pi^\vee$  is equipped with an operator  $\psi$  given by the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and with an action of  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  given by the action of  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  satisfying

$$\psi(\varphi(x)v) = x\psi(v), \quad \gamma(xv) = \gamma(x)\gamma(v)$$

for  $x \in \mathcal{R}_E^+$ ,  $v \in \pi^\vee$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Recall in [5, § 2.3] (see in particular [5, Ex. 2.3.3]), we associated to  $\pi$  a (covariant) functor  $F(\pi)$  from the category of generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules to the category of  $E$ -vector spaces:

$$F(\pi)(D) = \varinjlim_{(r, f_r, D_r) \in I(D)} \text{Hom}_{(\psi, \Gamma)}(\pi^\vee, D_r),$$

where  $\text{Hom}_{(\psi, \Gamma)}$  consists of continuous  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -linear morphisms that are  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant. Note that if  $D$  has no  $t$ -torsion, then by [15, Cor. 8.9], we have  $F(\pi)(D) = \text{Hom}_{(\psi, \Gamma)}(\pi^\vee, D)$  (where  $D$  is equipped with the inductive limit topology). Let  $M(\mathbb{Q}_p) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p \right\}$ . By definition, the functor  $F(\pi)$  only depends on  $\pi|_{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ .

Our first result is on the representability of  $F(\pi)$  in de Rham non-trianguline case. Namely, let  $\Delta$  be an irreducible  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module free of rank 2 over  $\mathcal{R}_E$ , de Rham of constant Hodge-Tate weight 0. Let  $\pi(\Delta)$  be the locally analytic representation associated to  $\Delta$  (cf. [9, § 2.1]) normalized such that the central character  $\omega_\Delta$  of  $\pi(\Delta)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{R}_E(\omega_\Delta) \cong \wedge^2 \Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E} \mathcal{R}_E(\varepsilon^{-1})$ , where  $\varepsilon = z|z|^{-1} : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow E^\times$  and for a continuous character  $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow E^\times$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{R}_E(\delta)$  the associated rank one  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module. Let  $\check{\Delta} := \Delta^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E} \mathcal{R}_E(\varepsilon) \cong \Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E} \mathcal{R}_E(\omega_\Delta^{-1})$  be the Cartier dual of  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The functor  $F(\pi(\Delta))$  is representable by  $\check{\Delta}$ , i.e. for any generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$ ,  $F(\pi(\Delta))(D) = \text{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\check{\Delta}, D)$ .*

**Remark 2.2.** *The same statement in the trianguline case was obtained in [5, Thm. 5.4.2 (i)] (see Step 2 & 3 of the proof), where a key ingredient is the representability of  $F(\pi)$  for locally analytic principal series  $\pi$ . While, our proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on Colmez's results in [9] and the representability of  $F(\pi)$  for locally algebraic representations  $\pi$ .*

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\pi(\Delta, k)$  be the locally analytic representation  $\Pi(M, k)$  in [9, Thm. 0.8 (iii)] (for  $M = \text{DF}$ , the irreducible Deligne-Fontaine module associated to  $\Delta$ ). Recall by *loc. cit.*, there exists an isomorphism of topological  $E$ -vector spaces:  $\partial : \pi(\Delta) \rightarrow \pi(\Delta)$  such that the following maps

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : \pi(\Delta) \rightarrow \pi(\Delta), \quad v \mapsto (-c\partial + a)^k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (v)$$

define a(nother) locally analytic  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action and the resulting representation is isomorphic to  $\pi(\Delta, k)$ . As  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation, we have  $\pi(\Delta, k) \cong \pi(\Delta) \otimes_E (x^k \otimes 1)$  hence:

$$F(\pi(\Delta))(D) \cong F(\pi(\Delta, k))(D \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E} \mathcal{R}_E(x^{-k}))$$

for all generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules  $D$ . We then deduce from Theorem 1.1:

**Corollary 2.3.** *The functor  $F(\pi(\Delta, k))$  is representable by  $t^{-k} \check{\Delta}$ .*

As in § 1, let  $\pi_\infty(\Delta)$  be the smooth representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  associated to  $\Delta$ , and for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , let  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k) := \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2 \otimes_E \pi_\infty(\Delta)$  and  $\pi_c(\Delta, k) := \pi(\Delta, -k) \otimes_E (x^k \circ \det)$ . Recall by [5, Thm. 3.3.1],  $F(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k))$  is representable by  $\mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k$ . By Theorem 2.1 and  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)|_{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cong \pi(\Delta)|_{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ , we see  $F(\pi_c(\Delta, k)) = F(\pi(\Delta))$  is representable by  $\check{\Delta}$ . By [5, Thm. 4.1.5], we then obtain:

**Corollary 2.4.** *There exists a natural  $E$ -linear map*

$$\mathcal{E} : \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k, \check{\Delta}) \quad (6)$$

*satisfying that for  $[\pi] \in \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k))$ , the functor  $F(\pi)$  is representable by the extension of class  $\mathcal{E}([\pi])$ .*

By [5, Prop. 5.1.2], there is a natural isomorphism of  $E$ -vector spaces:

$$\text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k, \check{\Delta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{dR}}(\check{\Delta}) \quad (7)$$

satisfying that for each non-split  $[D] \in \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k, \check{\Delta})$ , the map sends the line  $E[D]$  to  $\mathcal{L}(D) \hookrightarrow D_{\text{dR}}(D) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(\check{\Delta})$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  is defined in a similar way as in (1):  $\mathcal{L}(D) := \text{Fil}^{\max} D_{\text{dR}}(D) = \text{Fil}^i D_{\text{dR}}(D)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k-1$  (noting such  $D$  has Hodge-Tate weights  $(1-k, 1)$ ). Using the isomorphism  $D_{\text{dR}}(\check{\Delta}) \cong D_{\text{dR}}(\Delta)$  (with a shift of the Hodge filtration) induced by  $\check{\Delta} \cong \Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E} \mathcal{R}_E(\omega_\Delta^{-1})$ , the composition of (6) and (7) gives a map as in (2) (satisfying the properties below (2)). Conjecture 1.1 (in de Rham non-trianguline case) then follows from the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *The map  $\mathcal{E}$  is bijective, in particular,*

$$\dim_E \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)) = 2$$

*and any non-split extension of  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)$  by  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)$  is associated to a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module of rank 2 over  $\mathcal{R}_E$ .*

By an easy variation of the proof of Theorem 2.5, we also obtain the following result on locally analytic  $\text{Ext}^1$ :

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\pi_\infty$  be a generic irreducible smooth representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  over  $E$ , and  $W$  be an irreducible algebraic representation of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  over  $E$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_\infty \otimes_E W) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\pi_\infty \otimes_E W \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)$ .*

### 3 Proofs

We keep the notation in § 2. Let  $D_r$  be a generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$  (cf. [5, § 2.2]). We call  $D_r$  is good if  $D_r \cong (\mathcal{R}_E^r)^{m_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_2} \mathcal{R}_E^r/t^{s_i}$  as  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ -module for some integers  $m_1 \geq 0$ ,  $m_2 \geq 0$ , and  $s_i \geq 1$ . And if so, we call  $m = m_1 + m_2$  the rank of  $D_r$ . Note for a general  $D_r$ ,  $D_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$  is good for  $r' \gg r$  (cf. [5, (22)]). We begin with a key lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $D_r$  be a good generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ , and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{(\psi, \Gamma)}(\pi(\Delta)^\vee, D_r)$ . Suppose the induced morphism*

$$\pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r \longrightarrow D_r$$

*has dense image. Then the rank of  $D_r$  is at most 2.*

*Proof.* As  $\pi(\Delta, 1) \cong \pi(\Delta) \otimes (x \otimes 1)$  as  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{(\psi, \Gamma)}(\pi(\Delta, 1)^\vee, D_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{-1})) = \text{Hom}_{(\psi, \Gamma)}(\pi(\Delta)^\vee, D_r).$$

In particular,  $f$  induces a morphism

$$\pi(\Delta, 1)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r \longrightarrow D_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{-1}) =: D_r(x^{-1}),$$

which has dense image. This morphism further induces a morphism with dense image:

$$(\pi(\Delta, 1)^\vee / u_+ \pi(\Delta, 1)) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r \cong \pi(\Delta, 1)^\vee / t \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r \longrightarrow D_r(x^{-1}) / t.$$

Using [11, Cor. 9.3], we see  $\pi(\Delta, 1)^\vee / u_+ \pi(\Delta, 1)^\vee \cong (\pi(\Delta, 1)[u_+])^\vee$ , where  $(-)[u_+]$  denotes the subspace annihilated by  $u_+$ . By [9, Lemma 3.24, Thm. 3.31],  $\pi(\Delta, 1)[u_+] \subset \pi(\Delta, 1)$  is stabilized by  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and is isomorphic to  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, 1)^{\oplus 2} \cong \pi_\infty(\Delta)^{\oplus 2}$  as  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation. By [5, Thm. 3.3.1], the induced morphism  $\pi(\Delta, 1)^\vee / t \rightarrow D_r(x^{-1}) / t \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$  for  $r' \gg r$  factors through  $(\mathcal{R}_E^{r'} / t)^{\oplus 2}$ . For such  $r'$ , we obtain thus a (continuous  $\mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$ -linear) morphism with dense image  $(\mathcal{R}_E^{r'} / t)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow D_r(x^{-1}) / t \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$ . As  $\mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$  is Bézout (see for example [1, Prop. 4.12]), it is not difficult to see the rank of  $D_r(x^{-1}) / t \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$  is at most 2 (= the rank of  $(\mathcal{R}_E^{r'} / t)^{\oplus 2}$ ). Since the rank of  $D_r(x^{-1}) / t \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$  over  $\mathcal{R}_E^{r'} / t$  is the same as the rank of  $D_r$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Recall (e.g. see [9, § 2.1])  $\Delta$  extends uniquely to a  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -sheaf over  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  of central character  $\omega_\Delta$ , and the space  $\Delta \boxtimes \mathbb{P}^1$  of global sections sit in a  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta \circ \det) \rightarrow \Delta \boxtimes \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \pi(\Delta) \rightarrow 0.$$

The space of sections of the  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -sheaf on the open set  $\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta$ , and the composition  $\iota : \pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta \circ \det) \hookrightarrow \Delta \boxtimes \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} \Delta$  is  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -linear, continuous and  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant. By the same argument as in Step 1 of the proof of [5, Thm. 5.4.2] (noting since  $\Delta$  is irreducible,  $\Delta$  is étale up to twist by characters),  $\iota$  has image in  $\Delta_r$  for  $r$  sufficiently large (where  $\Delta_r$  is a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$  such that  $\Delta_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E \cong \Delta$ ), and induces a surjective morphism  $\iota : \pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r \twoheadrightarrow \Delta_r$ .

Let  $D$  be a generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathcal{R}_E$ , and let  $\mu \in F(\pi(\Delta) \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta^{-1} \circ \det))(D)$ . Let  $(r, D_r, f_r) \in I(D)$  such that  $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{(\psi, \Gamma)}(\pi(\Delta) \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta^{-1} \circ \det), D_r)$ . It is sufficient to show that,

enlarging  $r$  if needed,  $\mu$  factors through  $\iota$ . Indeed, if so, the following map induced by  $\iota$  (see [5, Lemma 2.2.3 (iii), Remark 2.3.1 (iv)]):

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\Delta, D) \longrightarrow F(\pi(\Delta) \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta^{-1} \circ \det))(D)$$

is surjective hence bijective (as  $\iota$  is surjective after tensoring the source by  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ ). The theorem then follows using  $\check{\Delta} \cong \Delta \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E} \mathcal{R}_E(\omega_\Delta^{-1})$ .

Replacing  $r$  by  $r' \gg r$  (and  $D_r$  by  $D_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$ ), we can and do assume that  $\iota$  factors through  $\Delta_r$ , and  $D_r$  is good. Consider

$$\tilde{\mu} : \pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta \circ \det) \xrightarrow{(\iota, \mu)} \Delta_r \oplus D_r.$$

Denote by  $M_r$  the closed  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ -submodule of  $\Delta_r \oplus D_r$  generated by  $\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\mu})$ . As  $\tilde{\mu}$  is  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant, we see  $M_r \subset \Delta_r \oplus D_r$  is stabilized by  $\psi$  and  $\Gamma$ . By the discussion in the end of [5, § 2.2] (see in particular [5, (24)]),  $M_r$  is stabilized by  $\varphi$  and  $\Gamma$ , hence is a generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ . For  $r' \geq r$ ,  $M_{r'} := M_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$  is the closed  $\mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$ -submodule of  $\Delta_{r'} \oplus D_{r'} := (\Delta_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'}) \oplus (D_r \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^r} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'})$  generated by the image of  $\tilde{\mu} : \pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta \circ \det) \xrightarrow{(\iota, \mu)} \Delta_{r'} \oplus D_{r'}$ . Let  $r'$  be sufficiently large such that  $M_{r'}$  is good. Then by Lemma 3.1, the rank  $M_{r'}$  is at most 2.

The following composition

$$(\pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta \circ \det)) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^{r'} \rightarrow M_{r'} \hookrightarrow \Delta_{r'} \oplus D_{r'} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} \Delta_{r'}$$

is equal to  $\iota$  hence surjective. We see the induced morphism  $\kappa : M_{r'} \rightarrow \Delta_{r'}$  is surjective. It is clear that  $\kappa$  is continuous  $\mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$ -linear and  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant. By [5, Remark 2.3.1 (iv)], we see  $\kappa$  is  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant (hence is a morphism of generalized  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules). Since the rank of  $M_{r'}$  is at most the rank of  $\Delta_{r'}$ , and  $\Delta_{r'}$  has no  $t$ -torsion, we deduce using [1, Prop. 4.12] that  $\kappa : M_{r'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{r'}$  (as  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$ ) and  $M_{r'}$  is actually the  $\mathcal{R}_E^{r'}$ -submodule of  $\Delta_{r'} \oplus D_{r'}$  generated by  $\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\mu})$  (i.e. there is no need to take closure). Thus  $\tilde{\mu} = \kappa^{-1} \circ \iota$  and  $\mu = \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \tilde{\mu} = (\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \kappa^{-1}) \circ \iota$ , in particular,  $\mu$  factors through  $\pi(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (\omega_\Delta \circ \det) \xrightarrow{\iota} \Delta_{r'} \rightarrow D_{r'}$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *The proof of Lemma 3.1 (hence of Theorem 2.1) is crucially based on the fact that  $\pi(\Delta)[u_+]|_{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  is isomorphic, up to finite dimensional subquotients and up to twist by characters, to **two** copies of (the  $E$ -model of) the standard Kirillov model of generic irreducible smooth representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  (i.e.  $W_E$  in the proof of Theorem 2.5 below). One may expect this holds in general (see [9, Remark 2.14]). If so, one may deduce by the same argument that  $F(\pi(D))$  is representable by  $\check{D}$  for any  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$  free of rank 2 over  $\mathcal{R}_E$ .*

For any non-split  $[D] \in \mathrm{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E/t^k, t^k \Delta)$ , one can associate (e.g. see [9, Thm. 0.6 (iii)]) a locally analytic  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representation  $\pi(D)$  that is isomorphic to an extension of  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)$  by  $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)$ . By [5, Thm. 5.4.2 (ii)], we have:

**Corollary 3.3.** *The functor  $F(\pi(D))$  is representable by  $\check{D}$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* By Corollary 3.3, the map (6) is surjective. We prove it is injective. Let  $[\pi] \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k))$  be non-split. Suppose  $\mathcal{E}([\pi]) = 0$ , i.e.  $F(\pi)$  is representable by  $\check{\Delta} \oplus \mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k$ . We will use this property to construct a  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant subspace  $M \subset \pi^\vee$  giving a splitting of  $\pi^\vee \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$  (which leads to a contradiction). The proof is

organized as follows: we first construct  $M$  as an  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -submodule of  $\pi^\vee$  preserved by  $\psi$  and  $\Gamma$ , then we show  $M \neq 0$ , and  $M$  is stabilized by  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and isomorphic to  $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$ .

For  $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  sufficiently large, we have a natural  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -linear continuous  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant morphism  $j : \pi^\vee \rightarrow \check{\Delta}_r \oplus \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{1-k})/t^k$  such that the induced morphism

$$\pi^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E} \mathcal{R}_E^r \longrightarrow \check{\Delta}_r \oplus \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{1-k})/t^k \quad (8)$$

is surjective. Indeed, we have by [5, Thm. 4.1.5] a natural commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r & \longrightarrow & \pi^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_E^+} \mathcal{R}_E^r \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \text{(8)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \check{\Delta}_r & \longrightarrow & \check{\Delta}_r \oplus \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{1-k})/t^k & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{1-k})/t^k \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (9)$$

The left vertical map is surjective as it is induced from:

$$\iota : \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee \cong \pi(\Delta)^\vee \xrightarrow{\iota} \check{\Delta}_r$$

where the first isomorphism is  $M(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant, and the second map is given as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. By [5, Lemma 3.3.5 (ii)] and its proof, the right vertical map is also surjective, hence so is the middle vertical map. Let  $M := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ j : \pi^\vee \rightarrow \check{\Delta}_r)$ . As the composition  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee \hookrightarrow \pi^\vee \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ j} \check{\Delta}_r$  is equal to  $\iota$  and hence is injective by [9, Prop. 2.20], we deduce  $M \cap \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee = 0$ . So the following composition (continuous  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -linear and  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant)

$$M \hookrightarrow \pi^\vee \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$$

is injective.

(1) We first prove  $M \neq 0$ . Suppose  $M = 0$  hence  $\pi^\vee \hookrightarrow \check{\Delta}_r$ . As  $\check{\Delta}_r$  is  $t$ -torsion free, so is  $\pi^\vee$ . From the commutative diagram (recalling  $u_+ = t$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee & \longrightarrow & \pi^\vee & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & u_+ \downarrow & & u_+ \downarrow & & u_+ \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee & \longrightarrow & \pi^\vee & \longrightarrow & \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

we deduce an exact sequence (consisting of continuous maps)

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee[u_+] \xrightarrow{\delta} \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee / u_+ \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee \rightarrow \pi^\vee / u_+ \pi^\vee \rightarrow \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee / u_+ \pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee \rightarrow 0. \quad (10)$$

Roughly speaking, we will show a contradiction by considering the multiplicities of the Kirillov model in the dual of each term of (10). By the  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee \cong \pi_\infty(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (\mathrm{Sym}^{k-1} E^2)^\vee$ , we get a  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant isomorphism (of reflexive Fréchet  $E$ -spaces):

$$\pi_{\mathrm{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee[u_+] \cong \pi_\infty(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (1 \otimes x^{1-k}). \quad (11)$$

Using the isomorphisms of  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations

$$\pi_c(\Delta, k) \cong \pi(\Delta, 1) \otimes_E (x^{-1} \otimes x^{k-1}), \quad \pi(\Delta, 1)[u_+] \cong \pi_\infty(\Delta)^{\oplus 2},$$

we deduce a  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant isomorphism of reflexive Fréchet  $E$ -spaces (similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, the first isomorphism following from [11, Cor. 9.3]):

$$\pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee / u_+ \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee \cong \pi_c(\Delta, k)[u_+]^\vee \cong (\pi_\infty(\Delta)^\vee \otimes_E (x \otimes x^{-k}))^{\oplus 2}. \quad (12)$$

By similar arguments of [5, Lemma 2.1.5], the injection  $\delta$  induces a continuous map of spaces of compact type with dense image  $\delta^\vee : \pi_c(\Delta, k)[u_+] \rightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee [u_+]^\vee \cong \pi_\infty(\Delta) \otimes_E (1 \otimes x^{k-1})$ . As  $\pi_\infty(\Delta)$  is equipped with the finest locally convex topology,  $\delta^\vee$  is surjective (see for example [15, § 5.C]). We have hence an exact sequence of spaces of compact type (all equipped with the finest locally convex topology):

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\delta^\vee) \rightarrow \pi_c(\Delta, k)[u_+] \rightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee [u_+]^\vee \rightarrow 0. \quad (13)$$

One directly checks (by diagram chasing) that for  $b \in B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $v \in \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee [u_+]$ ,  $\delta(bv) = (x^{-1} \otimes x)(b)b(\delta(v))$ . We see  $\text{Ker}(\delta^\vee)$  is stabilized by  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and the exact sequence in (13) becomes  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant if we twist  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee [u_+]^\vee$  by the character  $x^{-1} \otimes x$  of  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Let  $\eta : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  be a non-trivial locally constant (additive) character. Let  $W := \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, \mathbb{C}_p)$  be the space of locally constant  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ , which is equipped with a natural  $M(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action given by

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f \right)(x) = f(ax), \quad \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} f \right)(x) = \eta(bx)f(x).$$

Recall  $W$  is irreducible and admits an  $E$ -model  $W_E$ , that is unique up to scalars in  $\mathbb{C}_p^\times$  (see [5, Lemma 3.3.2]). By classical theory of Kirillov model (see for example [3, § 3.5]), we have  $\pi_\infty(\Delta)|_{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cong W_E$ . By (11) and using (12) (13), we see  $\text{Ker}(\delta^\vee)|_{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cong W_E \otimes_E (x^{-1} \otimes x^{1-k})$ . We define  $F(\text{Ker}(\delta^\vee))$  exactly in the same way as for  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations (noting in the definition of  $F(-)$ , we actually only use the  $M(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -action). By [5, Lemma 3.3.5 (2)],  $F(\text{Ker}(\delta^\vee))$  is representable by  $\mathcal{R}_E(x^{-1})/t$ .

By (9), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee / u_+ \pi_c(\Delta, k)^\vee & \longrightarrow & \pi^\vee / u_+ \pi^\vee \\ j_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \check{\Delta}_r/t & \longrightarrow & \check{\Delta}_r/t \oplus \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{1-k})/t, \end{array} \quad (14)$$

such that each vertical map becomes surjective if we tensor the corresponding source by  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ . As the bottom horizontal map is obviously injective, we deduce using (10) that  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee [u_+] \subset \text{Ker } j_1$  and hence  $j_1$  factors through (a continuous  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ -linear  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant map)

$$j_1' : \text{Ker}(\delta^\vee)^\vee \longrightarrow \check{\Delta}_r/t$$

which is surjective after tensoring the source by  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$ . However, as  $F(\text{Ker}(\delta^\vee))$  is represented by  $\mathcal{R}_E(x^{-1})/t$ , we see  $j_1'$  factors through (enlarging  $r$  if needed)  $\mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{-1})/t \rightarrow \check{\Delta}_r/t$ , which can not be surjective, a contradiction.

(2) We show  $M(\neq 0)$  is stabilized by  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  hence isomorphic to  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$ , which will lead to a contradiction (and will conclude the proof of the theorem) as the extension  $\pi$  is non-split. We begin with the following claim.

**Claim:** For  $v \in \pi^\vee$ , the followings are equivalent:

- (1)  $v \in M$ ,
- (2)  $t^k v = 0$ ,
- (3)  $t^n v = 0$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.

We prove the claim. Since  $M \hookrightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$  is  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -equivariant and  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$  is annihilated by  $t^k$ , we see (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is trivial. Suppose  $t^n v = 0$  for some  $n$ , then  $\text{pr}_1 \circ j(t^n v) = t^n \text{pr}_1 \circ j(v) = 0$ . Since  $\check{\Delta}_r$  has no  $t$ -torsion, we see  $\text{pr}_1 \circ j(v) = 0$ , i.e.  $v \in M$ .

For  $v \in \pi^\vee$ ,  $b \in B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , we have  $t^n(bv) = (u_+)^n \cdot (bv) = b(\text{Ad}_{b^{-1}}(u_+)^n \cdot v)$ . If  $t^n v = 0$ , then  $\text{Ad}_{b^{-1}}(u_+)^n \cdot v = 0$  thus  $t^n(bv) = 0$ . By the claim, we see  $M \subset \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$  is stabilized by  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Next we show  $M$  is stabilized by  $u_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_2$ . Since  $M$  is a  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -submodule of  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$ , we see for any  $v \in M$ , the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -module generated by  $v$  is finite dimensional and is spanned by eigenvectors of  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_2$ . Using the relation  $[(u_+)^{n+1}, u_-] = n(u_+)^n(h+n)$  in  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ , we deduce for  $v \in M$ ,  $t^n(u_- \cdot v) = (u_+)^n u_- \cdot v = 0$  for  $n$  sufficient large and hence  $u_- \cdot v \in M$  by the claim. Consequently,  $M$  is a  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -submodule of  $\pi^\vee$  and the injection  $M \hookrightarrow \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$  is  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$ -equivariant. We deduce then any vector  $v$  in  $M$  is annihilated by  $(u_-)^k$ .

Let  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . For  $v \in M$ , we have  $t^k wv = w(\text{Ad}_w(u_+)^k \cdot v) = w(u_-^k \cdot v) = 0$ . Thus  $M$  is stabilized by  $w$ , hence is stabilized by  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  (recalling  $M$  is  $B(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant). Since  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)$  is irreducible, we deduce  $M \cong \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)^\vee$ . As previously discussed, this finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.6.* The ‘‘if’’ part is a trivial consequence of Theorem 2.5. Assume now

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_\infty \otimes_E W) \neq 0.$$

Then  $\pi_\infty \otimes_E W$  has the same central character and infinitesimal character as  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)$ . By [9, Prop. 3.1.1], one deduces  $W \cong \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2$ .

Similarly as in Corollary 2.4 (using Corollary 3.3, [5, Thm. 3.3.1 & Thm. 4.1.5]), we have a morphism

$$\mathcal{E}' : \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}^1(\mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k, \check{\Delta}).$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 (with  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)$  replaced by  $\pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2$ ), the morphism is injective. Suppose  $\pi_\infty$  is not isomorphic to  $\pi_\infty(\Delta)$  and there exists a non-split  $[\pi] \in \text{Ext}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^1(\pi_c(\Delta, k), \pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2)$ . Let  $[\check{D}] := \mathcal{E}'([\pi])$ , and let  $[\pi(D)] := \mathcal{E}^{-1}([\check{D}])$ . The pull-back of  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)$  of  $\pi(D) \oplus \pi \twoheadrightarrow \pi_c(\Delta, k)^{\oplus 2}$  via the diagonal map gives a non-split extension  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)$  by  $(\pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2) \oplus \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)$  satisfying  $\tilde{\pi}/\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k) \cong \pi$  and  $\tilde{\pi}/(\pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2) \cong \pi(D)$ . By [5, Thm. 4.1.5],  $F(\tilde{\pi})$  is representable by an extension of  $(\mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k)^{\oplus 2}$  by  $\check{\Delta}$  such that the pull-back of either of the two factors  $\mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k$  is isomorphic to  $\check{D}$ . We deduce then  $F(\tilde{\pi})$  is representable by  $\check{D} \oplus \mathcal{R}_E(x^{1-k})/t^k$ . We have thus a continuous  $\mathcal{R}_E^+$ -linear  $(\psi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant morphism when  $r$  is sufficiently large:

$$j : \tilde{\pi}^\vee \longrightarrow \check{D}_r \oplus \mathcal{R}_E^r(x^{1-k})/t^k$$

such that the morphism becomes surjective if we tensor the source by  $\mathcal{R}_E^r$  (by similar arguments as for the surjectivity of (8)). Let  $M$  be the kernel of  $\text{pr}_1 \circ j$ . Since  $F(\pi(D))(\check{D}) \cong \text{End}_{(\varphi, \Gamma)}(\check{D}) \cong E$ , the restriction of  $\text{pr}_1 \circ j$  on  $\pi(D)^\vee$  is equal, up to non-zero scalars, to the morphism  $\pi(D)^\vee \rightarrow \check{D}$  in [9, Prop. 2.20] hence is injective. Using a similar exact sequence as in (10) with  $\pi$  replaced by  $\pi(D)$ , we can deduce  $\pi(D)[u_+]|_{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \cong (W_E \otimes_E (x^{-1} \otimes x^{k-1}))^{\oplus 2}$  (see also [9, Remark 3.3.2]). Now by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 (with  $\pi_c(\Delta, k)$  replaced by  $\pi(D)$  and  $\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k)$  replaced by  $\pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2$ ), one can prove  $M$  is  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -invariant, and is isomorphic to  $(\pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2)^\vee$ . Hence  $\tilde{\pi} \cong \pi(D) \oplus \pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2$  and then  $\pi \cong \tilde{\pi} / \pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k) \cong \pi_c(\Delta, k) \oplus \pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2$  (noting  $\text{Hom}_{\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\pi_{\text{alg}}(\Delta, k), \pi_\infty \otimes_E \text{Sym}^{k-1} E^2) = 0$  by assumption), a contradiction.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

This note is motivated by my joint work [5] with Christophe Breuil, and I also thank him for correspondences on the problem. I thank the anonymous referee for the rapid and sharp comments. The work is supported by the NSFC Grant No. 8200905010 and No. 8200800065.

## References

- [1] Laurent Berger. Représentations  $p$ -adiques et équations différentielles. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 148(2):219–284, 2002.
- [2] Laurent Berger. Équations différentielles  $p$ -adiques et  $(\varphi, N)$ -modules filtrés. *Astérisque*, 319:13–38, 2008.
- [3] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups. I. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 10(4):441–472, 1977.
- [4] Christophe Breuil. Ext<sup>1</sup> localement analytique et compatibilité local-global. *American J. of Math*, 141: 611–703, 2019.
- [5] Christophe Breuil and Yiwen Ding. Sur un problème de compatibilité local-global localement analytique. *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.* to appear.
- [6] Christophe Breuil and Peter Schneider. First steps towards  $p$ -adic Langlands functoriality. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2007(610):149–180, 2007.
- [7] Pierre Colmez. Représentations de  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  et  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. *Astérisque*, 330:281–509, 2010.
- [8] Pierre Colmez. Représentations localement analytiques de  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  et  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. *Representation theory*, 20:187–248, 2016.
- [9] Pierre Colmez. Correspondance de Langlands locale  $p$ -adique et changement de poids. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 21(3):797–838, 2018.
- [10] Pierre Colmez and Gabriel Dospinescu. Complétés universels de représentations de  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . *Algebra and number theory*, 8(6):1447–1519, 2014.

- [11] Gabriel Dospinescu and Arthur-César Le Bras. Revêtements du demi-plan de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands  $p$ -adique. *Annals of mathematics*, 186(2): 321–411, 2017.
- [12] Matthew Emerton. Local-global compatibility in the  $p$ -adic Langlands programme for  $GL_2/\mathbb{Q}$ . 2011. preprint.
- [13] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties. *Annals of Math. Studies*, 151, 2001.
- [14] Ruochuan Liu. Cohomology and duality for  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over the Robba ring. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, Vol. 2007, 32 pages, 2007.
- [15] Peter Schneider. Nonarchimedean functional analysis. *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, 2002.